Development at West Kowloon Cultural District

Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report for February 2023

13 March 2023

In accordance with the Environmental Permit, Condition 3.4, this Monthly EM&A Report has been certified by the Environmental Team Leader (ETL) and verified by the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) as complying with the requirements as set out in Sections 1, 10, 11, 12 and 13 of the EM&A Manual.

Certified by:	CAM		
	CK WU		
	Environmental Team Leader (ETL)		
ë	West Kowloon Cultural District Authority		
Date	13 March 2023		
Verified by:	Cl.		
	Claudine LEE		
	Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)		
	Meinhardt Infrastructure and Environment Ltd		
Date	13 March 2023		

This Report Consists of:

Part-1: EM&A at Lyric Theatre Complex

and

Part-2: EM&A for Foundation and ELS Works in Zones 2A, 2B & 2C

Part-1: EM&A at Lyric Theatre Complex



Lyric Theatre Complex

Mott MacDonald 3/F International Trade Tower 348 Kwun Tong Road Kwun Tong Kowloon Hong Kong

T +852 2828 5757 mottmac.hk

Contents

Exe	ecutive	summa	ry	1
1	Intro	duction		3
	1.1	Backgro	bund	3
	1.2	_	Organisation	3
	1.3	Status o	of Construction Works in the Reporting Period	4
	1.4	Summa	ry of EM&A Requirements and Alternative Monitoring Locations	4
		1.4.1	EM&A Requirements	4
		1.4.2	Alternative Monitoring Locations	5
2	Impa	act Monit	toring Methodology	7
	2.1	Introduc	tion	7
	2.2	Air Qual	lity	7
		2.2.1	Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	7
		2.2.2	Monitoring Locations	7
		2.2.3	Monitoring Equipment	7
		2.2.4	Monitoring Methodology	8
	2.3	Noise		11
		2.3.1	Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	11
		2.3.2	Monitoring Location	11
		2.3.3	Monitoring Equipment	11
		2.3.4	Monitoring Methodology	11
	2.4		ape and Visual	12
		2.4.1	Monitoring Program	12
3	Mon	itoring R	esults	13
	3.1	Impact I	Monitoring	13
	3.2	Air Qual	lity Monitoring	13
		3.2.1	1-hour TSP	13
		3.2.2	24-hour TSP	13
	3.3	Noise M	lonitoring	14
	3.4	Landsca	ape and Visual Impact	14
4	Site	Environr	mental Management	15
	4.1	Site Insp	pection	15
	4.2		on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status	16
	4.3		of Environmental Licenses and Permits	16
	4.4	Recomr	mended Mitigation Measures	16

5	Com	pliance with Environmental Permit	18
6		ort in Non-compliance, Complaints, Notification of Summons and essful Prosecutions	19
	6.1	Record on Non-compliance of Action and Limit Levels	19
	6.2	Record on Environmental Complaints Received	19
	6.3	Record on Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution	19
7	Futu	re Key Issues	20
	7.1	Construction Works for the Coming Month(s)	20
	7.2	Key Issues for the Coming Month	20
	7.3	Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month	21
8	Cond	clusions and Recommendations	22
	8.1	Conclusions	22
	8.2	Recommendations	22
Figu	ire 1	Site Layout Plan and Monitoring Stations	23
Арр	endice	es	24
Α.	Proje	ect Organisation	25
B.	Tenta	ative Construction Programme	26
C.	Actio	n and Limit Levels for Construction Phase	27
D.	Ever Impa	at and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Landscape and Visual	28
	Шра		20
E.	Moni	toring Schedule	29
F.	Calib	pration Certifications	30
G.	Grap	hical Plots of the Monitoring Results	31
H.	Mete	orological Data Extracted from Hong Kong Observatory	32
l.	Was	te Flow table	33
J.	Envii	ronmental Mitigation Measures – Implementation Status	34

K. Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

35

Executive summary

Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited (MMHK) was commissioned to undertake the Environmental Team (ET) services (including environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A)) for the construction of M+ Museum Main Works (Contract No.: CC/2015/3A/022) and Lyric Theatre Complex including the Foundation Works (Contract No.: CC/2015/3A/014), L1 Contract (Contract No. CC/2017/3A/030) and L2 Contract (Contract No. CC/2017/3A/031) at West Kowloon Cultural District (WKCD) (The Project) as part of the WKCD development. The Project Proponent is the West Kowloon Cultural District Authority (WKCDA). The construction works and EM&A programme for M+ Museum was commenced on 31 October 2015 and completed on 28 February 2021; while the construction works and EM&A programme for Lyric Theatre Complex (L1 and L2 Contracts) was commenced on 1 March 2016, and the EM&A programme for L1 Contract was completed on 30 June 2021.

The overall works for the WKCD fall under two separate categories of Designated Project (DP) of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO), namely an "engineering feasibility study of urban development projects with a study area covering more than 20 ha or involving a total population of more than 100 000" (Item 1 of Schedule 3) and "an underpass more than 100m in length under the built areas" (Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2). An Environmental Permit No. EP-453/2013/B (EP) was issued with respect to the "Underpass Road and Austin Road Flyover Serving the West Kowloon Cultural District" which specifically includes the abovementioned category of DP under Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2 of the EIAO.

This Monthly EM&A Report presents the monitoring works at Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract) from 1 February to 28 February 2023.

Exceedance of Action and Limit Levels

There was no breach of Action or Limit levels for Air Quality (1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP) and Noise in this reporting month.

Implementation of Mitigation Measures

Construction phase weekly site inspections were carried out on 1, 8, 15 and 22 February 2023 for Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract) to confirm the implementation measures undertaken by the Contractor in the reporting month. The outcomes are presented in Section 4 and the status of implementation of mitigation measures in the site is shown in **Appendix J**.

Landscape and visual impact inspections were conducted as part of the abovementioned weekly site inspection during the reporting month. No adverse comment on landscape and visual aspects were made during the inspections.

Record of Complaints

No environmental complaint was recorded in the reporting month.

Record of Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

No notifications of summons and successful prosecutions were recorded in the reporting month.

Future Key Issues

The major site works for L2 to be commissioned in the coming month include:

LTC construction

Structure (Slab, wall, columns and beam)

- Falsework and formwork erection
- Reinforcement work
- Concrete work

ABWF & MEP work

External Wall System (EWS)

- ASDA and Lyric Theatre Promenade
 - Structure and BS works
 - Hoarding works
- Remaining Works for M+ Promenade South
 - UU Detect
 - Trial Pit
 - Hacking M+ ext. ground retaining str.
 - Excavation
- DCS cofferdam (Cofferdam A)
 - Install cable duct
 - Backfilling
- Extended basement
 - ABWF & MEP work
 - Cabling works
 - Waterproofing works
 - Paint works
- Underpass and Associated Area
 - RC Structure
 - ABWF & MEP works
- M+ Day 2 Works
 - Remove plenum block wall & make good opening for Louvre
- P32 Interim Development
 - ABWF works

Potential environmental impacts due to the construction activities, including air quality, noise, water quality, waste, landscape and visual, will be monitored or reviewed. The recommended environmental mitigation measures shall be implemented on site and regular inspections as required will be carried out to ensure that the environmental conditions are acceptable.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited (MMHK) was commissioned to undertake the Environmental Team (ET) services (including environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A)) for the construction of M+ Museum Main Works (Contract No.: CC/2015/3A/022) and Lyric Theatre Complex including the Foundation Works (Contract No.: CC/2015/3A/014), L1 Contract (Contract No. CC/2017/3A/030) and L2 Contract (Contract No. CC/2017/3A/031) at West Kowloon Cultural District (WKCD) (The Project) as part of the WKCD development. The Project Proponent is the West Kowloon Cultural District Authority (WKCDA). The construction works and EM&A programme for M+ Museum was commenced on 31 October 2015 and completed on 28 February 2021; while the construction works and EM&A programme for Lyric Theatre Complex (L1 and L2 Contracts) were commenced on 1 March 2016, and the EM&A programme for L1 Contract was completed on 30 June 2021.

The overall works for the WKCD fall under two separate categories of Designated Project (DP) of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO), namely an "engineering feasibility study of urban development projects with a study area covering more than 20 ha or involving a total population of more than 100 000" (Item 1 of Schedule 3) and "an underpass more than 100m in length under the built areas" (Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2). An Environmental Permit No. EP-453/2013/B (EP) was issued with respect to the "Underpass Road and Austin Road Flyover Serving the West Kowloon Cultural District" which specifically includes the abovementioned category of DP under Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2 of the EIAO. The captioned projects include part of the abovementioned underpass road located within the site boundary also falls under this same category.

The M+ Museum development aims to provide an iconic presence for the M+ Museum, semi-transparent vertical plane, housing education facilities, a public restaurant and museum offices. At ground and lower levels, generous access will be provided to the park and other West Kowloon Cultural District facilities, alongside a public resource centre, theatres, retail and dining, and back-of-house functions.

The 1,200-seat Lyric Theatre Complex will be Hong Kong's first world-class facility for dance performances, including ballet, contemporary and Chinese dance forms. In the run up to the opening of further major performing arts venues in the WKCD, it will also be used for a wide variety of performing arts events including drama, opera and musical performances. The Lyric Theatre Complex will act as a platform for Hong Kong's leading arts organisations and be a new major venue to show programmes from Asia and worldwide.

The Monthly EM&A Report is prepared in accordance with the Condition 3.4 of the Environmental Permit No. EP-453/2013/B. This Monthly EM&A Report presents the monitoring works at Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract) from 1 February to 28 February 2023. The purpose of this report is to summarise the findings in the EM&A of the project over the reporting period.

1.2 Project Organisation

The organisation chart and lines of communication with respect to the on-site environmental management structure together with the contact information of the key personnel are shown in **Appendix A**.

1.3 Status of Construction Works in the Reporting Period

During the reporting period, construction works at L2 undertaken include:

LTC construction

Structure (Slab, wall, columns and beam)

- Falsework and formwork erection
- Reinforcement work
- Concrete work

ABWF & MEP work

- ASDA and Lyric Theatre Promenade
 - Structure and BS works
 - Hoarding works
- DCS cofferdam (Cofferdam A)
 - Additional Strengthening of PP Wall
 - Support Existing CW Main
- Modification to Existing Pump Cell
 - Construct new maintenance platform, cat ladder, hoisting eye
- Extended basement
 - ABWF & MEP work
 - Cabling works
 - Late cast RC works (top slab/ backfill sunken etc.)
 - Carpark area plaster and paint
 - Doors permanent frames
- Underpass and Associated Area
 - RC Structure
 - ABWF & MEP work
- M+ Day 2 Works
 - Remove plenum block wall & make good opening for Louvre
- P32 Interim Development
 - RC works

The Construction Works Programme of Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract) is provided in **Appendix B**. As on 31 January 2023, site area P32 was handed over to Sun Hung Kai Properties and was thus excluded from the site boundary of Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract), the area was delineated in red in the layout plan of the Project which is provided in **Figure 1**. Please refer to **Table 4.1** on the status of the environmental licenses.

1.4 Summary of EM&A Requirements and Alternative Monitoring Locations

The EM&A programme requires environmental monitoring of air quality, noise, landscape and visual as specified in the approved EM&A Manual.

1.4.1 EM&A Requirements

A summary of impact EM&A requirements is presented in **Table 1.1**.

Table 1.1: Summary of Impact EM&A Requirements

Parameters	Descriptions	Locations	Frequencies
Air Quality	24-Hour TSP	AM1 - International Commerce Centre	At least once every 6 days
	1-Hour TSP	AM1 - International Commerce Centre	At least 3 times every 6 days
	24-Hour TSP	AM2 - The Harbourside Tower 1	At least once every 6 days
	1-Hour TSP	AM2 - The Harbourside Tower 1	At least 3 times every 6 days
Noise	Leq, 30 minutes	NM1- The Harbourside Tower 1	Weekly
Landscape & Visual	Monitor implementation of proposed mitigation measures during the construction stage	As described in Table 9.1 and 9.2 of the EM&A Manual	Bi-weekly

1.4.2 Alternative Monitoring Locations

In the context of the monitoring activities at M+ Museum and the Lyric Theatre Complex, three monitoring stations had been considered, including AM1 (International Commerce Centre), AM2 (The Harbourside Tower 1) for air monitoring, and NM1 (The Harbourside Tower 1) for noise monitoring. Other monitoring locations (i.e. AM3 to AM5 and NM2 to NM5) were so far away from M+ Museum and the Lyric Complex and could not be representative for impact monitoring.

The Harbourside management office formally rejected our proposal of setting up air quality and noise monitoring equipment on its premises at the podium level of Tower 1 (AM2/NM1) on 10 November 2015. Nevertheless, a suitable air quality monitoring location at AM2 was identified on the ground floor in front of The Harbourside Tower 1, which is at the same location as that of baseline monitoring for consistency. No management approval is required on the ground floor for conducting the air monitoring. However, the electricity supply at AM2 was suspended from 31 August 2016. In order to have a more secure electricity supply, an alternative air monitoring location (AM2A) was identified at Austin Road West opposite to The Harbourside Tower 1, which is close to Lyric Theatre Complex site entrance. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 28 September 2016. Due to the works programme, the air monitoring location AM2A has been relocated to the alternative monitoring location AM2B at the 1st floor of Gammon's site office, which was approved by EPD on 21 February 2019. In view of the upcoming construction works to be undertaken at the air monitoring station AM2B, AM2B was no longer available for conducting the impact air quality monitoring. Hence, an alternative air monitoring location was identified on the ground floor in front of The Harbourside Tower 1 (AM2) which is at the same location as the baseline monitoring and this previously approved monitoring location had also been used for the EM&A Programme from November 2015 to August 2016, the relocation was approved by EPD on 27 May 2021.

Alternative noise monitoring location was identified at The Arch (NM2); however, The Arch management office formally rejected our proposal of setting up noise monitoring equipment on its premises on 23 November 2015. On the other hand, noise monitoring at G/F of Harbourside could not be representative. However, approval from the management office of the International Commerce Centre has been granted on 29 February 2016 for conducting noise monitoring at the alternative noise monitoring location identified at the podium floor (NM1A) which is free from screening to the construction activities.

In short, 2 air quality monitoring stations and 1 noise impact monitoring station were confirmed for the impact monitoring.

The Environmental Quality Performance Limits for air quality and noise are shown in **Appendix C**.

The Event and Action Plan for air quality, construction noise, and landscape and visual are shown in **Appendix D**.

The EM&A programme followed the recommended mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual. The EM&A requirements as well as the summary of implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures are provided in **Appendix J**.

2 Impact Monitoring Methodology

2.1 Introduction

For air quality and noise, the monitoring methodology, including the monitoring locations, monitoring equipment used, monitoring parameters, and frequency and duration etc., for air quality and noise are detailed in this Section. The environmental monitoring schedules for the reporting period and the tentative monitoring schedule for the coming month are provided in **Appendix E**.

For landscape and visual impact, the relevant EM&A monitoring requirements and details are also presented in this Section.

2.2 Air Quality

2.2.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Table 2.1 summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of the TSP monitoring.

Table 2.1: Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Parameter	Frequency	Duration
24-hour TSP	At least once in every six-days	24 hours
1-hour TSP	At least 3 times every six-days	60 minutes

2.2.2 Monitoring Locations

Currently, the works under the captioned project are confined in the western part of the WKCD site. Therefore, only the monitoring stations AM1 and AM2 were set up at the proposed locations in accordance with updated EM&A Manual. Location of the monitoring station is given in **Table 2.2** and shown in **Figure 1**.

Table 2.2: Air Quality Monitoring Station

Monitoring Station	Location	
AM1	International Commerce Centre (ICC)	
AM2	The Harbourside Tower 1 – Ground Floor	

2.2.3 Monitoring Equipment

For 24-hour TSP air quality monitoring, High Volume Sampler (HVS) was used at air monitoring station AM1 and portable direct reading dust meter was used at air monitoring station AM2 due to the unavailability of power supply for HVS at / in the vicinity of the AM2. The portable direct reading dust meter is capable of producing comparable results as that by the HVS method. For 1-hour TSP monitoring, portable direct reading dust meter was used for the measurement.

Table 2.3 summarizes the equipment used in the impact air quality monitoring. Copies of the calibration certificates for the calibration kit and portable dust meters are attached in **Appendix F**.

Table 2.3: TSP Monitoring Equipment

Equipment Model	
24-hour TSP monitoring	
High Volume Sampler	TE-5170 (Serial No: 0767)
Calibrator	TE-5025A (Orifice I.D.: 2454)
Portable direct reading dust meter	Sibata LD-5R (Serial No.: 781282)
1-hour TSP monitoring	
Portable direct reading dust meter	Sibata LD-3B (Serial No.: 326285 and 476664)

Calibration of the HVS (five point calibration) using Calibration Kit was carried out every two months. The HVS calibration orifice will be calibrated annually. Calibration certificate of the TE-5025A Calibration Kit and the HVS are provided in **Appendix F**.

The portable direct reading dust meter should be determined periodically (e.g. annually) by the HVS to check the validity and accuracy of the results measured by direct reading method.

2.2.4 Monitoring Methodology

24-hour TSP Monitoring (HVS)

Installation

The HVS was installed at the site boundary. The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVS.

- A horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the sampler against gusty wind was provided.
- The distance between the HVS and any obstacles, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the HVS.
- A minimum of 2 metres separation from walls, parapets and penthouse was required for rooftop sampler.
- A minimum of 2 metres separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally was required.
- No furnace or incinerator flues or building vent were nearby.
- Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
- The sampler has been more than 20 metres from any drip line.
- Permission was obtained to set up the sampler and to obtain access to the monitoring station.
- A secured supply of electricity is needed to operate the sampler.

Preparation of Filter Papers

- Glass fibre filters were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected.
- The filters used are specified to have a minimum collection efficiency of 99 percent for 0.3 μm (DOP) particles.
- All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than ±3 °C with relative humidity (RH) < 50% and was not variable by more than ±5 %. A convenient working RH was 40%. All preparation of filters was done by Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) accredited laboratory.</p>

Field Monitoring Procedures

- The power supply was checked to ensure the HVS works properly.
- The filter holder and the area surrounding the filter were cleaned.
- The filter holder was removed by loosening the four bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully.
- The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
- The swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- The shelter lid was closed and was secured with the aluminium strip.
- The HVS was warmed-up for about 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
- A new flow rate record sheet was set into the flow recorder.
- The flow rate of the HVS was checked and adjusted at around 1.3 m³/min. The range specified in the EM&A Manual was between 0.6-1.7 m³/min.
- The programmable timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hours, and the starting time, weather condition and the filter number were recorded.
- The initial elapsed time was recorded.
- At the end of sampling, the sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half length so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact.
- It was then placed in a clean plastic envelope and sealed.
- All monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet.
- Filters were sent to a Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) accredited laboratory for analysis.

Maintenance and Calibration

- The HVS and its accessories are maintained in good working condition, such as replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure a continuous power supply.
- HVSs were calibrated upon installation and thereafter at bi-monthly intervals. The calibration kits were calibrated annually.

Weather Condition

 Meteorological data extracted from Hong Kong Observatory for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix H**.

24-hour TSP Monitoring (Portable direct reading dust meter)

Field Monitoring

The measuring procedures of the portable direct reading dust meter are in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:

- Turn the power on.
- Close the air collecting opening cover.
- Push the "TIME SETTING" switch to [BG].
- Push "START/STOP" switch to perform background measurement for 6 seconds.
- Turn the knob at SENSI ADJ position to insert the light scattering plate.
- Leave the equipment for 1 minute upon "SPAN CHECK" is indicated in the display.

- Push "START/STOP" switch to perform automatic sensitivity adjustment. This measurement takes 1 minute.
- Pull out the knob and return it to MEASURE position.
- Setting time period of 24 hours for the 24-hour TSP measurement.
- Push "START/STOP" to start the 24-hour TSP measurement.
- Regular checking of the time period setting to ensure monitoring time of 24 hours.

Maintenance and Calibration

- The portable direct reading dust meter would be checked at 3-month intervals and calibrated at 1-year intervals throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.
- Calibration records for direct dust meters are shown in Appendix F.

Weather Condition

 Meteorological data extracted from Hong Kong Observatory for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix H**.

1-hour TSP Monitoring

Field Monitoring

The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meter are in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:

- Turn the power on.
- Close the air collecting opening cover.
- Push the "TIME SETTING" switch to [BG].
- Push "START/STOP" switch to perform background measurement for 6 seconds.
- Turn the knob at SENSI ADJ position to insert the light scattering plate.
- Leave the equipment for 1 minute upon "SPAN CHECK" is indicated in the display.
- Push "START/STOP" switch to perform automatic sensitivity adjustment. This measurement takes 1 minute.
- Pull out the knob and return it to MEASURE position.
- Setting time period of 1 hour for the 1-hour TSP measurement.
- Push "START/STOP" to start the 1-hour TSP measurement.
- Regular checking of the time period setting to ensure monitoring time of 1 hour.

Maintenance and Calibration

- The 1-hour dust meter would be checked at 3-month intervals and calibrated at 1-year intervals throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.
- Calibration records for direct dust meters are shown in Appendix F.

Weather Condition

 Meteorological data extracted from Hong Kong Observatory for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix H**.

2.3 Noise

2.3.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Table 2.4 summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of noise monitoring. The noise in A-weighted levels L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} are recorded in a 30-minute interval between 0700 and 1900 hours.

Table 2.4: Noise Monitoring Parameters, Period and Frequency

Time Period	Parameters	Frequency
Daytime on normal weekdays (0700-1900 hours)	L _{eq} (30 min), L ₉₀ (30 min) & L ₁₀ (30 min)	Once every week

2.3.2 Monitoring Location

Currently, the works under the captioned project are confined in the western part of the WKCD site. Therefore, only the monitoring station NM1A was set up. Location of the monitoring station is given in **Table 2.5** and shown in **Figure 1**.

Table 2.5: Noise Monitoring Station

Monitoring Station	Location
NM1A	International Commerce Centre (ICC)

2.3.3 Monitoring Equipment

Integrating Sound Level Meter was used for noise monitoring. It was a Type 1 sound level meter capable of giving a continuous readout of the noise level readings including equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{Aeq}) and percentile sound pressure level (L_x). They comply with International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1). **Table 2.6** summarizes the noise monitoring equipment model being used.

Table 2.6: Noise Monitoring Equipment

Monitoring Station	Equipment Model		
	Integrating Sound Level Meter	Calibrator	
NM1A	Rion NL-52 (Serial No. 00643040)	LARSON DAVIS CAL200 (Serial No. 11333)	

2.3.4 Monitoring Methodology

Field Monitoring

- The microphone of the Sound Level Meter was set at least 1.2 m above the ground.
- Free Field measurement was made at the monitoring locations.
- The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
 - frequency weighting: A
 - time weighting: Fast
 - time measurement: 30 minutes intervals (between 0700-1900 on normal weekdays)
- Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using a Calibrator for 94 dB at 1 kHz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement

- was more than 1 dB, the measurement would be considered invalid and has to be repeated after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- During the monitoring period, the L_{eq}, L₁₀ and L₉₀ were recorded. In addition, any site observations and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- A correction of +3dB(A) was made to the free field measurements.

Maintenance and Calibration

- The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator is cleaned with soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
- The sound level meter and calibrator are sent to the supplier or HOKLAS laboratory to check and calibrate at yearly intervals.
- Calibration records are shown in Appendix F.

Weather Condition

 Meteorological data extracted from Hong Kong Observatory for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix H**.

2.4 Landscape and Visual

2.4.1 Monitoring Program

Table 2.7 details the monitoring program (as proposed in the WKCD EIA report) for landscape and visual impact during the construction phase.

Table 2.7: Monitoring Program for Landscape and Visual Impact during Construction Phase

Stage	Monitoring Task	Frequency	Report	Approval
Construction	Monitor implementation of proposed mitigation measures during the construction stage.	Bi-weekly	ET to report on Contractor's compliance	Counter- signed by IEC

During the landscape and visual impact monitoring, any changes in relation to the landscape and visual amenity should be monitored with reference to the baseline conditions of the site. In addition, mitigation measures were proposed in the WKCD EIA report to minimise the landscape and visual impacts during the construction phase. The proposed mitigation measures as shown in Table 9.1 and Table 9.2 of the EM&A Manual should be checked for proper implementation.

3 Monitoring Results

3.1 Impact Monitoring

Construction impact monitoring for air quality, noise and landscape and visual impact was undertaken in compliance with the EM&A Manual during the reporting month.

3.2 Air Quality Monitoring

3.2.1 1-hour TSP

Results of 1-hour TSP at the monitoring location AM1 and AM2 are summarised in **Table 3.1**. Graphical plots of the monitoring results are shown in **Appendix G**.

Table 3.1: Summary of 1-hour TSP monitoring results

Monitoring	Monitoring	Start	tart 1-hour TSP (µg/m3)			Range	Action	Limit
Station	Date	Time	1st Result	2nd Result	3rd Result	(µg/m3)	Level (µg/m3)	Level (µg/m3)
	01-Feb-23	8:28	32	31	27	25-63	273.7	500
	07-Feb-23	8:22	34	37	40			
AM1	13-Feb-23	8:28	63	55	59			
	17-Feb-23	8:28	30	29	35			
	23-Feb-23	8:23	27	31	25			
	01-Feb-23	8:43	44	41	50			
	07-Feb-23	8:37	55	59	62	-		
AM2	13-Feb-23	8:43	77	82	79	40-82 274.2	274.2	500
	17-Feb-23	8:43	44	40	49			
	23-Feb-23	8:38	44	47	40			

3.2.2 24-hour TSP

Results of 24-hour TSP at the monitoring location AM1 and AM2 are summarised in **Table 3.2**. Graphical plots of the monitoring results are shown in **Appendix G**.

Table 3.2: Summary of 24-hour TSP monitoring results

. 4.0.0 0.2.	cumularly or 1 mount of mountaining results							
Monitoring Station	Monitoring Date	Start Time	Monitoring Results (µg/m³)	Range (µg/m³)	Action Level (µg/m³)	Limit Level (µg/m³)		
	01-Feb-23	08:25	18					
	07-Feb-23	08:20	29	_				
AM1	13-Feb-23	08:25	51	15-51	143.6	260		
	17-Feb-23	08:25	28	-				
	23-Feb-23	08:20	15	-				
	01-Feb-23	08:40	41					
	07-Feb-23	08:34	43	-				
AM2	13-Feb-23	08:40	73	33-73	151.1	260		
	17-Feb-23	08:40	36	_				
	23-Feb-23	08:35	33	_				

No exceedance of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP (Action or Limit Level) was recorded in the reporting period.

3.3 Noise Monitoring

The construction noise monitoring results at the monitoring location NM1A are summarized in **Table 3.3**. Graphical plots of the monitoring data and the station set-up of a free-field measurement are shown in **Appendix G**.

Table 3.3: Summary of noise monitoring results during normal weekdays

Monitoring Date	Start Time	End Time	L _{eq} (30 mins)*, dB(A)	Limit Level for L _{eq} (dB(A))	
01-Feb-23	09:27	09:57	66		
07-Feb-23	09:21	09:51	67	75	
13-Feb-23	09:27	09:57	67	- 75	
23-Feb-23	09:22	09:52	66	-	

Remarks:

No exceedance (Action/Limit Level) of construction noise was recorded in the reporting month.

3.4 Landscape and Visual Impact

Landscape and visual impact inspections were conducted as part of the weekly site inspection on 8 & 22 February 2023 for Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract) during the reporting month. As reviewed by the registered Landscape Architect, no adverse comment on landscape and visual aspects was made during this inspection.

The landscape and visual mitigation measures were implemented during the reporting period. The summary of implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures is provided in **Appendix J**.

^{* +3}dB (A) correction was applied to free-field measurement.

4 Site Environmental Management

4.1 Site Inspection

Construction phase weekly site inspections were carried out on 1, 8, 15 and 22 February 2023 at Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract). While the site environmental management committee meeting with IEC, ET, ER and Contractor was held on 22 February 2023. All observations have been recorded in the site inspection checklist and passed to the Contractor together with the appropriate recommended mitigation measures where necessary.

The key observations from the site inspections and associated recommendations are summarized in **Table 4.1.**

Table 4.1: Summary of Site Inspections and Recommendations for L2

Inspection Date	Parameter	Observation / Recommendation	Contactor's Responses / Action(s) Undertaken	Close- out (Date)
01-Feb-23	Air Quality	Opened cement bags were observed, the contractor was reminded to cover the cement bags properly.	The contractor has covered the cement bags properly.	01-Feb-23
01-Feb-23	Waste Management	The contractor was reminded to remove the waste regularly.	The contractor has removed the waste regularly.	02-Feb-23
08-Feb-23	Air Quality	Dry haul road was observed. The contractor was reminded to increase water spraying frequency to avoid dust impact.	The contractor has increased water spraying frequency.	08-Feb-23
08-Feb-23	Water Quality	Oil seepage and stain was observed on ground, the contractor should clear the seepage with proper handling and treat as chemical waste.	The contractor has cleared the seepage with proper handling.	09-Feb-23
08-Feb-23	Waste	Waste was observed on ground. The contractor was reminded to remove the waste regularly.	The contractor has removed the waste regularly.	09-Feb-23
15-Feb-23	Air Quality	Opened cement bags were observed, the contractor was reminded to cover the cement bags properly.	The contractor has removed the opened cement bags.	16-Feb-23
15-Feb-23	Water Quality	The wastewater treatment facility was not functioning properly, the contractor was reminded to ensure the wastewater treatment facility was functioning properly.	The contractor has ensured the proper functioning of wastewater treatment facility.	16-Feb-23
22-Feb-23	Air Quality	The contractor was reminded to cover the cement bags properly.	The contractor has covered the opened cement bags.	22-Feb-23
22-Feb-23	Water Quality	The contractor was reminded to ensure the wastewater treatment facility was functioning properly.	The contractor has ensured the proper functioning of wastewater treatment facility.	23-Feb-23

4.2 Advice on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status

The Contractor has been registered as a chemical waste producer for the Project. Construction and demolition (C&D) material sorting will be carried out on site. A sufficient number of receptacles were available for general refuse collection.

As advised by the Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract) Contractor, 820.0 tonnes, 267.8 tonnes and 0.0 tonne of inert C&D materials were disposed of as public fill to Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Public Fill, Tuen Mun Area 38 Public Fill and Chai Wan Public Fill Barging Point respectively in the reporting month, while 411.4 tonnes of general refuse were disposed of at SENT and WENT landfill. 22.9 tonnes of metals, 0.0 tonne of paper/cardboard packaging, 0.0 tonne of plastics and 0.0 tonne of timber were collected by recycling contractors in the reporting month. 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material was reused in other projects and 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material was imported for reuse at site. 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material was disposed to sorting facility and 0.0 tonne of chemical waste were collected by licensed contractors in the reporting period.

The actual amounts of different types of waste generated by the activities of construction works at Lyric Theatre Complex in the reporting month are shown in **Appendix I**.

4.3 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits

The environmental permits, licenses, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project which were valid during the period are summarised in **Table 4.2**.

Table 4.2: Status of Environmental Submissions, Licenses and Permits for L2

Permit / License No. /	Valid F	Valid Period		Remarks
Notification / Reference No.	From	То	_	
Chemical Waste Producer R	egistration			
WPN:5213-217-G2347-39	13-Sep-21	-	Valid	
Billing Account Construction	n Waste Disposal			
7032787	02-Jan-19	-	Account Active	
Construction Noise Permit				
GW-RE1186-22	25-Nov-22	24-Feb-23	Superseded	
GW-RE0096-23	25-Feb-23	24-May-23	Valid	
Wastewater Discharge Licen	ise			
WT-00030694-2018	11-Apr-18	30-Apr-23	Valid	
Notification under Air Polluti	ion Control (Const	ruction Dust) Reg	ulation	
448474	27-Aug-19	-	Notified	

4.4 Recommended Mitigation Measures

The EM&A programme followed the recommended mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual. The EM&A requirements as well as the summary of implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures are provided in **Appendix J**. In particular, the following mitigation measures were brought to attention during the site inspections:

Air Quality

 High standard of housekeeping should be maintained to prevent the emission of fugitive dust. Water spraying should be adopted for active construction areas.

Water Quality

- All drainage facilities should be maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly during rainstorms.
- Oils and fuels should be stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities.

Waste Management

 All waste generated at site should be collected and disposed to an appropriate facility regularly.

5 Compliance with Environmental Permit

The status of the required submission under the EP during the reporting period is summarized in **Table 5.1**.

Table 5.1: Status of Submissions under the Environmental Permit

EP Condition	Submission	Submission Date
Condition 3.4	Monthly EM&A Report for January 2023	14 February 2023

6 Report in Non-compliance, Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

6.1 Record on Non-compliance of Action and Limit Levels

There was no breach of Action or Limit Levels for Air Quality and Noise monitoring in the reporting month.

6.2 Record on Environmental Complaints Received

No environmental complaint was received in the reporting month.

The cumulative statistics on complaints were provided in **Appendix K**.

6.3 Record on Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution

No notifications of summons or successful prosecutions were received this month. The cumulative statistics on notifications of summons and successful prosecutions were provided in **Appendix K**.

7 Future Key Issues

7.1 Construction Works for the Coming Month(s)

The major site works for L2 to be commissioned in the coming month include:

LTC construction

Structure (Slab, wall, columns and beam)

- Falsework and formwork erection
- Reinforcement work
- Concrete work

ABWF & MEP work

External Wall System (EWS)

- ASDA and Lyric Theatre Promenade
 - Structure and BS works
 - Hoarding works
- Remaining Works for M+ Promenade South
 - UU Detect
 - Trial Pit
 - Hacking M+ ext. ground retaining str.
 - Excavation
- DCS cofferdam (Cofferdam A)
 - Install cable duct
 - Backfilling
- Extended basement
 - ABWF & MEP work
 - Cabling works
 - Waterproofing works
 - Paint works
- Underpass and Associated Area
 - RC Structure
 - ABWF & MEP works
- M+ Day 2 Works
 - Remove plenum block wall & make good opening for Louvre
- P32 Interim Development
 - ABWF works

7.2 Key Issues for the Coming Month

Key issues to be considered at Lyric Theatre Complex in the coming month include:

- Generation of dust from construction works;
- Noise impact from operating equipment and machinery on-site;

- Generation of site surface runoffs and wastewater from activities on-site;
- Management of stockpiles and slopes, particularly on rainy days;
- Sorting, recycling, storage and disposal of general refuse and construction waste;
- Management of chemicals and avoidance of oil spillage on-site; and
- Operating conditions of drainage facilities.

7.3 Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month

The environmental site inspection and environmental monitoring will be continued in the coming month. The tentative monitoring schedule for the coming month is shown in the **Appendix E**.

8 Conclusions and Recommendations

8.1 Conclusions

The EM&A programme as recommended in the EM&A Manual has been undertaken. The construction works and EM&A programme for M+ Museum was commenced on 31 October 2015 and completed on 28 February 2021; while the construction works and EM&A programme for Lyric Theatre Complex (L1 and L2 Contracts) was commenced on 1 March 2016, and the EM&A programme for L1 Contract was completed on 30 June 2021.

Monitoring of air quality and noise with respect to the Project is underway. In particular, the 1-hour TSP, 24-hour TSP, noise level (as L_{eq} , 30 minutes) under monitoring have been checked against established Action and Limit levels. There was no breach of Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP, 24-hour TSP and noise in the reporting month.


No environmental complaint was recorded in the reporting month. No notifications of summons or successful prosecutions were received during the reporting month.

Weekly construction phase site inspections and bi-weekly landscape and visual impact inspections were conducted during the reporting month as required. It was observed that the Contractors had implemented all possible and feasible mitigation measures to mitigate the potential environmental impacts during construction phase works.

8.2 Recommendations

Potential environmental impacts due to the construction activities, including air quality, noise, water quality, waste, landscape and visual, will be monitored or reviewed. The recommended environmental mitigation measures shall be implemented on site and regular inspections as required will be carried out to ensure that the environmental conditions are acceptable.

Figure 1 Site Layout Plan and Monitoring Stations



Appendices

- A. Project Organisation
- B. Tentative Construction Programme
- C. Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase
- D. Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Landscape and Visual Impact
- E. Monitoring Schedule
- F. Calibration Certifications
- G. Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results
- H. Meteorological Data Extracted from Hong Kong Observatory
- I. Waste Flow table
- J. Environmental Mitigation Measures Implementation Status
- K. Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

A. Project Organisation




Table A-1: Contact information

Company Name	Role	Name	Telephone	Email
Atkins China Ltd.	Project Manager	Mr. Simha LytheRao	2204 8259	Simha.Lytherao@atkinsglobal.com
Meinhardt Infrastructure & Environment Limited	Independent Environmental Checker	Ms. Claudine Lee	2859 5409	claudinelee@meinhardt.com.hk
Gammon Construction Limited (L2)	Environmental Manager	Mr. Ivan Chiu	9416 1664	ivan.chiu@gammonconstruction.com
Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd.	Contractor's Environmental Team Leader	Mr. Thomas Chan	2828 5757	thomas.chan@mottmac.com
West Kowloon Cultural District Authority	Senior Project Manager (Safety, Health and Environment)	Mr. C.K. Wu	5506 9178	ck.wu@wkcda.hk

B. Tentative Construction Programme

TASK filter: L2 UPD: Level 1 Prg.

Activity RD BL Rev 00 BL Rev 02 BL Rev 02 LoE Finish SUMM TF VĀR VAR Finish (approx) L2 CMWP R02 11 - IFA on 27 Apr22 - ***LIVE*** (28th UPD: DD = 31 Jan 2023) **GENERAL & PRELIMINARIES** Contract Significant Dates Commencement & Completion Dates - CMWP Rev 01 Section Keydates KD05A Complete Required Pedestrian Access Corridor and Floor Finishes at AURW 28-Feb-21 12-Nov-21 12-Nov-21 A KD05B Complete Required Pedestrian Access Corridor & associated top slab at Avenue Level [if instructed] 0 14-Feb-21 12-Nov-21 12-Nov-21 A 0 KD05 PC for HO of the Remaining Works for M+ Promenade South 0 24-Aug-20 13-Jan-23 25-Jul-23* -193 -193 -38 KD08 PC for HO Loc ICT/Risers Rms to APC for ICT Sys Instn Wrks 10-Feb-23 10-Sep-24 18-Mar-25* -189 0 -189 0 Ø KD10 PC for HO of ASDA, Lyric Theatre Promenade South to Authority 0 10-Feb-23 10-Sep-24 18-Mar-25* -189 -189 KD09 0 10-Feb-23 18-Mar-25* -189 PC for HO of RDE areas for Tenancy Fit-out Wrks 10-Sep-24 -189 KD11 PC for HO of Extended Basement for HO to Authority & HO of Carriageway to Relevant Govt Authority 0 10-Feb-23 23-May-25* -192 12-Nov-24 -192 KD07 PRACTICAL COMPLETION for C'Way 3A (M+ Day 2 Works) 0 10-Feb-23 09-Dec-24 20-Jun-25* -161 -193 0 KD13 PRACTICAL COMPLETION for Lyric Theatre, EB & C'Way 3B (Incl. Provisional PPE License) 0 08-Sep-23 10-Jan-25 21-Jul-25* -192 -192 0 Stage Keydates 20-Jul-19 20-Jul-19 KD01 Compl Dsgn Coor/Subm and obtn NNO for L1 Contr Bsmt constn wrks Λ 20- Iul-19 A Λ Θ KD06 PC for Fountain Related Plantroom(s) (allow access to Project Contractor) 0 01-Apr-21 07-Jun-22 22-Sep-22 A -106 0 KD03 OBTAIN OP for Lyric Theatre & Extended Basement 0 12-Dec-22 10-Sep-24 18-Mar-25* -189 -189 0 (\Diamond KD14 Complete U/G road and the associated plantrooms at Zone 3A&3B Integrated Basement 0 -188 04-Aug-22 26-Sep-24 02-Apr-25* -188 0 KD02 Obtain BA14 Acknowledge from BD for M+ Dav2 A&A Works 0 12-Dec-22 08-Nov-24 20-May-25* -193 -193 0 CMWP - Summary Program - Level 1 SUM10 [LoE] CC B Lyric Theatre - Substructure RC Structural Concrete 06-May-20 A 22-Jan-22 A 0 06-May-20 22-Jan-22 0 09-May-20 10-Feb-21 SUM30 [LoE] CC_H - Vibration Isolation Spring System Remaining as of 30Apr2020 (AS=30Sep19) 0 09-May-20 A 10-Feb-21 A 0 23-May-23 SUM25 [LoEl CC E - DCS Cofferdam A Works & Obtain BA14 243 23-Jun-20 A -157 23-Jun-20 19-Dec-23 33 5 SUM24 [LoE] CC D - Remaining Works for M+ Promenade South 131 18-Feb-21 13-Jan-23 18-Feb-21 A 25-Jul-23 -140 -140 -27 SUM21 ILoEl CC C - LT EVA1 & EVA2 554 12-Apr-21 25-Oct-24 12-Apr-21 A 11-Feb-25 -86 129 SUM27 [LoE] CC G Extended Basement - ABWF Works (Incl. Deferred Areas Under Deck) 418 15-May-21 02-Feb-24 15-May-21 A 04-Jul-24 97 -117 -27 [LoE] CC G Extended Basement - MEP 1st Fix to Final Fix (Incl. Deferred Areas Under Deck) SUM28 400 17-May-21 12-Jan-24 17-May-21 A 12-Jun-24 -76 -117 -27 SUM14 [LoE] CC B Lyric Theatre - ABWF Work Including Theatres (Exd. Punch List Works) 654 28-May-21 14-Oct-24 28-May-21 A -79 -152 22-Apr-25 SUM35 [LoEl CC J - M+ Day 2 Works (excl. connections to M+ and SZ 1 FS Changeover) 546 03-Jun-21 25-Jun-24 03-Jun-21 A 04-Dec-24 -135 -114 SUM23 [LoE] CC C-Artist SQ. Bridge (ASB 1/2/3; ASB 3; P31 2; P34 2; AS 1/2; ASB-6/P31 EVA) 450 21-Jun-21 22-May-24 21-Jun-21 A 30-Sep-24 -13 -92 -27 SUM15 [LoE] CC B Lyric Theatre - MEP 1st to Final Fix (Excl. TH SYS done by SVE) 672 04-Nov-24 -152 22-Jun-21 22-Jun-21 A 15-May-25 -115 SLIM11 [LoE] CC B Lyric Theatre - Superstructure RC Structural Concrete 257 02-Jul-21 22-Jul-23 02-Jul-21 A 08-Jan-24 -82 -128 0 SUM22 [LoE] CC_C - HoR Development (P32-1, P29-1, P31-EVA) 450 03-Aug-21 17-Apr-24 03-Aug-21 A 30-Sep-24 -13 -117 -27 SUM31 [LoE] CC | Carriageway 3B - ABWF Works 239 12-Aug-21 01-Apr-23 12-Aug-21 A 250 -187 -31 SUM42 [LoE] CC E - DCS Outside of Cofferdam A Works (Connect DIA1,600 & Remove Temp O'fall) 138 08-Sep-21 29-Sep-23 08-Sep-21 A 04-Aug-23 13 41 SUM32 [LoE] CC | Carriageway 3B - MEP Works (1st Fix to Final Fix) 220 22-Mar-22 13-Feb-23 15-Sep-21 A 68 -209 -31 SUM40 [LoE] CC N Lifts & Escalators 445 14-Dec-21 02-Feb-24 14-Dec-21 A 05-Aug-24 -55 -144 -20 SUM41 [LoE] CC B Lyric Theatre - Structural Steel by CSD 331 -138 04-Mar-22 20-Oct-23 11-Mar-22 A 15-Apr-24 -120 -6 [LoE] CC F - Mods to Existing Pump Cell Civil & MEP Works (Excl. Options 2 Add. Pumps) SUM26 126 01-Mar-22 26-Sep-22 12-Oct-22 A 18-Jul-23 116 -221 -19 [LoE] CC B Lyric Theatre - TH Systems (by SVE) Incl. T&C. Precom. & Commissioning SUM17 690 30-Aug-22 25-Nov-24 28-Nov-22 A 06-Jun-25 -115 -152 SUM12 [LoE] CC B Lyric Theatre - EWS Weather Tight Type 263 25-Jun-22 09-Sep-23 15-Dec-22 A 15-Jan-24 -29 -98 SUM20 [LoEl CC C - LT Promenade & Pocket Square Bridge 471 -117 04-Aug-22 31-Jul-24 04-Apr-23 02-Jan-25 -87 SUM29 [LoE] CC G Extended Basement - T&C 232 03-Jan-23 02-Feb-24 15-Sep-23 04-Jul-24 -76 -117 -27 [LoE] CC B Lyric Theatre - EWS Non-Weather Tight Type 4.1 & 4.3 SUM13 245 23-Mar-23 25-Mar-24 18-Oct-23 07-Sep-24 -25 -118 [LoE] CC K - Water Main at Promenade 143 SUM39 24-May-23 08-Jan-24 20-Dec-23 02-Jul-24 -92 -129 5 [LoE] CC | Underpass 3B & Associated Area - T&C 108 SUM33 13-Apr-23 25-Oct-23 04-Jan-24 22-May-24 -41 -165 -1 SUM16 [LoE] CC B Lyric Theatre - T&C (Excluding Non-FSD ELV & Electrical) 146 12-Dec-23 03-Dec-24 -146 11-Jun-24 12-Jun-24 -85 SUM18 [LoE] CC B Lyric Theatre, EB, C'Way 3B - Stat. Insp. & Approval (from Form 314/501 to BD OP) 17-May-24 10-Sep-24 16-Nov-24 18-Mar-25 -152 SUM38 [LoE] CC J - M+ Day 2 FS Changeover in 3A SZ 1, Connections to M+, Integrated T&C 51 29-Jul-24 26-Sep-24 03-Feb-25 02-Apr-25 -152 -152 0 SUM34 [LoE] CC_J Carriageway 3A - Stat. Insp. & Approvals (from Form 314A to BA14) 56 02-Sep-24 08-Nov-24 10-Mar-25 20-May-25 -152 -152 0

Base Line ACT Current - Struct Works

Rev_0KD Current - MEP Works

Struct - ABWF Works

William - ABWF Works

Current - Other Works

Current - Current - Current - Facade Works

L2 CMWP_R02_11 - IFA on 27Apr22 - ***LIVE***
(28th UPD; DD = 31Jan2023)

Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
10-Feb-23	CMWP Rev_2 Update DD 31Jan23	NS	IH

C. Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase

Air Quality

The Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour and 24-hour TSP for the monitoring station are presented in following tables:

Table C-1: Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP

Monitoring Station	Action Level (mg/m³)	Limit Level (mg/m³)
AM1	273.7	500
AM2	274.2	500

Table C-2: Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP

_	Monitoring Station	Action Level (µg/m³)	Limit Level (µg/m³)
	AM1	143.6	260
	AM2	151.1	260

<u>Noise</u>

The Action and Limit Levels for Noise for the monitoring stations are presented in following table:

Table C-3: Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Time Period & Monitoring Locations	Action Level	Limit Level
NM1A		
0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays	When one valid documented complaint is received.	75 dB(A)

D. Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Landscape and Visual Impact

Air Quality

In case the Action and Limit Levels are not complied during construction stage, the following Event and Action Plan should be followed:

Table D-1: Event and Action Plan for Air Quality

informed of the results.

Event	Action										
	ET	IEC	WKCDA	Contractor							
Action Level											
Exceedance for one sample	1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform IEC and WKCDA; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding;	Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method.	1. Notify Contractor	Rectify any unacceptable practice; Amend working methods if appropriate.							
	4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily.										
two or more consecutive	Identify source; Inform IEC and WKCDA;	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's 		remedial to WKCDA within three working							
samples	3. Advise the WKCDA on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures;	working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures;	 Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. 	days of notification; 2. Implement the agree proposals; 3. Amend proposal if							
	4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings;	4. Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the		appropriate.							
	5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily;	proposed remedial measures;									
	6. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required;	5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.									
	7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and WKCDA;										
	8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.										
Limit Level											
Exceedance for one sample	1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's 		1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance;							
	remedial measures; 2. Inform WKCDA,	working method;	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC							
	Contractor and EPD;	3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible	3. Ensure remedial measures properly	within three working							
	3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding;	•	implemented.	days of notification;3. Implement the agree							
	4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily;	the effectiveness of the proposed remedial		proposals; 4. Amend proposal if							
	5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and WKCDA	measures; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.		appropriate.							

Event Action

- two or more consecutive samples
- 2. Exceedance for 1. Notify IEC, WKCDA, Contractor and EPD;
 - 2. Identify source;
 - 3. Repeat measurement to working method; confirm findings;
 - 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily;
 - 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented;
 - 6. Arrange meeting with IEC and WKCDA to discuss the remedial actions to be taken;
 - 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC. EPD and WKCDA informed of the results;
 - 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.

- 1. Check monitoring data 1. Confirm receipt of 1. Take immediate submitted by ET;
- 2. Check Contractor's
- 3. Discuss amongst WKCDA, ET, and Contractor on the potential with the Contractor remedial actions;
- 4. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness measures properly and advise the WKCDA accordingly;
- 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.

- in writing;
- 2. Notify Contractor; 2. Submit proposals for
- 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree on the remedial measures to be implemented;
- 4. Ensure remedial implemented;
- 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.

- notification of failure action to avoid further exceedance;
 - remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification;
 - 3. Implement the agreed proposals;
 - 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control;
 - 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the WKCDA until the exceedance is abated.

Construction Noise

In case the Action and Limit Levels are not complied during construction stage, the following Event and Action Plan should be followed:

Table D-2: Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise

Event	Action									
	ET	IEC	WKCDA	Contractor						
Action Level	Notify WKCDA, IEC and Contractor; Carry out investigation; Report the results of investigation to the IEC, WKCDA and Contractor; Discuss with the IEC and Contractor on remedial measures required; Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness.	investigation results	in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation	mitigation proposals to IEC and WKCDA;						
Limit Level	1. Inform IEC, WKCDA, Contractor and EPD; 2. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 3. Increase monitoring frequency; 4. Identify source and investigate the cause of exceedance; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures; 6. Discuss with the IEC, Contractor and WKCDA on remedial measures required; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and WKCDA informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Discuss amongst WKCDA, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the WKCDA accordingly.	lin writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; 5. If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to	action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and WKCDA within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Submit further proposal if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the WKCDA until the exceedance is abated.						

Landscape and Visual Impact

In case of non-compliance of landscape and visual impacts, procedures in accordance with the Event and Action Plan should be followed:

Table D-3: Event and Action Plan for Landscape and Visual Impact

Event		Action	1	
	ET	IEC	WKCDA	Contractor
Design Check	Design check to make sure the design complies with all the proposed mitigation measures in the EIA report; Prepare and submit	 Check report submitted by ET; Recommend remedial design if necessary. 	Undertake remedial design if necessary.	-
	report.			
Non-conformity on one occasion	1. Identify source of non-conformity;	1. Check and verify source of non-conformity;	 Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial 	1. Amend working method as necessary;
	2. Report to IEC and WKCDA;	2. Discuss remedial actions with ET and	actions are properly implemented.	2. Rectify damage and undertake necessary
	3. Discuss remedial actions with IEC, WKCDA and Contractor;	effectiveness of proposed		replacement and remedial actions.
	4. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed.	remedial actions; 4. Check implementation of remedial actions.		
Repeated non conformity	-1. Identify source of non- conformity;	Check and verify source of non-conformity;	 Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial 	Amend working method as necessary;
	2. Report to IEC and WKCDA;	2. Check Contractor's working method;	actions are properly implemented.	2. Rectify damage and undertake necessary
	3. Increase monitoring frequency;	3. Discuss remedial actions with ET and		replacement and remedial actions.
	4. Discuss remedial actions with IEC, WKCDA and Contractor;	Contractor; 4. Advise WKCDA on effectiveness of proposed		
	5. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed;	remedial actions; 5. Supervise implementation of		
	6. If non-conformity rectified, reduce monitoring frequency back to normal.	remedial actions.		

E. Monitoring Schedule

February 2023

	J	anı	uar	y '2	3		March '23								April '23						
S	M	Т	W	Т	F	S	S	M	Т	W	Т	F	S	S	IN.	/1	Т	W	Т	F	S
1	2	3	4	5	6	7				1	2	3	4								1
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	2	;	3	4	5	6	7	8
15	16	17	18	19	20	21	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	9	1	0	11	12	13	14	15
22	23	24	25	26	27	28	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	10	3 1	7	18	19	20	21	22
29	30	31					26	27	28	29	30	31		23	3 2	4	25	26	27	28	29
														30)						
	-								_							-					

				29 30 31	20 21 20 29 30 31	30
Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
			AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring	2	3	4
5	6	7 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring	8 Lyric Landscape & Visual Inspection	9	10	11
12	13 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring	14	15	16	17 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3	18
19	20	21	Lyric Landscape & Visual Inspection	23 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring	24	25
26	27	28				
		Notes AM1 - International (AM2 - The Harboursi NM1A - Internationa	ide Tower 1 - Ground	l Floor		

March 2023

February '23								April '23						May '23							
S	M	Т	W	Т	F	S	S	M	Т	W	Т	F	S		S	M	Т	W	Т	F	S
			1	2	3	4							1			1	2	3	4	5	6
5	6	7	8	9	10	11	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		7	8	9	10	11	12	13
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	9	10	11	12	13	14	15		14	15	16	17	18	19	20
19	20	21	22	23	24	25	10	17	' 18	19	20	21	22		21	22	23	24	25	26	27
26	27	28					2	3 24	25	26	27	28	29		28	29	30	31			
							30)													

				20 27 20	30	20 20 00 01
Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
			1 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring	2	3	4
5	6	7 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring	8	9	10	11
12	13 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring	14	15	16	17 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3	18
19	20	21	22	23 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring	24	25
26	27	28	29 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring	30	31	
		Notes AM1 - International (AM2 - The Harbours NM1A - Internationa		Floor		

F. Calibration Certifications

<u>High-Volume TSP Sampler</u> <u>5-Point Calibration Record</u>

Location : AM1(ICC)
Calibrated by : K.T.Ho
Date : 11/01/2023

Sampler

 Model
 :
 TE-5170

 Serial Number
 :
 S/N 0767

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454

Service Date : 15 December 2022

 Slope (m)
 : 2.06918

 Intercept (b)
 : -0.04220

 Correlation Coefficient(r)
 : 0.99997

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013 Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1017 Ta(K) : 291

Resistance Plate		dH [green liquid]	Z	X=Qstd	IC	Y
		(inch water)		(cubic meter/min)	(chart)	(corrected)
1	18 holes	11.2	2.933	1.438	62	62.75
2	13 holes	8.2	2.510	1.233	52	52.63
3	10 holes	6.0	2.147	1.058	42	42.51
4	7 holes	4.4	1.838	0.909	32	32.39
5	5 holes	2.4	1.358	0.677	20	20.24

 $Notes: Z = SQRT\{dH(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)\}, \ X = Z/m-b \ , Y(Corrected \ Flow) = IC*\{SQRT(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)\}$

Sampler Calibration Relationship (Linear Regression)

Slope(m): 56.839 Intercept(b):18.311 Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9989

Checked by: Magnum Fan Date: 13/01/2023



RECALIBRATION DUE DATE:

December 15, 2023

Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information

Cal. Date: D

December 15, 2022

Rootsmeter S/N: 438320

Ta: 295

°K

Operator: Jim Tisch Calibration Model #:

II IISCII

TE-5025A

Calibrator S/N: 2454

Pa: 742.4 mr

mm Hg

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.4060	3.2	2.00
- 2	3	4	1	0.9980	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.8900	7.9	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8520	8.8	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7040	12.7	8.00

	Data Tabulation							
Vstd	Qstd	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}$		Qa	√∆H(Ta/Pa)			
(m3)	(x-axis)	(y-axis)	Va	(x-axis)	(y-axis)			
0.9826	0.6988	1.4049	0.9957	0.7082	0.8914			
0.9783	0.9803	1.9868	0.9914	0.9934	1.2607			
0.9763	1.0970	2.2213	0.9894	1.1116	1.4095			
0.9751	1.1445	2.3297	0.9881	1.1598	1.4783			
0.9700	1.3778	2.8097	0.9829	1.3962	1.7829			
	m=	2.06918		m=	1.29568			
QSTD	b=	-0.04220	QA	b=	-0.02677			
	r=	0.99997		r=	0.99997			

	Calculation	S	
Vstd=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)	Va=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa)
Qstd=	Vstd/ΔTime	Qa=	Va/ΔTime
	For subsequent flow rate	e calculatio	ns:
Qstd=	$1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}\right)-b\right)$	Qa=	$1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}\right)-b\right)$

	Standard Conditions
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg
	Key
ΔH: calibrator	manometer reading (in H2O)
ΔP: rootsmete	er manometer reading (mm Hg)
Ta: actual abs	olute temperature (°K)
Pa: actual bar	ometric pressure (mm Hg)
b: intercept	
m: slope	

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30

Tisch Environmental, Inc.

145 South Miami Avenue Village of Cleves, OH 45002 www.tisch-env.com

TOLL FREE: (877)263-7610

FAX: (513)467-9009

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT

: MR K.W. FAN

WORK ORDER

HK2241671

CLIENT

: ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.

SUB-BATCH

: 1

ADDRESS

: RM 712, 7/F, MY LOFT 9 HOI WING ROAD,

DATE RECEIVED : 21-OCT-2022

TUEN MUN, N.T., HK

DATE OF ISSUE : 1-NOV-2022

PROJECT

NO. OF SAMPLES : 1 CLIENT ORDER

General Comments

- Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.
- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
- Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified.
- Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting.

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd Part of the ALS Laboratory Group WORK ORDER SUB-BATCH

: HK2241671

PROJECT

: 1

CLIENT

: ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.



ALS Lab	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.	
HK2241671-001	S/N: 781282	Equipments	21-Oct-2022	S/N: 781282	

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type:

Laser Dust monitor

Manufacturer:

Sibata LD - 5R

Serial No.

781282

Equipment Ref:

NA

Job Order

HK2241671

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment:

Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)

Location & Location ID:

AUES office (calibration room)

Equipment Ref:

HVS 018

Last Calibration Date:

13 September 2022

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date:

25 October 2022

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in ug/m ³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/min)
2hr01mins	09:20 ~ 11:21	23.8	1018.2	33.7	1929	16.0
2hr02mins	11:23 ~ 13:25	23.8	1018.2	27.9	1686	13.8
2hr04mins	13:27 ~ 15:31	23.8	1018.2	43.6	2045	16.5

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor):

2.3277 (µg/m³)/CPM

Correlation Coefficient (R)

0.9733

Date of Issue

26 October 2022

50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 y = 2.3277x - 0.6856 10 R2 = 0.9473 5 0 0 15

Remarks:

- 1. Strong Correlation (R>0.8)
- Factor 2.3277 (µg/m³)/CPM should be applied for TSP monitoring 2.

*If R<0.5, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator: _ Fai So

Signature:

Date:

26 October 2022

QC Reviewer: Ben Tam

Signature:

26 October 2022

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location:

Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung

Location ID:

Calibration Room

Date of Calibration: 13-Sep-22

Next Calibration Date: 13-Dec-22

CONDITIONS

1007.3

31.7

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)

Temperature (°C)

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)
Temperature (K)

733,473 304

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH
Model-> 5025A
Calibration Date-> 27-Dec-21

Qstd Slope ->
Qstd Intercept ->
Expiry Date->

1.99838 -0.00903 27-Dec-22

CALIBRATION

	Plate	H20 (L)	H2O (R)	H20	Qstd	I	IC	LINEAR
	No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	corrected	REGRESSION
	18	6	6	12.0	1.714	54	53.24	Slope = 30.1792
	13	4.9	4.9	9.8	1.549	48	47.33	Intercept = 1.5486
	10	3.7	3.7	7.4	1.347	44	43.38	Corr. coeff. = 0.9961
1	.8	2.5	2.5	5.0	1.108	36	35.50	=
100	5	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.887	28	27.61	

Calculations :

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tay = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





RECALIBRATION DUE DATE:

December 27, 2022

Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information

Cal. Date: December 27, 2021

Rootsmeter S/N: 438320

Ta: 295

°K

Operator: Jim Tisch

100t3meter 3/14. 436320

Pa: 740.4

mm Hg

Calibration Model #:

TE-5025A

Calibrator S/N: 1612

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.3890	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	0.9760	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.8740	7.9	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8320	8.8	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.6870	12.7	8.00

	Data Tabulation							
Vstd	Qstd	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}$		Qa	√∆H(Ta/Pa)			
(m3)	(x-axis)	(y-axis)	Va	(x-axis)	(y-axis)			
0.9799	0.7055	1.4029	0.9957	0.7168	0.8927			
0.9756	0.9996	1.9841	0.9914	1.0157	1.2624			
0.9736	1.1140	2.2183	0.9893	1.1320	1.4114			
0.9724	1.1688	2.3265	0.9881	1.1876	1.4803			
0.9673	1.4079	2.8059	0.9828	1.4306	1.7853			
	m=	1.99838		m=	1.25135			
QSTD	b=	-0.00903	QA	b=	-0.00574			
	r=	0.99999		r=	0.99999			

	Calculation	ns	
Vstd=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)	Va=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa)
Qstd= Vstd/ΔTime		Qa= Va/ΔTime	
	For subsequent flow rate	te calculatio	ns:
Qstd=	$1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{P_a}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}\right)-b\right)$	Qa=	$1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H(Ta/Pa)}\right)-b\right)$

	Standard Conditions	
Tstd:	298.15 °K	
Pstd:	760 mm Hg	
	Key	_
ΔH: calibrator	manometer reading (in H2O)	
ΔP: rootsmete	er manometer reading (mm Hg)	
Ta: actual abso	olute temperature (°K)	
Pa: actual bard	ometric pressure (mm Hg)	_
b: intercept		
m: slope		

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998
40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51,
Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the
Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in
the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30

Tisch Environmental, Inc. 145 South Miami Avenue Village of Cleves, OH 45002 www.tisch-env.c

TOLL FREE: (877)263-76

FAX: (513)467-90

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT

: MR K.W. FAN

WORK ORDER

HK2208527

CLIENT

: ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.

SUB-BATCH

: 1

ADDRESS

: RM113, 1/F, MY LOFT, 9 HOI WING ROAD,

DATE RECEIVED : 7-MAR-2022

TUEN MUN, N.T. HONG KONG

DATE OF ISSUE : 15-MAR-2022

PROJECT

NO. OF SAMPLES : 1 CLIENT ORDER

General Comments

- Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.
- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
- Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting.

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Kilar Fray Richard Fung

Managing Director

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

WORK ORDER

: HK2208527

SUB-BATCH

: 1

CLIENT PROJECT : ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.

: ----



ALS Lab	Client's Sample ID	Sample	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.
ID		Туре		
HK2208527-001	S/N: 326285	Equipments	07-Mar-2022	S/N: 326285

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type:

Laser Dust monitor

Manufacturer:

Sibata LD - 3B

Serial No.

326285

Equipment Ref:

NA

Job Order

HK2208527

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment:

Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)

Location & Location ID:

AUES office (calibration room)

Equipment Ref:

HVS 018

Last Calibration Date:

22 February 2022

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date:

8 March 2022

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in ug/m³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/min)
2hr01 mins	09:31 ~ 11:32	19.5	1012.7	26.1	475	3.9
2hr01 mins	11:34 ~ 13:35	19.5	1012.7	24.9	450	3.7
2hr03mins	13:37 ~ 15:40	19.5	1012.7	32.5	539	4.4

35

30 25

20

15

y - 7.0384x - 0.2821

 $R^2 = 0.9896$

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor):

7.0384 (µg/m³)/CPM

Correlation Coefficient (R)

0.9947

Signature:

Date of Issue

10 March 2022

Remarks:

1. Strong Correlation (R>0.8)

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam

Factor 7.0384 (µg/m³)/CPM should be applied for TSP monitoring 2.

*If R<0.5, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Signature: Date: ___ 10 March 2022 Operator: Martin Li Date: __ 10 March 2022

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location: Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung

Location ID: Calibration Room

Date of Calibration: 22-Feb-22

Next Calibration Date: 22-May-22

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) Temperature (°C) 1010.8 22.8

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)
Temperature (K)

758.1 296

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH
Model-> 5025A
Calibration Date-> 27-Dec-21

Qstd Slope -> Qstd Intercept -> Expiry Date-> 1.99838 -0.00903 27-Dec-22

CALIBRATION

١	Plate	H20 (L)	H2O (R)	H20	Qstd	I	IC	LINEAR
١	No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	corrected	REGRESSION
ı	18	5.8	5.8	11.6	1.713	54	54.13	Slope = 27.3242
	13	4.7	4.7	9.4	1.543	49	49.12	Intercept = 7.2177
1	10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.351	44	44.11	Corr. coeff. = 0.9997
	8	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.080	37	37.09	
	5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.844	30	30.07	

Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Ostd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tay = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





RECALIBRATION DUE DATE:

December 27, 2022

Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information

Cal. Date: December 27, 2021

Rootsmeter S/N: 438320

Ta: 295 Pa: 740.4 °K

Operator: Jim Tisch

Calibrator S/N: 1612

mm Hg

Calibration Model #: TE-5025A

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.3890	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	0.9760	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.8740	7.9	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8320	8.8	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.6870	12.7	8.00

	-	Data Tabula	ition	n	
Vstd	Qstd	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}$		Qa	$\sqrt{\Delta H (Ta/Pa)}$
(m3)	(x-axis)	(y-axis)	Va	(x-axis)	(y-axis)
0.9799	0.7055	1.4029	0.9957	0.7168	0.8927
0.9756	0.9996	1.9841	0.9914	1.0157	1.2624
0.9736	1.1140	2.2183	0.9893	1.1320	1.4114
0.9724	1.1688	2.3265	0.9881	1.1876	1.4803
0.9673	1.4079	2.8059	0.9828	1.4306	1.7853
	m=	1.99838		m=	1.25135
QSTD	b=	-0.00903	QA	b=	-0.00574
	r=	0.99999		r=	0.99999

	Calculation	ons	
Vstd=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)	Va=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa)
Qstd=	Vstd/∆Time	Qa=	Va/ΔTime
	For subsequent flow ra	ate calculatio	ns:
Qstd=	$1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}\right)-b\right)$	Qa=	$1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}\right)-b\right)$

	Standard Conditions
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg
	Key
ΔH: calibrator	manometer reading (in H2O)
ΔP: rootsmete	er manometer reading (mm Hg)
Ta: actual abs	olute temperature (°K)
Pa: actual bar	ometric pressure (mm Hg)
b: intercept	
m: slope	

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30

Tisch Environmental, Inc. 145 South Miami Avenue Village of Cleves, OH 45002

www.tisch-env.co

TOLL FREE: (877)263-76. FAX: (513)467-90

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT

: MR K.W. FAN

WORK ORDER

HK2219480

CLIENT

: ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.

SUB-BATCH

: 1 DATE RECEIVED : 26-MAY-2022

ADDRESS

: RM 712, 7/F, MY LOFT 9 HOI WING ROAD,

TUEN MUN, N.T., HK

DATE OF ISSUE : 7-JUN-2022

PROJECT

NO. OF SAMPLES: 1

CLIENT ORDER

General Comments

Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.

Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.

Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting.

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

WORK ORDER

: HK2219480

SUB-BATCH

CLIENT PROJECT : 1 : ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.



ALS Lab	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.	
HK2219480-001	S/N: 476664	Equipments	26-May-2022	S/N: 476664	

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type:

Laser Dust monitor

Manufacturer:

Sibata LD - 3B

Serial No.

476664

Equipment Ref:

NA

Job Order

HK2219480

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment:

Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)

Location & Location ID:

AUES office (calibration room)

Equipment Ref:

HVS 018

Last Calibration Date:

27 May 2022

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date:

27 May 2022

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in ug/m³ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/min)
2hr01mins	09:27 ~ 11:28	27.4	1004.3	38.0	1779	14.8
2hr01mins	11:32 ~ 13:33	27.4	1004.3	30.3	1727	14.2
2hr	13:37 ~ 15:37	27.4	1004.3	34.1	1751	14.6

40 35

20 15

10

0.

y = 2.3584x - 0.1105

 $R^2 = 0.9767$

15

10

20

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor):

2.3584 (µg/m³)/CPM

Correlation Coefficient (R)

0.9883

Date of Issue

2 June 2022

Remarks:

- 1. Strong Correlation (R>0.8)
- 2. Factor 2.3584 (µg/m³)/CPM should be applied for TSP monitoring

*If R<0.5, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : _____ Fai So ___ Signature : ____ Date : ___ 2 June 2022

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : Date : 2 June 2022

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location:

Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung

Date of Calibration: 27-May-22 Next Calibration Date: 27-Aug-22

Location ID:

Calibration Room

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) Temperature (°C)

1004.3 27.4

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) Temperature (K)

300

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

TISCH Make-> Model-> 5025A Calibration Date-> 27-Dec-21

Ostd Slope -> Qstd Intercept -> Expiry Date->

CALIBRATION

- 1								
	Plate	H20 (L)	H2O (R)	H20	Qstd	· I	IC	LINEAR
	No.	(in)	(in)	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	corrected	REGRESSION
	18	6	6	12.0	1.723	54	53.54	Slope = 29.5236
	13	5	5	10.0	1.574	48	47.59	Intercept = 2.4681
	10	3.7	3.7	7.4	1.354	44	43.63	Corr. coeff. = 0.9935
	8	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.092	36	35.70	
	5	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.892	28	27.76	

Calculations:

Ostd = 1/m[Sqrt(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]

IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Ostd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart respones

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tay = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





RECALIBRATION DUE DATE:

December 27, 2022

Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information

Cal. Date: December 27, 2021

Rootsmeter S/N: 438320

Ta: 295

°K

Operator: Jim Tisch

Pa: 740.4

mm Hg

Calibration Model #: TE-5025A

Calibrator S/N: 1612

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.3890	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	0.9760	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.8740	7.9	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8320	8.8	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.6870	12.7	8.00

		Data Tabula	tion		
Vstd	Qstd	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}$		Qa	√∆H(Ta/Pa)
(m3)	(x-axis)	(y-axis)	Va	(x-axis)	(y-axis)
0.9799	0.7055	1.4029	0.9957	0.7168	0.8927
0.9756	0.9996	1.9841	0.9914	1.0157	1.2624
0.9736	1.1140	2.2183	0.9893	1.1320	1.4114
0.9724	1.1688	2.3265	0.9881	1.1876	1.4803
0.9673	1.4079	2.8059	0.9828	1.4306	1.7853
	m=	1.99838		m=	1.25135
QSTD[b=	-0.00903	QA	b=	-0.00574
	r=	0.99999	•	r=	0.99999

	Calculatio	ns		
Vstd=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)	Va=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa)	
Qstd=	Vstd/ΔTime	Qa= Va/ΔTime		
	For subsequent flow ra	te calculatio	ns:	
Qstd=	$1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}\right)-b\right)$	Qa=	$1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H(Ta/Pa)}\right)-b\right)$	

	Standard Conditions
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg
	Key
ΔH: calibrato	r manometer reading (in H2O)
ΔP: rootsmet	er manometer reading (mm Hg)
Ta: actual ab:	solute temperature (°K)
Pa: actual ba	rometric pressure (mm Hg)
b: intercept	
m: slope	

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998
40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51,
Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the
Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in
the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30

Tisch Environmental, Inc. 145 South Miami Avenue Village of Cleves, OH 45002 www.tisch-env.

TOLL FREE: (877)263-76

FAX: (513)467-9



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No.: C224775

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號: IC22-1518)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期: 1 August 2022

Description / 儀器名稱

Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer / 製造商

Rion

Model No. / 型號

NL-52

Serial No. / 編號

00643040

Supplied By / 委託者

Envirotech Services Co.

Room 712, 7/F, My Loft, 9 Hoi Wing Road, Tuen Mun,

New Territories, Hong Kong

TEST CONDITIONS/測試條件

Temperature / 溫度

 $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}$ C

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :

 $(50 \pm 25)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓 :

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST/測試日期

20 August 2022

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By 測試

Assistant Engineer

Certified By 核證

Engineer

Date of Issue

簽發日期

23 August 2022

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory. 本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No.: C224775

證書編號

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.

2. Self-calibration was performed before the test.

3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

4. Test equipment:

Equipment ID

Description

Certificate No.

CL280

40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator

C220381

CL281

Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator

AV210017

5. Test procedure: MA101N.

6. Results:

6.1 Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

	UUT	Setting		Applied	d Value	UUT	IEC 61672
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Spec. (dB)
30 - 130	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.3	± 1.1

6.1.2 Linearity

UUT Setting			Applie	UUT		
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)
30 - 130	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.3 (Ref.)
				104.00		104.5
				114.00		114.6

IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. : \pm 0.6 dB per 10 dB step and \pm 1.1 dB for overall different.

6.2 Time Weighting

UUT Setting			Applied Value		UUT	IEC 61672	
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Spec. (dB)
30 - 130	L_{A}	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.3	Ref.
			Slow			94.3	± 0.3

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No.: C

C224775

證書編號

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting			Applied Value		UUT	IEC 61672	
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Spec. (dB)
30 - 130	L _A	A	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	68.1	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	78.1	-16.1 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	85.6	-8.6 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	91.0	-3.2 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.3	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.5	$+1.2 \pm 1.6$
					4 kHz	95.3	$+1.0 \pm 1.6$
					8 kHz	93.3	-1.1 (+2.1; -3.1)
					16 kHz	86.3	- 6.6 (+3.5 ; -17.0

6.3.2 C-Weighting

	UUT Setting			Applied Value		UUT	IEC 61672
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.	Reading (dB)	Class 1 Spec. (dB)
30 - 130	L _C	C	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	93.4	-0.8 ± 1.5
		100			125 Hz	94.1	-0.2 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	94.3	0.0 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	94.3	0.0 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.3	Ref.
					2 kHz	94.1	-0.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	93.5	-0.8 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	91.4	-3.0 (+2.1; - 3.1)
					16 kHz	84.4	-8.5 (+3.5; -17.0)

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No.: C224775

證書編號

Remarks: - UUT Microphone Model No.: UC-59 & S/N: 10446

- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 61672 Class 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value: 94 dB: 63 Hz - 125 Hz: ± 0.35 dB

104 dB : 1 kHz : \pm 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) 114 dB : 1 kHz : \pm 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)

Note:

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

⁻ The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No.: C223338

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號: IC22-1069)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期: 2 June 2022

Description / 儀器名稱

Precision Acoustic Calibrator

Manufacturer / 製造商

LARSON DAVIS

Model No. / 型號

CAL200

Serial No. / 編號

11333

Supplied By / 委託者

Envirotech Services Co.

Room 712, 7/F, My Loft, 9 Hoi Wing Road, Tuen Mun,

New Territories, Hong Kong

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :

Line Voltage / 電壓

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期

18 June 2022

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via:

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies

- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By 測試

Assistant Engineer

Certified By

核證

Lee Engineer

Date of Issue 簽發日期

20 June 2022

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory



Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

Certificate No.: C223338

證書編號

校正證書

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement 1. of the test.
- 2. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- 3. Test equipment:

Equipment ID CL130 CL281 TST150A

Description Universal Counter Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator Measuring Amplifier

Certificate No. C213954 AV210017 C221705

- 4. Test procedure: MA100N.
- 5. Results:

5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	93.8	± 0.2	± 0.2
114 dB, 1 kHz	113.8		

Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (kHz)	Measured Value (kHz)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)
1	1.000	1 kHz ± 1 %	± 1

Remark: The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。


Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

G. Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results

Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM1 (1-hour TSP)

	Weather			Conc. (μg/m³)	Action Level	Limit Level
Date	Condition	Time	1 st Hour	2 nd Hour	3 rd Hour	(μg/m³)	(µg/m³)
01-Feb-23	Sunny	8:28 - 11:28	32	31	27	273.7	500
07-Feb-23	Cloudy	8:22 - 11:22	34	37	40	273.7	500
13-Feb-23	Cloudy	8:28 - 11:28	63	55	59	273.7	500
17-Feb-23	Sunny	8:28 - 11:28	30	29	35	273.7	500
23-Feb-23	Sunny	8:23 - 11:23	27	31	25	273.7	500


Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM1 (1-hour TSP)



Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM2 (1-hour TSP)

	Weather			Conc. (µg/m³)	Action Level	Limit Level
Date	Condition	Time	1 st Hour	2 nd Hour	3 rd Hour	(μg/m³)	(μg/m³)
01-Feb-23	Sunny	8:43 - 11:43	44	41	50	274.2	500
07-Feb-23	Cloudy	8:37 - 11:37	55	59	62	274.2	500
13-Feb-23	Cloudy	8:43 - 11:43	77	82	79	274.2	500
17-Feb-23	Sunny	8:43 - 11:43	44	40	49	274.2	500
23-Feb-23	Sunny	8:38 - 11:38	44	47	40	274.2	500


Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM2 (1-hour TSP)



Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM1 (24-hour TSP)

Sta	rt	Finis	sh	Filter W	eight (g)	Elapse Rea	d Time ding	Sampling Flow Rate (m ³ /min)		Conc.	Weather	Action	Limit		
Date	Time	Date	Time	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Time (hrs)	Initial	Final	Average	(µg/m ³)	Condition	Level	Level
01-Feb-23	08:25	02-Feb-23	08:25	2.6901	2.7183	26072.38	26096.38	24	1.1	1.1	1.1	18	Sunny	143.6	260
07-Feb-23	08:20	08-Feb-23	08:20	2.6945	2.7397	26092.38	26116.38	24	1.1	1.1	1.1	29	Cloudy	143.6	260
13-Feb-23	08:25	14-Feb-23	08:25	2.6889	2.7695	26116.38	26140.38	24	1.1	1.1	1.1	51	Cloudy	143.6	260
17-Feb-23	08:25	18-Feb-23	08:25	2.7130	2.7580	26140.38	26164.38	24	1.1	1.1	1.1	28	Sunny	143.6	260
23-Feb-23	08:20	24-Feb-23	08:20	2.7094	2.7332	26164.38	26188.38	24	1.1	1.1	1.1	15	Sunny	143.6	260


Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM1 (24-hour TSP)



Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM2 (24-hour TSP)

Sta	rt	Finis	sh	Sampling	Conc.	Weather	Action	
Date	Time	Date	Time	Time (hrs)	(µg/m³)	Condition	Level	Limit Level
01-Feb-23	08:40	02-Feb-23	08:40	24	41	Sunny	151.1	260
07-Feb-23	08:34	08-Feb-23	08:34	24	43	Cloudy	151.1	260
13-Feb-23	08:40	14-Feb-23	08:40	24	73	Cloudy	151.1	260
17-Feb-23	08:40	18-Feb-23	08:40	24	36	Sunny	151.1	260
23-Feb-23	08:35	24-Feb-23	08:35	24	33	Sunny	151.1	260

Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM2 (24-hour TSP)



Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM1A


Date	Time	Measured L ₁₀ , dB(A)	Measured L ₉₀ , dB(A)	L _{eq} (30 min.)*, dB(A)
01-Feb-23	09:27	65.2	61.3	
01-Feb-23	09:32	64.7	60.4	
01-Feb-23	09:37	66.5	62.8	66
01-Feb-23	09:42	65.9	61.7	00
01-Feb-23	09:47	65.0	61.9	
01-Feb-23	09:52	64.6	60.6	
07-Feb-23	09:21	64.2	60.4	
07-Feb-23	09:26	65.1	61.5	
07-Feb-23	09:31	65.6	61.9	67
07-Feb-23	09:36	66.8	62.7	07
07-Feb-23	09:41	65.0	61.0	
07-Feb-23	09:46	66.7	62.2	
13-Feb-23	09:27	65.2	61.3	
13-Feb-23	09:32	66.5	62.4	
13-Feb-23	09:37	65.7	61.8	67
13-Feb-23	09:42	65.9	61.7	0/
13-Feb-23	09:47	66.0	62.5	
13-Feb-23	09:52	65.6	61.0	
23-Feb-23	09:22	64.2	60.5	
23-Feb-23	09:27	65.3	61.6	
23-Feb-23	09:32	65.7	61.9	6.0
23-Feb-23	09:37	66.9	62.7	66
23-Feb-23	09:42	64.0	60.8	
23-Feb-23	09:47	65.4	61.0	

Remarks:

^{* +3}dB (A) correction was applied to free-field measurement.



The station set-up of a free-field measurement at Station NM1A.




H. Meteorological Data Extracted from Hong Kong Observatory

Extract of Meteorological Observations for King's Park Automatic Weather Station February 2023

Temperature/Humidity:




Pressure:



Wind Direction:








Pressure:




Wind Direction:








Pressure:




Wind Direction:









Pressure:




Wind Direction:








Pressure:




Wind Direction:









Pressure:




Wind Direction:









Pressure:




Wind Direction:








Pressure:




Wind Direction:








Pressure:




Wind Direction:







Pressure:



Wind Direction:





I. Waste Flow table

Table I-1: Monthly Waste Flow Table for Lyric Theatre Complex

	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly												
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Disposed to Sorting Facilty	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging	Plastics	Wood/ Timber	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. General Refuse
	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)
2016													
Mar	2702.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	2702.1	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.6
Apr	8631.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	8631.5	0.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.2
May	12487.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	12487.8	0.0	0.0	34.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	60.5
Jun	8600.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	8600.8	0.0	0.0	31.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.5	13.5
Jul	12624.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	12624.2	0.0	0.0	19.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	9.9
Aug	14419.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	14419.9	0.0	0.0	43.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1
Sep	13671.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	13671.3	0.0	0.0	59.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	12.4
Oct	13088.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	13088.9	0.0	0.0	36.9	0.2	1.5	0.0	0.0	15.2
Nov	12424.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	12424.7	0.0	0.0	74.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	10.2
Dec	12487.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	12487.6	0.0	0.0	13.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	9.0
Sub-total (2016)	111138.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	111138.8	0.0	0.0	334.5	0.4	1.5	0.0	7.6	191.6
2017		•			•			•	•	•			
Jan	9607.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	9607.8	0.0	0.0	29.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.3
Feb	9108.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	9108.2	0.0	0.0	50.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.7	9.8
Mar	11361.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	11361.7	0.0	0.0	16.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	8.5
Apr	2591.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	2591.5	0.0	0.0	35.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.7
May	2579.3	0.0	0.0	99.0	2480.3	0.0	0.0	20.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.5	10.0
Jun	476.0	0.0	0.0	341.0	129.7	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.6
Jul	3419.0	0.0	0.0	804.0	2615.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.8
Aug	3730.9	0.0	0.0	1377.5	2353.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.4
Sep	2108.2	0.0	0.0	1133.5	974.7	0.0	0.0	34.6	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.8
Oct	9159.0	0.0	0.0	7868.0	1291.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	9.3
Nov	5095.4	0.0	0.0	4352.0	725.2	18.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.8
Dec	3856.2	0.0	0.0	3076.0	780.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	8.4
Sub-total (2017)	63093.1	0.0	0.0	19051.0	44018.7	23.4	0.0	187.1	0.7	0.0	0.0	3.8	137.3

Table I-1: Monthly Waste Flow Table for Lyric Theatre Complex

	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly												
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Disposed to Sorting Facilty	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging	Plastics	Wood/ Timber	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. General Refuse
	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)
2018													
Jan	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Feb	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5
Mar	6120.2	0.0	0.0	5782.0	338.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.5	17.6
Apr	14460.3	0.0	0.0	12484.1	1976.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	7.6
May	59783.7	0.0	0.0	46989.0	12794.7	0.0	0.0	59.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.4
Jun	53117.5	0.0	0.0	37642.8	15474.7	0.0	0.0	51.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.8
Jul	89901.5	0.0	0.0	85317.1	4584.4	0.0	165.1	114.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.3
Aug	35137.3	0.0	0.0	33731.6	1405.7	0.0	214.3	148.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	48.5
Sep	4924.3	0.0	0.0	4641.2	196.1	87.0	174.6	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	179.2
Oct	19099.9	0.0	0.0	11301.0	7642.8	156.1	0.0	106.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	528.5
Nov	104168.0	0.0	0.0	79811.6	24351.0	5.3	0.0	54.5	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	31.5
Dec	62989.9	0.0	0.0	51284.4	11699.9	5.6	0.0	95.1	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	65.9
Sub-total (2018)	449702.6	0.0	0.0	368984.8	80463.7	254.0	553.9	669.7	0.5	2.4	0.0	0.5	943.7
2019													_
Jan	74479.1	0.0	0.0	69249.5	5229.7	0.0	318.0	326.7	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.3
Feb	21969.9	0.0	0.0	17723.9	4246.0	0.0	16.5	55.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7
Mar	19311.9	0.0	0.0	8569.9	10742.0	0.0	337.8	61.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.3
Apr	28559.9	0.0	0.0	21280.3	7279.6	0.0	0.0	32.6	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	24.9
May	45418.0	0.0	0.0	11200.6	34217.4	0.0	0.0	27.4	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	33.7
Jun	66633.4	0.0	0.0	23874.5	42748.0	10.9	59.2	11.9	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	35.3
Jul	36619.6	0.0	0.0	1632.7	34960.9	26.0	64.4	120.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.9
Aug	2526.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	2499.0	27.8	31.9	40.2	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	66.3
Sep	4117.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	4088.7	28.9	95.2	19.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	127.4
Oct	6974.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	6948.1	26.1	15.9	11.4	0.2	1.0	0.0	0.6	223.6
Nov	5334.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	5304.1	30.3	0.0	8.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	151.6
Dec	6236.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	6236.8	0.0	0.0	70.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	98.9
Sub-total (2019)	318181.6	0.0	0.0	153531.3	164500.1	150.1	938.9	785.8	0.6	4.6	0.0	0.6	959.0

Table I-1: Monthly Waste Flow Table for Lyric Theatre Complex

		Actual Qu	antities of Ine	rt C&D Mater	ials Generate	d Monthly		Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly					
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Disposed to Sorting Facilty	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging	Plastics	Wood/ Timber	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. General Refuse
	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)
2020													
Jan	7089.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	7089.9	0.0	0.0	10.6	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	65.7
Feb	16822.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	16822.3	0.0	0.0	232.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.3
Mar	6559.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6559.0	0.0	110.4	63.1	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	138.3
Apr	4997.9	0.0	0.0	1615.7	3382.2	0.0	159.2	1123.9	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	113.2
May	2236.0	0.0	0.0	452.3	1783.6	0.0	0.0	406.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	188.8
Jun	1134.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1134.3	0.0	31.5	262.6	0.2	0.6	0.0	0.0	210.6
Jul	148.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	148.8	0.0	31.5	458.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	220.0
Aug	540.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	540.7	0.0	0.0	340.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	238.3
Sep	1432.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1432.3	0.0	0.0	750.7	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	291.9
Oct	1381.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1381.5	0.0	0.0	717.9	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	400.2
Nov	1444.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1437.4	6.7	475.8	473.6	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	377.8
Dec	793.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	793.8	0.0	0.0	478.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	435.8
Sub-total (2020)	44580.6	0.0	0.0	2068.1	42505.8	6.7	808.3	5318.7	3.7	2.0	0.0	0.0	2746.8
2021	•	•			•					•	•	•	
Jan	881.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	881.4	0.0	0.0	835.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	497.0
Feb	544.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	544.7	0.0	0.0	100.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	504.7
Mar	406.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	406.1	0.0	0.0	455.8	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	881.7
Apr	633.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	633.0	0.0	0.0	429.9	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	613.0
May	1125.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1125.8	0.0	0.0	355.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	355.2
Jun	877.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	877.3	0.0	0.0	98.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	420.3
Jul	8.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.9	0.0	43.9	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	278.2
Aug	1296.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1296.2	0.0	0.0	161.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	459.1
Sep	1040.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	490.9	549.6	0.0	62.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	620.8
Oct	311.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	311.0	0.0	0.0	85.9	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	485.6
Nov	203.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	203.9	0.0	0.0	65.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	609.6
Dec	576.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	576.6	0.0	0.0	13.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	590.6
Sub-total (2021)	7905.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	7346.9	558.5	0.0	2708.2	4.4	0.1	0.0	0.4	6315.9

Table I-1: Monthly Waste Flow Table for Lyric Theatre Complex

		Actual Qu	antities of Ine	rt C&D Mater	ials Generate	d Monthly			Actual Quant	ities of C&D \	Vastes Gener	ated Monthly	
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Disposed to Sorting Facilty	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging	Plastics	Wood/ Timber	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. General Refuse
	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)
2022	-	•											
Jan	579.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	579.3	0.0	0.0	23.5	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	565.5
Feb	58.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	172.2
Mar	412.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	412.8	0.0	0.0	12.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	339.8
Apr	390.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	390.2	0.0	0.0	24.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	390.9
May	357.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	350.1	7.2	0.0	44.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	401.9
Jun	200.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	200.4	0.0	0.0	21.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	447.8
Jul	166.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	166.8	0.0	0.0	6.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.7	343.9
Aug	150.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	150.9	0.0	0.0	9.6	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	410.6
Sep	437.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	437.6	0.0	0.0	11.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	348.3
Oct	708.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	708.0	0.0	0.0	13.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	353.0
Nov	244.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	244.1	0.0	0.0	47.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	427.4
Dec	337.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	337.4	0.0	0.0	28.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	385.3
Sub-total (2022)	4043.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	4036.3	7.2	0.0	242.6	2.3	0.3	0.0	1.8	4586.5
2023													
Jan	307.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	307.0	0.0	0.0	44.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	415.1
Feb	1087.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1087.8	0.0	0.0	22.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	411.4
Sub-total (2023)	1394.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1394.8	0.0	0.0	67.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	826.5
Total	1000040.2	0.0	0.0	543635.2	455405.0	999.9	2301.1	10313.8	12.6	10.8	0.0	14.7	16707.3

Note:

^{- 820.01} tonnes, 267.82 tonnes and 0.0 tonne of inert C&D materials were disposed of as public fill to Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Public Fill, Tuen Mun Area 38 Public Fill and Chai Wan Public Fill Barging Point respectively in the reporting month.

J. Environmental Mitigation Measures – Implementation Status

Table J-1: Environmental Mitigation Measures Implementation Status (February 2023)

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	L2
ir Quality	Impact (Construction)	
2.1 &	General Dust Control Measures	
10.3.1	Frequent water spraying for active construction areas (12 times a day or once every one hour), including Heavy construction activities such as construction of buildings or roads, drilling, ground excavation, cut and fill operations (i.e., earth moving)	Obs
.1 &	Best Practice For Dust Control	
10.3.1	The relevant best practices for dust control as stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (construction Dust) Regulation should be adopted to further reduce the construction dust impacts from the Project. These best practices include:	
	Good Site Management	
	 Good site management is important to help reducing potential air quality impact down to an acceptable level. As a general guide, the Contractor should maintain high standard of housekeeping to prevent emission of fugitive dust. Loading, unloading, handling and storage of raw materials, wastes or by-products should be carried out in a manner so as to minimise the release of visible dust emission. Any piles of materials accumulated on or around the work areas should be cleaned up regularly. Cleaning, repair and maintenance of all plant facilities within the work areas should be carried out in a manner minimising generation of fugitive dust emissions. The material should be handled properly to prevent fugitive dust emission before cleaning. 	Obs
	Disturbed Parts of the Roads	
	 Each and every main temporary access should be paved with concrete, bituminous hardcore materials or metal plates and kept clear of dusty materials; or 	✓
	 Unpaved parts of the road should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to keep the entire road surface wet. 	✓
	Exposed Earth	
	 Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or seating with latex, vinyl, bitumen within six months after the last construction activity on the site or part of the site where the exposed earth lies. 	N/A No exposed earth in this project
	Loading, Unloading or Transfer of Dusty Materials	
	 All dusty materials should be sprayed with water immediately prior to any loading or transfer operation so as to keep the dusty material wet. 	✓
	Debris Handling	
	 Any debris should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or stored in a debris collection area sheltered on the top and the three sides. 	✓
	Before debris is dumped into a chute, water should be sprayed so that it remains wet when it is dumped.	✓

		Implementation Stage
EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	L2
	Transport of Dusty Materials	
	 Vehicle used for transporting dusty materials/spoils should be covered with tarpaulin or similar material. The cover should extend over the edges of the sides and tailboards. 	✓
	Wheel washing	
	 Vehicle wheel washing facilities should be provided at each construction site exit. Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels. 	✓
	Use of vehicles	
	 The speed of the trucks within the site should be controlled to about 10km/hour in order to reduce adverse dust impacts and secure the safe movement around the site. 	✓
	 Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels. 	✓
	• Where a vehicle leaving the construction site is carrying a load of dusty materials, the load should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle.	✓
	Site hoarding	
	 Where a site boundary adjoins a road, street, service lane or other area accessible to the public, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high from ground level should be provided along the entire length of that portion of the site boundary except for a site entrance or exit. 	✓
2.1 &	Best Practicable Means for Cement Works (Concrete Batching Plant)	
10.3.1	The relevant best practices for dust control as stipulated in the Guidance Note on the Best Practicable Means for Cement Works (Concrete Batching Plant) BPM 3/2(93) should be followed and implemented to further reduce the construction dust impacts of the Project. These best practices include:	
	Exhaust from Dust Arrestment Plant	
	 Wherever possible the final discharge point from particulate matter arrestment plant, where is not necessary to achieve dispersion from residual pollutants, should be at low level to minimise the effect on the local community in the case of abnormal emissions and to facilitate maintenance and inspection 	N/A No concrete batching plant in this project.
	Emission Limits	
	All emissions to air, other than steam or water vapour, shall be colourless and free from persistent mist or smoke	N/A No concrete batching plant in this project.
	Engineering Design/Technical Requirements	

N/A No concrete batching plant in this project.

As a general guidance, the loading, unloading, handling and storage of fuel, raw materials, products, wastes or by-products should be carried out in a manner so as to prevent the release of visible dust and/or other noxious or offensive emissions

Imp	lementation	Stage
-----	-------------	-------

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	L2
	Non-Road Mobile Machinery (NRMM):	
	All NRMMs operating on-site which are subject to emission control of Air Pollution Control (Non-road Mobile Machinery) (Emission) Regulation are approved/exempted (as the case may be) and affixed with the requisite approval/exemption labels.	✓
loise Impa	act (Construction)	
3.1 &	Good Site Practice	
0.4.1	Good site practice and noise management can significantly reduce the impact of construction site activities on nearby NSRs. The following package of measures should be followed during each phase of construction:	
	 only well-maintained plant to be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction works; 	✓
	machines and plant that may be in intermittent use to be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum	✓
	 plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, should, where possible, be orientated to direct noise away from the NSRs; 	✓
	mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible; and	✓
	 material stockpiles and other structures to be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities. 	✓
.1 &	Adoption of Quieter PME	
0.4.1	The recommended quieter PME adopted in the assessment were taken from the EPD's QPME Inventory and "Sound Power Levels of Other Commonly Used PME" are presented in Table 4.26 in the EIA report. It should be noted that the silenced PME selected for assessment can be found in Hong Kong.	✓
.1 &	Use of Movable Noise Barriers	
0.4.1	Movable noise barriers can be very effective in screening noise from particular items of plant when constructing the Project. Noise barriers located along the active works area close to the noise generating component of a PME could produce at least 10 dB(A) screening for stationary plant and 5 dB(A) for mobile plant provided the direct line of sight between the PME and the NSRs is blocked.	✓
.1 &	Use of Noise Enclosure/ Acoustic Shed	
10.4.1	The use of noise enclosure or acoustic shed is to cover stationary PME such as air compressor and concrete pump. With the adoption of the noise enclosure, the PME could be completely screened, and noise reduction of 15 dB(A) can be achieved according to the EIAO Guidance Note No. 9/2010.	✓
.1 &	Use of Noise Insulating Fabric	
10.4.1	Noise insulating fabric can also be adopted for certain PME (e.g. drill rig, pilling machine etc). The fabric should be lapped such that there are no openings or gaps on the joints. According to the approved Tsim Sha Tsui Station Northern Subway EIA report (AEIAR-127/2008), a noise reduction of 10 dB(A) can be achieved for the PME lapped with the noise insulating fabric.	✓

L2

Emos trion	Noodimionation moderates	
3.1 &	Scheduling of Construction Works outside School Examination Periods	
10.4.1 During construction	During construction phase, the contractor should liaise with the educational institutions (including NSRs LCS and CRGPS) to obtain the examination schedule and avoid the noisy construction activities during school examination periods.	N/A No educational institutions nearby the site.
Water Qua	lity Impact (Construction)	
4.1 & 10.5.1	Construction site runoff and drainage The site practices outlined in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be followed as far as practicable in order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. The following measures are recommended to protect water quality and sensitive uses of the coastal area, and when properly implemented should be sufficient to adequately control site discharges so as to avoid water quality impacts:	
	 At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels, earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct storm water to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary on-site drainage system should be undertaken by the WKCDA's Contractor prior to the commencement of construction; 	√
	 Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/silt particles from to meet the requirements of the TM standards under the WPCO. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be bas the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate. The detailed design sand/silt traps should be undertaken by the WKCDA's Contractor prior to the commencement of construction. 	✓
	 All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly during rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be regularly removed, at the onset of and after each rainstorm to ensure that these facilities are functioning properly at all times. 	Obs
	 Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities. 	✓
	 All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing facility should be provided at construction site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed regularly to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains. 	✓
	 Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system. 	✓
	 Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and stormwater runoff being directed into foul sewers. 	✓

EM&A Ref. Recommendation Measures

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	L2
	 Precautions should be taken at any time of the year when rainstorms are likely. Actions should be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarized in Appendix A2 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes. 	√
	 Bentonite slurries used in piling or slurry walling should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Temporary enclosed storage locations should be provided on-site for any unused bentonite that needs to be transported away after all the related construction activities are completed. The requirements in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries. 	N/A No bentonite slurries are used in this project.
	Barging facilities and activities	
	Recommendations for good site practices during operation of the proposed barging point include:	
	 All vessels should be sized so that adequate clearance is maintained between vessels and the seabed in all tide conditions, to ensure that undue turbidity is not generated by turbulence from vessel movement or propeller wash; 	N/A No barging facilities in this project.
	• Loading of barges and hoppers should be controlled to prevent splashing of material into the surrounding water. Barges or hoppers should not be filled to a level that will cause the overflow of materials or polluted water during loading or transportation;	N/A No barging facilities in this project.
	All hopper barges should be fitted with tight fitting seals to their bottom openings to prevent leakage of material; and	N/A No barging facilities in this project.
	 Construction activities should not cause foam, oil, grease, scum, litter or other objectionable matter to be present on the water within the site. 	N/A No barging facilities in this project.
4.1 &	Sewage effluent from construction workforce	
10.5.1	Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site where necessary to handle sewage from the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance.	✓
4.1 &	General construction activities	
10.5.1	 Construction solid waste, debris and refuse generated on-site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering any nearby storm water drain. Stockpiles of cement and other construction materials should be kept covered when not 	✓

Obs

Oils and fuels should only be stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. To prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to any nearby storm water drain, all fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. The bund should be drained of rainwater

being used.

after a rain event.

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	L2
Waste Mar	nagement Implications (Construction)	
6.1 &	Good Site Practices	
10.7.1	Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities include:	
	 Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site 	✓
	Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical handling procedures	✓
	Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection of waste	Obs, Rem
	 Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust/odour during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers 	✓
	 Provision of wheel washing facilities before the trucks leaving the works area so as to minimise dust introduction to public roads 	✓
	 Well planned delivery programme for offsite disposal such that adverse environmental impact from transporting the inert or non- inert C&D materials is not anticipated 	✓
6.1 &	Waste Reduction Measures	
10.7.1	Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:	
	Sort inert C&D material to recover any recyclable portions such as metals	✓
	 Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal 	✓
	 Encourage collection of recyclable waste such as waste paper and aluminium cans by providing separate labelled bins to enable such waste to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force 	✓
	 Proper site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of inert C&D materials 	✓
	Plan the use of construction materials carefully to minimise amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of wastes	✓
6.1 &	Inert and Non-inert C&D Materials	
10.7.1	In order to minimise impacts resulting from collection and transportation of inert C&D material for off-site disposal, the excavated materials should be reused on-site as fill material as far as practicable. In addition, inert C&D material generated from excavation works could be reused as fill materials in local projects that require public fill for reclamation.	✓
	The surplus inert C&D material will be disposed of at the Government's PFRFs for beneficial use by other projects in Hong Kong.	✓
	 Liaison with the CEDD Public Fill Committee (PFC) on the allocation of space for disposal of the inert C&D materials at PFRF is underway. No construction work is allowed to proceed until all issues on management of inert C&D materials have been resolved and all relevant arrangements have been endorsed by the relevant authorities including PFC and EPD. 	✓
	 The C&D materials generated from general site clearance should be sorted on site to segregate any inert materials for reuse or disposal of at PFRFs whereas the non-inert materials will be disposed of at the designated landfill site. 	✓

		•
EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	L2
	• In order to monitor the disposal of inert and non-inert C&D materials at respectively PFRFs and the designated landfill site, and to control fly-tipping, it is recommended that the Contractor should follow the Technical Circular (Works) No. 6/2010 for Trip Ticket System for Disposal of Construction & Demolition Materials issued by Development Bureau. In addition, it is also recommended that the Contractor should prepare and implement a Waste Management Plan detailing their various waste arising and waste management practices in accordance with the relevant requirements of the Technical Circular (Works) No. 19/2005 Environmental Management on Construction Site.	√
6.1 &	Chemical Waste	
10.7.1	• If chemical wastes are produced at the construction site, the Contractor will be required to register with the EPD as a chemical waste producer and to follow the guidelines stated in the "Code of Practice on the Packaging Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes". Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical waste, such as explosive, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful, corrosive, etc. The Contractor should use a licensed collector to transport and dispose of the chemical wastes at the approved Chemical Waste Treatment Centre or other licensed recycling facilities, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.	✓
	 Potential environmental impacts arising from the handling activities (including storage, collection, transportation and disposal of chemical waste) are expected to be minimal with the implementation of appropriate mitigation measures as recommended. 	✓
6.1 &	General Refuse	
10.7.1	General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separated from inert C&D materials. A reputable waste collector should be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from inert C&D materials. Preferably an enclosed and covered area should be provided to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' light material.	✓
Land Cont	amination (Construction)	
7.1 & 10.8.1	The potential for land contamination issues at the TST Fire Station due to its future relocation will be confirmed by site investigation after land acquisition. Where necessary, mitigation measures for minimising potential exposure to contaminated materials (if any) or remediation measures will be identified. If contaminated land is identified (e.g., during decommissioning of fuel oil storage tanks) after the commencement of works, mitigation measures are proposed in order to minimise the potentially adverse effects on the health and safety of construction workers and impacts arising from the disposal of potentially contaminated materials. The following measures are proposed for excavation and transportation of contaminated material:	
	To minimize the chance for construction workers to come into contact with any contaminated materials, bulk earth-moving excavation equipment should be employed;	N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.

EM&A Ref. Recommendation Measures

- Contact with contaminated materials can be minimised by wearing appropriate clothing and personal protective equipment such as
 gloves and masks (especially when interacting directly with contaminated material), provision of washing facilities and prohibition
 of smoking and eating on site;
- Stockpiling of contaminated excavated materials on site should be avoided as far as possible;
- The use of contaminated soil for landscaping purpose should be avoided unless pre-treatment was carried out;
- Vehicles containing any contaminated excavated materials should be suitably covered to reduce dust emissions and/or release of contaminated wastewater:
- Truck bodies and tailgates should be sealed to stop any discharge;
- Only licensed waste haulers should be used to collect and transport contaminated material to treatment/disposal site and should be equipped with tracking system to avoid fly tipping;
- Speed control for trucks carrying contaminated materials should be exercised;
- Observe all relevant regulations in relation to waste handling, such as Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354), Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation (Cap. 354) and obtain all necessary permits where required; and

L2 N/A

TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.

N/A

TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.

N/A

TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.

N/A

TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.

N/A

TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.

N/A

TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.

N/A

TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.

N/A

TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	L2
	Maintain records of waste generation and disposal quantities and disposal arrangements.	N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.
Ecological	Impact (Construction)	
	No mitigation measure is required.	
Landscape	and Visual Impact (Construction)	
Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM1)	Trees should be retained in situ on site as far as possible. Should tree removal be unavoidable due to construction impacts, trees will be transplanted or felled with reference to the stated criteria in the Tree Removal Applications to be submitted to relevant government departments for approval in accordance to ETWB TCW No. 29/2004 and 3/2006.	N/A No trees under this Contract.
Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM2)	Compensatory tree planting shall be incorporated to the proposed project and maximize the new tree, shrubs and other vegetation planting to compensate tree felled and vegetation removed. Also, implementation of compensatory planting should be of a ratio not less than 1:1 in terms of quality and quantity within the site.	N/A Compensatory tree planting is being reviewed.
Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM3)	Buffer trees for screening purposes to soften the hard architectural and engineering structures and facilities.	N/A Roof garden is designed to be built, but it has not been completed yet.
Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM4)	Softscape treatments such as vertical green wall panel /planting of climbing and/or weeping plants, etc, to maximize the green coverage and soften the hard architectural and engineering structures and facilities.	N/A Climbing or weeping plants are designed to be planted, but proposal is being reviewed for the planting location.
Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM5)	Roof greening by means of intensive and extensive green roof to maximize the green coverage and improve aesthetic appeal and visual quality of the building/structure.	N/A Roof garden is designed to be built, but it has not been completed yet.
Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM6)	Sensitive streetscape design should be incorporated along all new roads and streets.	N/A Greening along the seafront is proposed, but it has not been completed yet.
Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM7)	Structure, ornamental planting shall be provided along amenity strips to enhance the landscape quality.	N/A Gardens are designed to be built, but it has not been completed yet.

Implementation Stage

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	L2
Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM8)	Landscape design shall be incorporated to architectural and engineering structures in order to provide aesthetically pleasing designs.	N/A Roof garden is designed to be built, but it has not been completed yet.
Table 9.1 (CM9)	Minimize the structure of marine facilities to be built on the seabed and foreshore in order to minimize the affected extent to the waterbody	N/A No marine facilities for this project.
Table 9.2 & 10.9 (MCP1)	Use of decorative screen hoarding/boards	√
Table 9.2 & 10.9 (MCP2)	Early introduction of landscape treatments	N/A No landscape treatments during this stage.
Table 9.2 & 10.9 (MCP3)	Adoption of light colour for the temporary ventilation shafts for the basement during the transition period.	N/A No ventilation shafts for this project.
Table 9.2 & 10.9 (MCP4)	Control of night time lighting	✓
Table 9.2 & 10.9 (MCP5)	Use of greenery such as grass cover for the temporary open areas will help achieve the visual balance and soften the hard edges of the structures.	N/A No temporary open areas for this project.

N/A - Not Applicable

✓ - Implemented

Obs - Observed

Rem - Reminder

K. Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Cumulative statistics for complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions for the Project account for period starting from the date of commencement of construction works to the end of the reporting month are summarised in the **Table K-1** below respectively.

Table K-1: Statistics for complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions for Lyric Theatre Complex

Reporting Period Cumulative Statistics

	Complaints	Notifications of summons	Successful prosecutions
This reporting month	0	0	0
From 1 March 2016 to end of the reporting month (February 2023)	56	0	0

END OF PART-1

Part-2: EM&A for Foundation and ELS Works in Zones 2A, 2B & 2C



Foundation and ELS Works in Zones 2A, 2B & 2C

APEX TESTING & CERTIFICATION LIMITED Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Plaza, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T. Hong Kong

Tel: (852) 39733585 Fax: (852) 30079385

Email: info@apextestcert.com

The information supplied and contained within this report is, to the best of our knowledge, correct at time of printing

Contents

Exe	ecutive	summa	ry	1
1	Intro	duction		3
	1.1	Backgro	ound	3
	1.2	_	Organisation	3
	1.3	-	uction Works Status in the Reporting Period	3
	1.4	Summa	ary of EM&A Requirements and Alternative Monitoring Locations	4
		1.4.1	EM&A Requirements	4
		1.4.2	Alternative Monitoring Locations	4
2	Impa	act Moni	toring Methodology	7
	2.1	Introduc	ction	7
	2.2	Air Qua	ility	7
		2.2.1	Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	7
		2.2.2	Monitoring Locations	7
		2.2.3	Monitoring Equipment	7
		2.2.4	Monitoring Methodology	8
	2.3	Noise		10
		2.3.1	Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	10
		2.3.2	Monitoring Location	10
		2.3.3	Monitoring Equipment	10
		2.3.4	Monitoring Methodology	11
	2.4	Landsc	ape and Visual	11
		2.4.1	Monitoring Program	11
3	Mon	itoring R	Results	12
	3.1	Impact	Monitoring	12
	3.2	Air Qua	ality Monitoring	12
		3.2.1	1-hour TSP	12
		3.2.2	24-hour TSP	12
	3.3	Noise N	Monitoring	13
	3.4	Landsc	ape and Visual Impact	14
4	Site	Environ	mental Management	15
	4.1	Site Ins	pection	15
		4.1.1	Zone 2A	15
		4.1.2	Zone 2B & 2C	15
	4.2	Advice	on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status	17
		4.2.1	Zone 2A	17
		4.2.2	Zone 2B & 2C	17

	4.3	Status o	of Environmental Licenses and Permits	17
		4.3.1	Zone 2A	18
		4.3.2	Zone 2B & 2C	18
	4.4	Recomn	mended Mitigation Measures	18
		4.4.1	Zone 2A	19
		4.4.2	Zone 2B & 2C	19
5	Com	pliance v	with Environmental Permit	20
6			n-compliance, Complaints, Notification of Summons and Prosecutions	21
	6.1	Record	on Non-compliance of Action and Limit Levels	21
	6.2		on Environmental Complaints Received	21
	6.3		on Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution	21
7	Futui	re Key Is	ssues	22
	7.1	Constru	ction Works for the Coming Month(s)	22
	7.2		ues for the Coming Month	22
		7.2.1	Zone 2A	22
		7.2.2	Zone 2B & 2C	22
	7.3	Monitori	ing Schedule for the Coming Month	22
8	Cond	clusions	and Recommendations	23
	8.1	Conclus	sions	23
	8.2		mendations	23
Figu	re 1	Site La	ayout Plan and Monitoring Stations	24
App	endice	es		25
A.	Proje	ect Orga	nisation	26
B.	Tenta	ative Co	nstruction Programme	27
C.	Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase 2			28
D.	Even Impa		ction Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Landscape and Visual	29
E.	Moni	toring S	chedule	30
F	Calibration Certifications 3			31

G.	Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results	32
H.	Meteorological Data Extracted from Hong Kong Observatory	33
l.	Waste Flow table	34
J.	Environmental Mitigation Measures – Implementation Status	35
K.	Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions	36

Executive summary

Apex Testing & Certification Limited (Apex) was commissioned to undertake the Environmental Team (ET) services (including environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A)) for the construction activities in Zone 2A, consisting of Foundation, Excavation and Lateral Support Works for Integrated Basement and Underground Road (Contract No.: GW/2020/05/073); and Zone 2B & 2C consisting of Piling Works for Integrated Basement and Underground Road (Contract No.: CC/2020/2B/088) at WKCD. The major construction works and EM&A programme for Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C commenced on 03 October 2020 and 30 September 2021 respectively.

The Project Proponent is the West Kowloon Cultural District Authority (WKCDA). The overall works for the WKCD fall under two separate categories of Designated Project (DP) of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO), namely an "engineering feasibility study of urban development projects with a study area covering more than 20 ha or involving a total population of more than 100 000" (Item 1 of Schedule 3) and "an underpass more than 100m in length under the built areas" (Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2). An Environmental Permit No. EP-453/2013/B (EP) was issued with respect to the "Underpass Road and Austin Road Flyover Serving the West Kowloon Cultural District" which specifically includes the abovementioned category of DP under Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2 of the EIAO.

This Monthly EM&A Report presents the monitoring works at Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C from 01 to 28 February 2023.

Exceedance of Action and Limit Levels

There was no breach of Action or Limit levels for Air Quality (1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP) and Construction Noise in this reporting month.

<u>Implementation of Mitigation Measures</u>

Construction phase weekly site inspections were carried out on 02, 08, 16 and 23 February 2023 for Foundation, Excavation and Lateral Support Works in Zone 2A and on 01, 08, 15 and 22 February 2023 for Piling Works in Zone 2B & 2C to confirm the implementation measures undertaken by the Contractors in the reporting month. The outcomes are presented in Section 4 and the status of implementation of mitigation measures in the site is shown in **Appendix J**.

Landscape and visual impact inspections were conducted as part of the abovementioned weekly site inspections during the reporting month. No adverse comment on landscape and visual aspects was made during these inspections.

Record of Complaints

No environmental complaint was recorded in the reporting month.

Record of Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

No notifications of summons and successful prosecutions were recorded in the reporting month.

Future Key Issues

The major site works for Zone 2A scheduled to be commissioned in the coming month include:

Zone 2A A&A Works

WEK G/F

Dismantling the Metal Roof Trusses at the Subcontractor's Works Area

The major site works for Zone 2B & 2C scheduled to be commissioned in the coming month include:

KD05 (Section 1), KD06 (Section 2), KD07 (Section 3), KD08 (Section 4) and KD09 (Section 5)

- Bored Pile Works
 - RCD Drilling, Airlifting, Cage Installation & Concreting and Excavation

Potential environmental impacts due to the construction activities, including air, noise, water quality, waste, landscape and visual, will be monitored or reviewed. The recommended environmental mitigation measures shall be implemented on site and regular inspections as required will be carried out to ensure that the environmental conditions are acceptable.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Apex Testing & Certification Limited (Apex) was commissioned to undertake the Environmental Team (ET) services (including environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A)) for the construction activities in Zone 2A, consisting of Foundation, Excavation and Lateral Support Works for Integrated Basement and Underground Road (Contract No.: GW/2020/05/073); and Zone 2B & 2C consisting of Piling Works for Integrated Basement and Underground Road (Contract No.: CC/2020/2B/088) at WKCD. The purpose of the development in Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C is to reserve for Integrated Basement (IB) and Underground Road (UR). The Zone 2A construction activities involve the foundation, excavation and lateral support (ELS) works, road works, drainage diversion works, and temporary car parking. The Zone 2B & 2C construction activities involve the piling works. The major construction works and EM&A programme for Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C commenced on 03 October 2020 and 30 September 2021 respectively.

The overall works for the WKCD fall under two separate categories of Designated Project (DP) of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO), namely an "engineering feasibility study of urban development projects with a study area covering more than 20 ha or involving a total population of more than 100 000" (Item 1 of Schedule 3) and "an underpass more than 100m in length under the built areas" (Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2). An Environmental Permit No. EP-453/2013/B (EP) was issued with respect to the "Underpass Road and Austin Road Flyover Serving the West Kowloon Cultural District" which specifically includes the abovementioned category of DP under Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2 of the EIAO. The captioned projects include part of the abovementioned underpass road located within the site boundary falls under this same category.

The Monthly EM&A Report is prepared in accordance with the Condition 3.4 of the Environmental Permit No. EP-453/2013/B. This Monthly EM&A Report presents the monitoring works at Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C from 01 to 28 February 2023. The purpose of this report is to summarise the findings in the EM&A of the project over the reporting period.

1.2 Project Organisation

The organisation chart and lines of communication with respect to the on-site environmental management structure together with the contact information of the key personnel are shown in **Appendix A**.

1.3 Construction Works Status in the Reporting Period

During the reporting period, construction works at Zone 2A undertaken include:

Zone 2A A&A Works

- WEK B1/F
 - Sand Removal
- WEK G/F
 - Dismantling the Metal Roof Trusses at the Subcontractor's Works Area

During the reporting period, construction works at Zone 2B & 2C undertaken include:

KD05 (Section 1), KD06 (Section 2), KD07 (Section 3), KD08 (Section 4) and KD09 (Section 5)

- Bored Pile Works
 - RCD Drilling, Airlifting, Cage Installation & Concreting and Excavation

The Construction Works Programme of Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C is provided in **Appendix B**. A layout plan of the Project is provided in **Figure 1**. Please refer to **Table 4.3** and **Table 4.4** on the status of the environmental licenses.

1.4 Summary of EM&A Requirements and Alternative Monitoring Locations

1.4.1 EM&A Requirements

The EM&A programme requires environmental monitoring of air quality, noise, landscape and visual as specified in the approved EM&A Manual.

A summary of impact EM&A requirements is presented in **Table 1.1**.

Table 1.1: Summary of Impact EM&A Requirements

Parameters	Descriptions	Locations	Frequencies
	24-Hours TSP	AM3-The Victoria Towers Tower 1	At least once every 6 days
	1-Hour TSP	AM3-The Victoria Towers Tower 1	At least 3 times every 6 days
Air Quality	24-Hours TSP	AM4-Canton Road Government Primary School	At least once every 6 days
All Quality	1-Hour TSP	AM4-Canton Road Government Primary School	At least 3 times every 6 days
	24-Hours TSP	AM5-Topside Developments at West Kowloon Terminus Site	At least once every 6 days
	1-Hour TSP	AM5-Topside Developments at West Kowloon Terminus Site	At least 3 times every 6 days
	Leq, 30 minutes	NM2-The Arch, Sun Tower	Weekly
	Leq, 30 minutes	NM3-The Victoria Towers Tower 1	Weekly
Noise	Leq, 30 minutes	NM4-Canton Road Government Primary School	Weekly
	Leq, 30 minutes	NM5-Development next to Austin Station	Weekly
Landscape & Visual	Monitor implementation of proposed mitigation measures during the construction stage	As described in Table 9.1 and 9.2 of the EM&A Manual	Bi-Weekly

1.4.2 Alternative Monitoring Locations

The EM&A programme for the Project should require 5 noise monitoring station and 5 air quality monitoring stations located closest to the Project area. With regard to the monitoring activities at M+ Museum and the Lyric Complex, three monitoring stations had been considered, including AM1 (International Commerce Centre), AM2 (The Harbourside Tower 1) for air monitoring, and NM1 (The Harbourside Tower 1) for noise monitoring.

In the context of the construction activities in Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C, all other monitoring locations including AM3 (The Victoria Towers Tower 1), AM4 (Canton Road Government Primary School), and AM5 (Topside Developments at West Kowloon Terminus Site) for air monitoring; and NM2 (The Arch, Sun Tower), NM3 (The Victoria Towers Tower 1), NM4 (Canton Road Government Primary School) and NM5 (Development next to Austin Station) for noise monitoring, have been taken into account. However, access to all these originally designated monitoring stations was declined as described below point-by-point.

The Arch management office and owners' committee have formally declined the proposal of setting up noise monitoring instrument on its premises at the podium level of Sun Tower (NM2) on 24 July 2014. Thus, alternative noise monitoring location was identified at the ground floor in front of The Arch – Sun Tower (NM2A), which is at the same location as stated in the EM&A Manual for consistency. No management approval is required at the ground floor for conducting the noise monitoring. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 29 September 2020.

The Victoria Towers management office formally declined the proposal of setting up air quality and noise monitoring instruments on its premises at the podium area of Tower 1 (AM3/NM3) on 16 June 2020. Alternative air monitoring location was identified at ground floor at the Northeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (AM3A), in the same direction to the area of major construction site activities in Zone 2A. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 29 September 2020. An alternative noise monitoring location was identified at the ground floor in front of the Xiqu Centre (NM3A), which is set closer to the construction site boundary with more direct line sight to the major site activities and higher exposure to the construction noise with no disturbance to the premises' occupants during noise monitoring activities. No management approval is required at the ground floor for conducting the noise monitoring. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 29 September 2020.

Canton Road Government Primary School formally declined the proposal of setting up air quality and noise monitoring instruments on its premise at the podium level (AM4/NM4) on 16 June 2020. Alternative air monitoring location was identified at ground floor at the Southeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (AM4A), in same direction to the area of major construction site activities in Zone 2A. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 29 September 2020. An alternative noise monitoring location was identified at the ground floor next to Tsim Sha Tsui Fire Station (NM4A), which is set closer to the construction site boundary with more direct line sight to the major site activities and higher exposure to the construction noise with no disturbance to the premises' occupants during noise monitoring activities. No management approval is required at the ground floor for conducting the noise monitoring. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 29 September 2020.

MTR also formally declined the access to the designated AM5 location (topside developments at West Kowloon Terminus Site) on 15 July 2020. Alternative air monitoring location was identified at ground floor at the North of West Kowloon Station's station box (AM5A), in same direction to the area of major construction site activities in Zone 2A. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 29 September 2020.

Grand Austin property management office formally declined our proposal of setting up noise monitoring instrument on its premises at the podium level (NM5) on 10 July 2020. Alternative noise monitoring location was identified at the Pedestrian road (ground floor) outside West Kowloon Station (NM5A), which is set closer to the construction site boundary with more direct line sight to the major site activities and higher exposure to the construction noise with no

disturbance to the premises' occupants during noise monitoring activities. No management approval is required at the ground floor for conducting the noise monitoring. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 29 September 2020.

The Environmental Quality Performance Limits for air quality and noise are shown in **Appendix C**.

The Event and Action Plan for air quality, construction noise, and landscape and visual are shown in **Appendix D**.

The EM&A programme followed the recommended mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual. The EM&A requirements as well as the summary of implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures are provided in **Appendix J**.

2 Impact Monitoring Methodology

2.1 Introduction

Air quality and noise monitoring methodology, including the monitoring locations, equipment used, parameters, frequency and duration etc., are described in this Section. The environmental monitoring schedules for the reporting period and the tentative monitoring Schedule for the coming month are provided in **Appendix E**.

The relevant EM&A monitoring requirements and details for landscape and audit impact, are also presented in this Section.

2.2 Air Quality

2.2.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Table 2.1 summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of the TSP monitoring.

Table 2.1: Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Parameter	Frequency	Duration
24-hour TSP	At least once in every six-days	24 hours
1-hour TSP	At least 3 times every six-days	60 minutes

2.2.2 Monitoring Locations

Monitoring stations and locations are given in Table 2.2 and shown in Figure 1.

Table 2.2: Air Quality Monitoring Station

Monitoring Station	Location Description
AM3A	Northeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)
AM4A	Southeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)
AM5A	North of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)

2.2.3 Monitoring Equipment

Continuous 24-hour TSP air quality monitoring was conducted using High Volume Sampler (HVS) (Model: TE-5170) located at the designated monitoring station. The HVS meets all the requirements stated in of the EM&A Manual. Portable direct reading dust meter was used to carry out the 1-hour TSP monitoring. **Table 2.3** summarizes the equipment used in the impact air quality monitoring. Copies of the calibration certificates for the HVS, calibration kit and portable dust meters are attached in **Appendix F**.

Table 2.3: TSP Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model
24-hour TSP monitoring	
High Volume Sampler	TE-5170 (Serial No.: 4340; 3998; 4344)

Equipment	Model
Calibrator	TE-5025A (Orifice I.D.: 4088)
1-hour TSP monitoring	
Portable direct reading dust meter	Sibata LD-3B (Serial No.: 235811, 336338, 567188)

Calibration of the HVS (five-point calibration) using Calibration Kit was carried out every two months. The HVS calibration orifice will be calibrated annually. Calibration certificate of the TE-5025A Calibration Kit and the HVS are provided in **Appendix F**.

The 1-hour TSP monitoring should be determined periodically (e.g. annually) by the HVS to check the validity and accuracy of the results measured by direct reading method.

2.2.4 Monitoring Methodology

24-hour TSP Monitoring

Installation

The HVS was installed at the site boundary. The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVS.

- A horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the sampler against gusty wind was provided.
- The distance between the HVS and any obstacles, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the HVS.
- A minimum of 2 metres separation from walls, parapets and penthouse was required for rooftop sampler.
- A minimum of 2 metres separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally was required.
- No furnace or incinerator flues or building vent were nearby.
- Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
- The sampler has been more than 20 metres from any drip line.
- Permission was obtained to set up the sampler and to obtain access to the monitoring station.
- A secured supply of electricity is needed to operate the sampler.

Preparation of Filter Papers

- Glass fibre filters were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected.
- The filters used are specified to have a minimum collection efficiency of 99 percent for 0.3 µm (DOP) particles.
- All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than ±3 °C with relative humidity (RH) < 50% and was not variable by more than ±5 %. A convenient working RH was 40%. All preparation of filters was done by Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) accredited laboratory.</p>

Field Monitoring Procedures

- The power supply was checked to ensure the HVS works properly.
- The filter holder and the area surrounding the filter were cleaned.

- The filter holder was removed by loosening the four bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully.
- The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
- The swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- The shelter lid was closed and was secured with the aluminium strip.
- The HVS was warmed-up for about 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
- A new flow rate record sheet was set into the flow recorder.
- The flow rate of the HVS was checked and adjusted at around 1.3 m³/min. The range specified in the EM&A Manual was between 0.6-1.7 m³/min.
- The programmable timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hours, and the starting time, weather condition and the filter number were recorded.
- The initial elapsed time was recorded.
- At the end of sampling, the sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half length so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact.
- It was then placed in a clean plastic envelope and sealed.
- All monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet.
- Filters were sent to a Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) accredited laboratory for analysis.

Maintenance and Calibration

- The HVS and its accessories are maintained in good working condition, such as replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure a continuous power supply.
- HVSs were calibrated upon installation and thereafter at bi-monthly intervals. The calibration kits were calibrated annually.
- Calibration records for HVS and calibration kit are shown in Appendix F.

1-hour TSP Monitoring

Field Monitoring

The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meter are in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:

- Turn the power on.
- Close the air collecting opening cover.
- Push the "TIME SETTING" switch to [BG].
- Push "START/STOP" switch to perform background measurement for 6 seconds.
- Turn the knob at SENSI ADJ position to insert the light scattering plate.
- Leave the equipment for 1 minute upon "SPAN CHECK" is indicated in the display.
- Push "START/STOP" switch to perform automatic sensitivity adjustment. This measurement takes 1 minute.
- Pull out the knob and return it to MEASURE position.
- Setting time period of 1 hour for the 1-hour TSP measurement.
- Push "START/STOP" to start the 1-hour TSP measurement.
- Regular checking of the time period setting to ensure monitoring time of 1 hour.

Maintenance and Calibration

- The 1-hour dust meter would be checked at 3-month intervals and calibrated at 1-year intervals throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.
- Calibration records for direct dust meters are shown in Appendix F.

Weather Condition

 Meteorological data extracted from Hong Kong Observatory for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix H**.

2.3 Noise

2.3.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Table 2.4 summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of noise monitoring. The noise in A-weighted levels L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} are recorded in a 30-minute interval between 0700 and 1900 hours.

Table 2.4: Noise Monitoring Parameters, Period and Frequency

Time Period	Parameters	Frequency
Daytime on normal weekdays	$L_{eq}(30 \text{ min}), L_{90}(30 \text{ min}) \& L_{10}(30 \text{ min})$	Once every week
(0700-1900 hours)		

Note: *70 dB(A) for schools and 65 dB(A) during school examination periods.

If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the Construction Noise Permit (CNP) issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.

2.3.2 Monitoring Location

Noise monitoring stations and locations are given in Table 2.5 and shown in Figure 1.

Table 2.5: Noise Monitoring Station

Monitoring Station	Location
NM2A	The Arch – Sun Tower (G/F)
NM3A	Xiqu Centre (G/F)
NM4A	Next to Tsim Sha Tsui Fire Station (G/F)
NM5A	Pedestrian road (G/F) outside West Kowloon Station

2.3.3 Monitoring Equipment

Integrating Sound Level Meter was used for noise monitoring. It was a Type 1 sound level meter capable of giving a continuous readout of the noise level readings including equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{Aeq}) and percentile sound pressure level (L_x). They comply with International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1). **Table 2.6** summarizes the noise monitoring equipment model being used.

Table 2.6: Noise Monitoring Equipment

Eq		nm	On	1 1	٧л	\sim	ומה
∟ч	ui	Ulli	CII	16 1	ΑI	v	ucı

Integrating Sound Level Meter	Calibrator
AWA5661 (Serial No.: 301135)	Quest QC-10 (Serial No.: Q19010183)

2.3.4 Monitoring Methodology

Field Monitoring

- The microphone of the Sound Level Meter was set at least 1.2 m above the ground.
- Free Field measurement was made at NM5A monitoring location.
- The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
 - frequency weighting: A
 - time weighting: Fast
 - time measurement: 30 minutes intervals (between 0700-1900 on normal weekdays)
- Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using a Calibrator for 94 dB at 1 kHz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1 dB, the measurement would be considered invalid and has to be repeated after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- During the monitoring period, the L_{eq}, L₁₀ and L₉₀ were recorded. In addition, any site observations and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- A correction of +3dB(A) was made to the free field measurements.

Maintenance and Calibration

- The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator is cleaned with soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
- The sound level meter and calibrator are sent to the supplier or HOKLAS laboratory to check and calibrate at yearly intervals.
- Calibration records are shown in Appendix F.

Weather Condition

 Meteorological data extracted from Hong Kong Observatory for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix H**.

2.4 Landscape and Visual

2.4.1 Monitoring Program

Table 2.7 details the monitoring program (as proposed in the WKCD EIA report) for landscape and visual impact during the construction phase.

Table 2.7: Monitoring Program for Landscape and Visual Impact during Construction Phase

Stage	Monitoring Task	Frequency	Report	Approval
Construction	Monitor implementation of proposed mitigation measures during the construction stage.	Bi-weekly	ET to report on Contractor's compliance	Counter- signed by IEC

During the landscape and visual impact monitoring, any changes in relation to the landscape and visual amenity should be monitored with reference to the baseline conditions of the site. In addition, mitigation measures were proposed in the WKCD EIA report to minimise the landscape and visual impacts during the construction phase. The proposed mitigation measures as shown in Table 9.1 and Table 9.2 of the EM&A Manual should be checked for proper implementation.

3 Monitoring Results

3.1 Impact Monitoring

Air quality, noise and landscape and visual impact monitoring was undertaken in compliance with the EM&A Manual during the reporting month.

3.2 Air Quality Monitoring

3.2.1 1-hour TSP

Results of 1-hour TSP are summarised in **Table 3.1**. Graphical plots of the monitoring results are shown in **Appendix G**.

Table 3.1: Summary of 1-hour TSP monitoring results

Monitoring	Monitoring			1-hour TSP (µg/m3)			Action	Limit
Station	Date	Time	1st Result	2nd Result	3rd Result	(µg/m3)	Level (µg/m3)	Level (µg/m3)
	06-Feb-23	14:05	50	43	50			
AM3A	11-Feb-23	08:01	52	53	54	43-88	200.4	500
AIVISA	17-Feb-23	14:09	85	88	85	43-00	280.4	300
	23-Feb-23	08:07	59	65	66	_		
	06-Feb-23	14:13	47	44	45		278.5	500
AM4A	11-Feb-23	08:09	53	53	50	44 07		
AWA	17-Feb-23	14:17	82	87	83	44-87		
	23-Feb-23	08:15	61	62	61			
	06-Feb-23	14:28	42	50	51			
A B 4 E A	11-Feb-23	08:26	49	50	53	40.00		F00
AM5A	17-Feb-23	14:32	88	82	88	42-88 275.4	275.4	500
	23-Feb-23	08:32	64	66	66			

3.2.2 24-hour TSP

Results of 24-hour TSP are summarised in **Table 3.2**. Graphical plots of the monitoring results are shown in **Appendix G**.

Table 3.2: Summary of 24-hour TSP monitoring results

Monitoring Date	Start Time	Monitoring Results (μg/m³)	Range (µg/m³)	Action Level (µg/m³)	Limit Level (µg/m³)
06-Feb-23	10:00	45.2			260
11-Feb-23	10:00	52.4	AE 2 01 2	150.4	
17-Feb-23	10:00	81.3	40.2-01.3	132.4	
23-Feb-23	10:00	58.9			
06-Feb-23	10:00	45.9			
11-Feb-23	10:00	53.6	45.9-83.8	152.6	260
17-Feb-23	10:00	83.8			
	Date 06-Feb-23 11-Feb-23 17-Feb-23 23-Feb-23 06-Feb-23 11-Feb-23	Date Time 06-Feb-23 10:00 11-Feb-23 10:00 17-Feb-23 10:00 23-Feb-23 10:00 06-Feb-23 10:00 11-Feb-23 10:00	Date Time Results (μg/m³) 06-Feb-23 10:00 45.2 11-Feb-23 10:00 52.4 17-Feb-23 10:00 81.3 23-Feb-23 10:00 58.9 06-Feb-23 10:00 45.9 11-Feb-23 10:00 53.6	Date Time Results (μg/m³) (μg/m³) 06-Feb-23 10:00 45.2 11-Feb-23 10:00 52.4 17-Feb-23 10:00 81.3 23-Feb-23 10:00 58.9 06-Feb-23 10:00 45.9 11-Feb-23 10:00 53.6 45.9-83.8	Date Time Results (μg/m³) (μg/m³) Level (μg/m³) 06-Feb-23 10:00 45.2 11-Feb-23 10:00 52.4 45.2-81.3 152.4 17-Feb-23 10:00 81.3 45.2-81.3 152.4 23-Feb-23 10:00 58.9 6-Feb-23 10:00 45.9 11-Feb-23 10:00 53.6 45.9-83.8 152.6

Monitoring Station	Monitoring Date	Start Time	Monitoring Results (μg/m³)	Range (µg/m³)	Action Level (µg/m³)	Limit Level (µg/m³)
	23-Feb-23	10:00	57.2			
	06-Feb-23	10:00	41.3			
AM5A	11-Feb-23	10:00	47.5	41.3-83.2	141.1	260
AIVISA	17-Feb-23	10:00	83.2	41.3-83.2 141.1		260
	23-Feb-23	10:00	63.0			

No exceedance of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP (Action or Limit Level) was recorded in the reporting period.

3.3 Noise Monitoring

The construction noise monitoring results are summarized in **Table 3.3**. Graphical plots of the monitoring data and the station set-up as façade and free-field measurements are shown in **Appendix G**.

Table 3.3: Summary of noise monitoring results during normal weekdays

Monitoring Stations	Monitoring Date	Start Time	End Time	L _{eq} (30 mins) dB(A)	Limit Level for L _{eq} (dB(A))
	06-Feb-23	14:35	15:05	61.8	
NM2A -	11-Feb-23	08:31	09:01	61.7	76
INIVIZA -	17-Feb-23	14:39	15:09	62.0	75
_	23-Feb-23	08:37	09:07	61.1	
	06-Feb-23	16:05	16:35	62.7	
NIN42.A	11-Feb-23	10:04	10:34	62.0	7.5
NM3A -	17-Feb-23	16:09	16:39	62.2	75
_	23-Feb-23	10:10	10:40	62.4	
	06-Feb-23	16:40	17:10	61.8	
NIN44A	11-Feb-23	10:39	11:09	61.6	70/65^#
NM4A -	17-Feb-23	16:44	17:14	61.5	70/05′**
_	23-Feb-23	10:45	11:15	61.3	
	06-Feb-23	15:25	15:55	64.2	
NIN45 A *	11-Feb-23	09:23	09:53	64.1	75
NM5A* -	17-Feb-23	15:29	15:59	64.1	75
_	23-Feb-23	09:29	09:59	64.0	

Remarks:

No exceedance of Construction Noise (Action or Limit Level) was recorded in the reporting month

Construction works were extended to 1900-2300 hours on 01, 06, 08 to 11, 13, 16 to 18, 20 to 23 and 27 to 28 February 2023. In accordance with the EM&A Manual, additional monitoring was carried out during the restricted hours on 06, 11, 17 and 23 February 2023. The L_{eq} (5 mins) is in the range of 56.1-60.7 dB(A). Construction Noise Permits for the works carried out during restricted hours were obtained and listed in **Table 4.3** and **Table 4.4**.

^{* +3}dB (A) correction was applied to free-field measurement.

 $^{^{\}wedge}$ 70 dB(A) for schools and 65 dB(A) during school examination periods.

^{*} No school examination was conducted during the reporting period.

3.4 Landscape and Visual Impact

Landscape and visual impact inspections were conducted as part of the weekly site inspections on 02 and 16 February 2023 for Zone 2A and 08 and 22 February 2023 for Zone 2B & 2C during the reporting month. As reviewed by the registered Landscape Architect, no adverse comment on landscape and visual aspects was made during these inspections.

The landscape and visual mitigation measures were implemented during the reporting period. The summary of implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures is provided in **Appendix J**.

4 Site Environmental Management

4.1 Site Inspection

4.1.1 Zone 2A

Construction phase weekly site inspections were carried out on 02, 08, 16 and 23 February 2023 at Zone 2A. The joint site inspection with IEC, ET, ER and Contractor for Zone 2A was held on 16 February 2023. All observations have been recorded in the site inspection checklist and passed to the Contractor together with the appropriate recommended mitigation measures where necessary.

The key observations from the site inspections and associated recommendations are summarized in **Table 4.1**.

Table 4.1: Summary of Site Inspections and Recommendations for Zone 2A

Inspection Date	Parameter	Observation / Recommendation		
02-Feb-23	Air Quality	The Contractor was reminded that the useless NRMM label shall be removed from the bulldozer.	The Contractor has removed the useless NRMM label from the bulldozer	02-Feb-23
16-Feb-23	Air Quality/ Water Quality	The Contractor was reminder that the idle stockpile shall be fully covered with tarpaulin or removed from the site as far as practicable.	The Contractor has fully covered the idle stockpile with tarpaulin.	17-Feb-23

4.1.2 Zone 2B & 2C

Construction phase weekly site inspections were carried out on 01, 08, 15 and 22 February 2023 at Zone 2B & 2C. The joint site inspection with IEC, ET, ER and Contractor for Zone 2B & 2C was held on 15 February 2023. All observations have been recorded in the site inspection checklist and passed to the Contractor together with the appropriate recommended mitigation measures where necessary.

The key observations from the site inspections and associated recommendations are summarized in **Table 4.2**.

Table 4.2: Summary of Site Inspections and Recommendations for Zone 2B & 2C

Inspecti on Date	Parameter	Observation / Recommendation	Contactor's Responses / Action(s) Undertaken	Close-out (Date)
01-Feb-23	Noise impact	The contractor was reminded that noise barriers shall be set up properly, particularly for the operating RCD platform, to minimize noise impact to the nearby NSRs.	The contractor has properly set up the noise barriers to minimize noise impact.	04-Feb-23

Inspecti on Date	Parameter	Observation / Recommendation	Contactor's Responses / Action(s) Undertaken	Close-out (Date)
01-Feb-23	Noise impact	The contractor was reminded to close the door/flap of the air compressor when it is in use so as to minimize generating noise nuisance.	The contractor has closed the door/flap of the air compressor.	03-Feb-23
01-Feb-23	Water Quality/Land Contamination	The contractor was reminded to clean up the drip tray regularly to avoid overflow and maintain sufficiency of drip tray capacity. Also, the chemical materials shall only be stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities to avoid possible leakage.	The contractor has cleaned up the drip tray and removed the chemical materials to designated areas.	06-Feb-23
08-Feb-23	Air Quality	The contractor was reminded that dilapidated NRMM label shall be replaced for all regulated machineries on site, particularly for the excavator.	The contractor has replaced the NRMM label for the excavator.	14-Feb-23
08-Feb-23	Air Quality/Water Quality	The contractor was reminded that idle stockpile of dusty materials shall be fully covered with tarpaulin or removed off site as frequently as practicable.	The contractor has disposed the soil.	14-Feb-23
08-Feb-23	Air Quality	The contractor was reminded that dust suppression measures shall be strengthened at the access road to minimize dust impact.	The contractor has sprayed water at the access road.	14-Feb-23
15-Feb-23	Noise impact	The contractor was reminded to close the door/flap of the air compressor when it is in use so as to minimize generating noise nuisance.	The contractor has closed the door/flap of the air compressor.	22-Feb-23
15-Feb-23	Air Quality/Water Quality	The contractor was reminded that idle stockpile of dusty materials shall be fully covered with tarpaulin or removed off site as frequently as practicable.	The contractor has removed the idle stockpile of dusty materials.	22-Feb-23
15-Feb-23	Noise impact	The contractor was reminded that noise barriers shall be set up properly for the power pack when it is in use, to minimize noise impact to the nearby NSRs.	The contractor has removed the power pack far away from the NSRs.	22-Feb-23
15-Feb-23	Waste Management	The contractor was reminded that general refuse shall be collected and disposed to designated landfill facilities regularly to avoid accumulation.	The contractor has removed the general refuse.	22-Feb-23
15-Feb-23	Water Quality/Land Contamination	The contractor was reminded that fuel drums shall only be stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities or drip trays with adequate capacity.	The contractor has removed the fuel drums to designated areas.	22-Feb-23

Inspecti on Date	Parameter	Observation / Recommendation	Contactor's Responses / Action(s) Undertaken	Close-out (Date)
22-Feb-23	Noise impact	The contractor was reminded to close the door/flap of the air compressor when it is in use so as to minimize generating noise nuisance.	The contractor has closed the door/flap of the air compressor.	23-Feb-23
22-Feb-23	Noise impact	The contractor was reminded that noise barriers shall be set up properly for the RCD platform when it is in use, to minimize noise impact to the nearby NSRs.	The contractor has properly set up the noise barriers to minimize noise impact.	24-Feb-23
22-Feb-23	Air Quality	The contractor was reminded that NRMM label shall be provided for all regulated machineries on site, particularly for the power pack.	The contractor has provided the NRMM label for the power pack.	26-Feb-23

4.2 Advice on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status

The Contractors have been registered as a chemical waste producer for the Project. Construction and demolition (C&D) material sorting will be carried out on site. A sufficient number of receptacles were available for general refuse collection.

4.2.1 Zone 2A

As advised by the Zone 2A Contractor, 0.0 tonne and 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material were disposed of as public fill to Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Public Fill and Tuen Mun Area 38 Public Fill respectively, while 18.21 tonnes of general refuse were disposed of at SENT landfill respectively. 0.0 tonne of metals, 0.0 tonne of paper/cardboard packaging, 0.0 tonne of plastics and 0.0 tonne of timber was collected by recycling contractors in the reporting month. 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material were reused on site. 641.32 tonnes of inert C&D material were reused in other projects and 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material was imported for reuse at site in the reporting month. 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material were disposed to sorting facility and 0.0 tonne of chemical waste was collected by licensed contractors in the reporting period.

4.2.2 Zone 2B & 2C

As advised by the Zone 2B & 2C Contractor, 17619.15 tonnes and 14012.07 tonnes of inert C&D material were disposed of as public fill to Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Public Fill and Tuen Mun Area 38 Public Fill respectively, while 35.95 tonnes of general refuse were disposed of at SENT landfill. 0.0 tonne of metals, 0.0 tonne of paper/cardboard packaging, 0.0 tonne of plastics and 0.0 tonne of timber was collected by recycling contractors in the reporting month. 4737.76 tonnes of inert C&D material were reused on site. 3184.34 tonnes of inert C&D material were reused in other projects and 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material was imported for reuse at site in the reporting month. 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material was disposed to sorting facility and 1.40 tonne of chemical waste was collected by licensed contractors in the reporting period.

The cumulative waste generation records for Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C are shown in **Appendix** I.

4.3 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits

The environmental permits, licenses, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project which were valid during the period are summarised in **Table 4.3**.

4.3.1 Zone 2A

Table 4.3: Status of Environmental Submissions, Licenses and Permits for Zone 2A

Permit / License	Valid	Period	Status	Remarks	
No. / Notification / Reference No.	From	То	_		
Chemical Waste Produ	cer Registration				
WPN5113-256- B2597-01	10-Sep-20		Valid		
Billing Account Constr	uction Waste Dispos	al			
7037500	09-Jun-20	-	Account Active		
Wastewater Discharge	License				
WT00037344-2021	01-Feb-21	28-Feb-26	Valid		
Notification under Air I	Pollution Control (Co	nstruction Dust) Regu	ulation		
456376	21-May-20		Notified		

4.3.2 Zone 2B & 2C

The environmental permits, licenses, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project which were valid during the period are summarised in **Table 4.4**.

Table 4.4: Status of Environmental Submissions, Licenses and Permits for Zone 2B & 2C

Permit / License	Valid Period		Status	Remarks			
No. / Notification / Reference No.	From	То	_				
Chemical Waste Producer Registration							
WPN5113-256- V2302-01	17-Aug-21		Valid				
Billing Account Construction Waste Disposal							
7041264	11-Aug-21		Account Active				
Construction Noise Pe	rmit						
GW-RE1464-22	24-Jan-23	23-Apr-23	Valid				
GW-RE0115-23	13-Feb-23	12-May-23	Valid				
Wastewater Discharge License							
WT00039734-2021	25-Nov-21	30-Nov-26	Valid				
Notification under Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation							
470022	29-Jul-21		Notified				

4.4 Recommended Mitigation Measures

The EM&A programme followed the recommended mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual. The EM&A requirements as well as the summary of implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures are provided in **Appendix J**. In particular, the following mitigation measures were brought to attention during the site inspections:

4.4.1 Zone 2A

Air Quality

NRMM Label should be displayed on all regulated machinery on site.

Temporary Water Drainage System & Water Quality

 Idle stockpile of construction materials should be fully covered with tarpaulin when not being used or removed from the site as far as practicable.

4.4.2 Zone 2B & 2C

Air Quality

NRMM Label should be provided for all regulated machinery on site.

Noise Impact

Noise barriers should be properly set up to minimize noise impact to NSRs.

Waste Management

- Fuel drums should be properly placed with drip trays/removed to storage area to prevent chemical spillage.
- Carry out waste sorting as far as practicable and remove all general waste to designated landfill facilities regularly.

Temporary Water Drainage System & Water Quality

- Idle stockpile of construction materials should be fully covered with tarpaulin when not being used or removed from the site during rainstorm.
- Temporary drainage system shall be maintained regularly to ensure efficient operation.

5 Compliance with Environmental Permit

The status of the required submission under the EP during the reporting period is summarized in **Table 5.1**.

Table 5.1: Status of Submissions under the Environmental Permit

EP Condition	Submission	Submission Date
Condition 3.4	Monthly EM&A Report for January 2023	14 February 2023

6 Report in Non-compliance, Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

6.1 Record on Non-compliance of Action and Limit Levels

There was no breach of Action or Limit levels for Air Quality (1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP) and Construction Noise in this reporting month.

6.2 Record on Environmental Complaints Received

No environmental complaint was received in the reporting month.

The cumulative statistics on complaints were provided in **Appendix K**.

6.3 Record on Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution

No notifications of summons or successful prosecutions were received this month. The cumulative statistics on notifications of summons and successful prosecutions were provided in **Appendix K**.

7 Future Key Issues

7.1 Construction Works for the Coming Month(s)

The major site works for Zone 2A scheduled to be commissioned in the coming month include:

Zone 2A A&A Works

- WEK G/F
 - Dismantling the Metal Roof Trusses at the Subcontractor's Works Area

The major site works for Zone 2B & 2C scheduled to be commissioned in the coming month include:

KD05 (Section 1), KD06 (Section 2), KD07 (Section 3), KD08 (Section 4) and KD09 (Section 5)

- Bored Pile Works
 - RCD Drilling, Airlifting, Cage Installation & Concreting and Excavation

7.2 Key Issues for the Coming Month

7.2.1 Zone 2A

Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:

- Generation of dust from construction works:
- Noise impact from construction works:
- Generation of site surface runoffs and wastewater from activities on-site;
- The temporary drainage system should be well managed and updated with the site condition, particularly on rainy days;
- Management of stockpiles and slopes, particularly on rainy days;
- Sorting, recycling, storage and disposal of general refuse and construction waste; and
- Management of chemicals and avoidance of oil spillage on-site.

7.2.2 Zone 2B & 2C

Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:

- Generation of dust from construction works;
- Noise impact from piling works;
- Generation of site surface runoffs and wastewater from activities on-site;
- Management of stockpiles and slopes, particularly on rainy days;
- Sorting, recycling, storage and disposal of general refuse and construction waste; and
- Management of chemicals and avoidance of oil spillage on-site.

7.3 Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month

The environmental site inspection and environmental monitoring will be continued in the coming month. Impact monitoring for air quality and noise for Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C in accordance with the approved EM&A Manual has commenced since 03 October 2020 and 30 September 2021 respectively. The tentative monitoring schedule for the coming month is shown in the **Appendix E**.

8 Conclusions and Recommendations

8.1 Conclusions

The EM&A programme as recommended in the EM&A Manual has been undertaken with the commencement of the construction activities at Zone 2A on 03 October 2020, and the major construction works of Zone 2B & 2C commenced on 30 September 2021.

Monitoring of air quality and noise with respect to the Projects is underway. In particular, the 1-hour TSP, 24-hour TSP, Noise Level (as L_{eq} , 30 minutes) under monitoring have been checked against established Action and Limit levels. There was no breach of Action or Limit levels for Air Quality (1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP) and Construction Noise in this reporting month.


No environmental complaint was recorded in the reporting month. No notifications of summons or successful prosecutions were received during the reporting month.

Weekly construction phase site inspections and bi-weekly landscape and visual impact inspections were conducted during the reporting month as required. It was observed that the Contractors had implemented all possible and feasible mitigation measures to mitigate the potential environmental impacts during construction phase works.

8.2 Recommendations

Potential environmental impacts due to the construction activities, including air quality, noise, water quality, waste, landscape and visual, will be monitored or reviewed. The recommended environmental mitigation measures shall be implemented on site and regular inspections as required will be carried out to ensure that the environmental conditions are acceptable.

Figure 1 Site Layout Plan and Monitoring Stations



Appendices

- A. Project Organisation
- B. Tentative Construction Programme
- C. Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase
- D. Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Landscape and Visual Impact
- E. Monitoring Schedule
- F. Calibration Certifications
- G. Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results
- H. Meteorological Data Extracted from Hong Kong Observatory
- I. Waste Flow table
- J. Environmental Mitigation Measures Implementation Status
- K. Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

A. Project Organisation





Table A-1: Contract Information

Company Name	Role	Name	Telephone	Email
West Kowloon Cultural District Authority	WKCDA Representative & Project ETL	Mr. C.K. WU	5506 9178	ck.wu@wkcda.hk
Meinhardt Infrastructure & Environment Limited	Independent Environmental Checker	Ms. Claudine LEE	2859 5409	caludinelee@meinhardt.com.hk
AECOM Asia Company Limited	Resident Engineer (Zone 2A, 2B & 2C)	Ms. Carmen CHAN	6892 9271	carmen.chan@aecom.com
Bachy Soletanche – Fujita Corporation Joint	Interface & Environmental Manager	Mr. Philip CHAN	9668 8403	philip.chan@soletanche-bachy.com
Venture				
Vibro – Tysan – Chun Wo Joint Venture	Environmental Sustainability Manager	Mr. Tony YAM	2137 5586	tony_yam@vibro.com.hk
Apex Testing & Certification Limited	Contractor's Environmental Team	Mr. Calvin LUI	9629 9718	calvinlui@apextestcert.com
	Leader			

B. Tentative Construction Programme




Project Name: Foundation and ELS Works for Integrated Basement and Underground Road in Zone 2A of the West Kowloon Cultural District


3-Month Rolling Programme


		Start Date Fin		2023												
Activity Description	Duration		Finish Date	February				March			April			May		
Activity Description	(Cal. Day)	Otart Date		3 1	0 17	24	3	10	17 2	4 31	7	14	21 28	5	12 19	26
				W143 W1	44 W145	W146	W147 V	V148 V	V149 W1	50 W151	W152	W153 W	/154 W15	5 W156 V	/157 W1	58 W159
Zone 2A A&A Works																
WEK B1/F																
Sand Removal	63	17-Dec-22	17-Feb-23													
WEK G/F																
Dismantling the Metal Roof Trusses at the Subcontractor's Works Area	8	28-Feb-23	7-Mar-23													


- Actual


- Remaining Works









































Activit	/ ID	Activity Name	Baseline Start	Baseline Finish	Dur	Forecast / Actual	Forecast / Actual	Total Float i			Februa	ry		N	larch			Apı	ril			May	
						Start	Finsih	1			20				21			22	2			23	
								l l	28	04	11	18_	25	04 ′	1 18	25	01	08	15 2	22 2	9 06	13	20
	S4.AT.0000	Attendance at Section 4 Area (optional works item no. 2D) (Duration TBC)	22-Feb-23	13-Mar-23	20	25-Feb-23	16-Mar-23	68 °	i	_	-					_							\neg
												\											
	S5.AT.0000	Attendance at Section 5 Area (optional works item no. 2E) (Duration TBC)	14-Mar-23	02-Apr-23	20	14-Mar-23	02-Apr-23	71 °.	:			N N								:			
				·																- 1			
									- 1								1						

ID: 2BC-20230224_bw Data Date: 25-Feb-23 Print Date: 27-Feb-23_10:20 Page 15 of 15



West Kowloon Cultural District Authority
Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B 2C
3 Month Rolling Programme as of 24 February 2023
Based on CMWP Rev.0 (3rd Draft)



Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
4-Mar-22	R0	KL	В
02-Dec-22	R03D	KL	С

C. Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase

Air Quality

The Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour and 24-hour TSP for the monitoring stations are presented in following tables:

Table C-1: Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP

Monitoring Station	Action Level (µg/m3)	Limit Level (µg/m3)
АМЗА	280.4	500
AM4A	278.5	500
AM5A	275.4	500

Table C-2: Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP

Monitoring Station	Action Level (µg/m3)	Limit Level (µg/m3)
AM3A	152.4	260
AM4A	152.6	260
AM5A	141.1	260

<u>Noise</u>

The Action and Limit Levels for Noise for the monitoring stations are presented in following table:

Table C-3: Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

Time Period & Monitoring Locations	Action Level	Limit Level
NM2A, NM3A, NM4A and NM5A		
0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays	When one valid documented complaint is	75
	received from any one of the sensitive receiver	

Note:

^{*}Reduce to 70dB(A) for school and 65 dB(A) during school examination period.

D. Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Landscape and Visual Impact

Air Quality

In case the Action and Limit Levels are not complied during construction stage, the following Event and Action Plan should be followed:

Table D-1: Typical Event and Action Plan for Air Quality

Front	Action							
Event	ET	IEC	WKCDA	Contractor				
Action Level								
1. Exceedance for one sample	 Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform IEC and WKCDA; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method. 	1. Notify Contractor	 Rectify any unacceptable practice; Amend working methods if appropriate. 				
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Identify source; 2. Inform IEC and WKCDA; 3. Advise the WKCDA on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 6. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and WKCDA; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. 	1. Submit proposals for remedial to WKCDA within three working days of notification; 2. Implement the agreed proposals; 3. Amend proposal if appropriate.				

Event

	ET	IEC	WKCDA	Contractor
Limit Level				
1. Exceedance for one sample	1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform WKCDA, Contractor and EPD; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and WKCDA informed of the results.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the WKCDA on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. 	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Amend proposal if appropriate.
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Notify IEC, WKCDA, Contractor and EPD; 2. Identify source; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Arrange meeting with IEC and WKCDA to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and WKCDA informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss amongst WKCDA, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 4. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the WKCDA accordingly; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the WKCDA until the exceedance is abated.

Construction Noise

In case the Action and Limit Levels are not complied during construction stage, the following Event and Action Plan should be followed:

Table D-2: Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise

Front	Action								
Event	ET	IEC	WKCDA	Contractor					
Action Level	1. Notify WKCDA, IEC and Contractor; 2. Carry out investigation; 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, WKCDA and Contractor; 4. Discuss with the IEC and Contractor on remedial measures required; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness.	1. Review the investigation results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the WKCDA accordingly; 3. Advise the WKCDA on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC and WKCDA; Implement noise mitigation proposals.					
Limit	1. Inform IEC, WKCDA, Contractor and EPD; 2. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 3. Increase monitoring frequency; 4. Identify source and investigate the cause of exceedance; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures; 6. Discuss with the IEC, Contractor and WKCDA on remedial measures required; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and WKCDA informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Discuss amongst WKCDA, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the WKCDA accordingly.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; 5. If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance until the exceedance is abated.	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and WKCDA within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Submit further proposal if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the WKCDA until the exceedance is abated.					

Landscape and Visual Impact

In case of non-compliance of landscape and visual impacts, procedures in accordance with the Event and Action Plan should be followed:

Table D-3: Event and Action Plan for Landscape and Visual Impact

Frant	Action							
Event	Action	Event	Action	Event				
Design Check	1. Design check to make sure the design complies with all the proposed mitigation measures in the EIA report; 2. Prepare and submit report.	 Check report submitted by ET; Recommend remedial design if necessary. 	1. Undertake remedial design if necessary.	-				
Non-conformity on one occasion	 Identify source of non-conformity; Report to IEC and WKCDA; Discuss remedial actions with IEC, WKCDA and Contractor; Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed. 	1. Check and verify source of non-conformity; 2. Discuss remedial actions with ET and Contractor; 3. Advise WKCDA on effectiveness of proposed remedial actions; 4. Check implementation of remedial actions.	Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial actions are properly implemented.	 Amend working method as necessary; Rectify damage and undertake necessary replacement and remedial actions. 				
Repeated non-conformity	1. Identify source of non-conformity; 2. Report to IEC and WKCDA; 3. Increase monitoring frequency; 4. Discuss remedial actions with IEC, WKCDA and Contractor; 5. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed; 6. If non-conformity rectified, reduce monitoring frequency back to normal.	1. Check and verify source of non-conformity; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss remedial actions with ET and Contractor; 4. Advise WKCDA on effectiveness of proposed remedial actions; 5. Supervise implementation of remedial actions.	Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial actions are properly implemented.	1. Amend working method as necessary; 2. Rectify damage and undertake necessary replacement and remedial actions.				

E. Monitoring Schedule

Notes:

AM3A - Northeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)

AM4A - Southeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)

AM5A - North of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)

NM2A - The Arch – Sun Tower (G/F)

NM3A -

NM4A

NM5A -

S M T W T F S 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26 27 28 29 30 31

March 2023

A - Xiqu Centre (G/F) A - Next to Tsim Sha Tsui Fire Station (G/F)	ebruary	2023	(Hong	Kong)
A - Pedestrian road (G/F) outside West Kowloon Station	1		•	O 7

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
29	30	31	1	2 Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2A	3	4
5	AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring	7	8 Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2B & 2C	9	10	AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring
12	13	14	15	16 Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2A	AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring	18
19	20	21	22 Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2B & 2C	AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring	24	25
26	27	28	1	2	3	4

Notes:

AM3A - Northeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)

AM4A - Southeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)

AM5A - North of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)

NM2A - The Arch – Sun Tower (G/F)

NM3A - Xiqu Centre (G/F)

NM4A - Next to Tsim Sha Tsui Fire Station (G/F)

NM5A - Pedestrian road (G/F) outside West Kowloon Station

March 2023 (Hong Kong)

April 2023

S M T W T F S 1

2 3 4 5 6 7 8

9 10 11 12 13 14 15
16 17 18 19 20 21 22
23 24 25 26 27 28 29

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
26	27	28	1	2	3	4
			AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring		Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2A	
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring		Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2B & 2C		
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
	AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring			Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2A		AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
			Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2B & 2C		AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring	
26	27	28	29	30 Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2A AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring	31	1

F. Calibration Certifications



RECALIBRATION **DUE DATE:**

October 28, 2023

Calibration Certification Information

Cal. Date: October 28, 2022 Rootsmeter S/N: 438320

Ta: 297

°K

Operator: Jim Tisch

Pa: 751.1

mm Hg

Calibration Model #:

TE-5025A

Calibrator S/N: 4088

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.4470	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0270	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.9160	8.0	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8740	8.8	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7230	12.8	8.00

	Data Tabulation							
Vstd	Qstd	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}$		Qa	$\sqrt{\Delta H(Ta/Pa)}$			
(m3)	(x-axis)	(y-axis)	Va	(x-axis)	(y-axis)			
0.9874	0.6824	1.4083	0.9957	0.6881	0.8893			
0.9831	0.9573	1.9916	0.9915	0.9654	1.2577			
0.9810	1.0710	2.2266	0.9893	1.0801	1.4061			
0.9800	1.1212	2.3353	0.9883	1.1308	1.4747			
0.9747	1.3481	2.8165	0.9830	1.3596				
	m=	2.11365		m=	1.32353			
QSTD	b=	-0.03408	QA	b=	-0.02152			
QUID	r=	0.99999		r=	0.99999			

	Calculation	ons				
Vstd=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)	Va=	ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa)			
	Vstd/ΔTime	Qa=	Va/ΔTime			
For subsequent flow rate calculations:						
Qstd=	$1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}\right)-b\right)$	Qa=	$1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}\right)-b\right)$			

Standard Conditions					
Tstd:	298.15 °K				
Pstd:	760 mm Hg				
	Кеу				
	or manometer reading (in H2O)				
ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)					
Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K)					
Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)					
b: intercept					
m: slope					

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30

Tisch Environmental, Inc. 145 South Miami Avenue Village of Cleves, OH 45002 www.tisch-env.com

TOLL FREE: (877)263-7610

FAX: (513)467-9009



Site Information

Zones 2A at West
Location: AM3A Site ID: Kowloon Cultural Date: 28-Jan-23
Sampler: TE-5170 Serial No: 4340 Tech: CS Tang

Site Conditions

Barometric Pressure (in Hg): 30.24	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): 768
Temperature (deg F): 55	Temperature (deg K): 286
Average Press. (in Hg): 30.24	Corrected Average (mm Hg): 768
Average Temp. (deg F): 55	Average Temp. (deg K): 286

Calibration Orifice

Make: Tisch	Qstd Slope: 2.11365
Model: TE-5025A	Qstd Intercept: -0.03408
Serial#: 4088	Date Certified: 28-Oct-22

Calibration Information

Plate or	H2O	Qstd	I	IC	
Test #	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	(corrected)	Linear Regression
1	12.50	1.733	53.0	54.40	Slope: 31.9610
2	10.60	1.597	48.0	49.27	Intercept: -1.0001
3	7.30	1.328	41.0	42.08	Corr. Coeff: 0.9975
4	4.60	1.058	33.0	33.87	
5	2.60	0.799	23.0	23.61	# of Observations: 5

Calculations

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

10 1[04]1(1011010)(1010111)

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure

Average I (chart): 40

Average Flow Calculation m3/min

1.302970043

Average Flow Calculation in CFM

46.00787222

Sample Time (Hrs): 1.0

Total Flow in m3/min

78.17820259

Total Flow in CFM

2760.472333

NOTE: Ensure calibration orifice has been certified within 12 months of use



Site Information

Zones 2A at West

Location: AM4A Site ID: Kowloon Cultural Date: 28-Jan-23

Sampler: TE-5170 Serial No: 3998 Tech: CS Tang

Site Conditions

Barometric Pressure (in Hg):	30.24 Corrected Pressure (mm Hg):	768
Temperature (deg F):	Temperature (deg K):	286
Average Press. (in Hg):	30.24 Corrected Average (mm Hg):	768
Average Temp. (deg F):	55 Average Temp. (deg K):	286

Calibration Orifice

Make: Tisch	Qstd Slope: 2.11365
Model: TE-5025A	Qstd Intercept: -0.03408
Serial#: 4088	Date Certified: 28-Oct-22

Calibration Information

Plate or	H2O	Qstd	I	IC	
Test #	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	(corrected)	Linear Regression
1	12.40	1.726	53.0	54.40	Slope: 30.6518
2	10.50	1.590	48.0	49.27	Intercept: 1.0559
3	7.60	1.355	41.0	42.08	Corr. Coeff: 0.9982
4	4.40	1.035	33.0	33.87	
5	2.30	0.753	23.0	23.61	# of Observations: 5

Calculations

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure

Average I (chart): 40

Average Flow Calculation m3/min

1.291546502

Average Flow Calculation in CFM

45.60450697

Sample Time (Hrs): 1.0

Total Flow in m3/min

77.4927901

Total Flow in CFM

2736.270418

NOTE: Ensure calibration orifice has been certified within 12 months of use



Site Information

Zones 2A at West
Location: AM5A Site ID: Kowloon Cultural Date: 28-Jan-23
Sampler: TE-5170 Serial No: 4344 Tech: CS Tang

Site Conditions

Barometric Pressure (in Hg): 30.24 Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): 768

Temperature (deg F): 55 Temperature (deg K): 286

Average Press. (in Hg): 30.24 Corrected Average (mm Hg): 768

Average Temp. (deg F): 55 Average Temp. (deg K): 286

Calibration Orifice

 Make: Tisch
 Qstd Slope: 2.11365

 Model: TE-5025A
 Qstd Intercept: -0.03408

 Serial#: 4088
 Date Certified: 28-Oct-22

Calibration Information

Plate or	H2O	Qstd	I	IC	
Test #	(in)	(m3/min)	(chart)	(corrected)	Linear Regression
1	12.50	1.733	53.0	54.40	Slope: 31.4207
2	10.70	1.605	48.0	49.27	Intercept: -0.3595
3	7.50	1.346	41.0	42.08	Corr. Coeff: 0.9982
4	4.60	1.058	33.0	33.87	
5	2.50	0.784	23.0	23.61	# of Observations: 5

Calculations

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)

m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure

Average I (chart): 40

Average Flow Calculation m3/min

1.304987198

Average Flow Calculation in CFM

46.07909795

Sample Time (Hrs): 1.0

Total Flow in m3/min

78.29923185

Total Flow in CFM

2764.745877

NOTE: Ensure calibration orifice has been certified within 12 months of use



CERTIFICATE OF ACCREDITATION

This is to attest that

AQUALITY TESTCONSULT LIMITED

11A&B, KAI FONG GARDEN, PING CHE ROAD FANLING, HONG KONG

Calibration Laboratory CL-207

has met the requirements of AC204, *IAS Accreditation Criteria for Calibration Laboratories*, and has demonstrated compliance with ISO/IEC Standard 17025:2017, *General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories*. This organization is accredited to provide the services specified in the scope of accreditation.

Effective Date December 17, 2021

Expiration Date December 1, 2022



President

SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

International Accreditation Service, Inc. 3060 Saturn Street, Suite 100, Brea, California 92821, U.S.A. | www.iasonline.org

AQUALITY TESTCONSULT LIMITED

Contact Name Lee Mei Yee

Contact Phone + 852-6309-2280

Accredited to ISO/IEC 17025:2017

Effective Date December 17, 2021

CALIBRATION AND MEASUREMENT CAPABILITY (CMC)*

MEASURED QUANTITY or DEVICE TYPE CALIBRATED	RANGE	UNCERTAINTY ^{1,2} (±)	CALIBRATION PROCEDURE AND/OR STANDARD EQUIPMENT USED
Dimensional			
Caliper -Vernier, Dial & Electronic ³	0 mm to 300 mm	30 μm	Checker by comparison method (BS 887:1982)
Steel Ruler ³	1 mm to 1000 mm	280 μm	Reference Steel Rule by comparison method (BS 4372:1968)
Dial Indicator/Gauge (Plunger) ³	0 mm to 50 mm	8 μm	Reference micrometer head by comparison method (BS 907:2008)
Feeler Gauge ³	0.01 mm to 1 mm	8 μm	Reference Dial Gauge by comparison method (BS 957: 2008)
Measuring tape ³	0 m to 5 m	1200 µm	Reference steel ruler by comparison method (BS 4035:1966)
Engineering Square ³	Length: 0 mm to 160 mm	20 μm	Reference engineering square and Feeler Gauge (BS 939:2007)
Slump cone ³	Diameter: 0 mm to 200 mm	560 µm	Reference Caliper & Reference Steel ruler by direct measurement
	Thickness: 1.5 mm	100 μm	(Verification in accordance with in-house method for the
	Height: 0 mm to 300 mm	560 μm	dimensional requirements as specified CS1:1990 Vol.1 A4; CS1: 2010 Vol. 1, A5)

^{*} If information in this CMC is presented in non-SI units, the conversion factors stated in NIST Special Publication 811 "Guide for the Use of the International System of Units (SI)" apply.





SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

International Accreditation Service, Inc.

3060 Saturn Street, Suite 100, Brea, California 92821, U.S.A. | www.iasonline.org

MEASURED QUANTITY or DEVICE TYPE CALIBRATED	RANGE	UNCERTAINTY ^{1,2} (±)	CALIBRATION PROCEDURE AND/OR STANDARD EQUIPMENT USED
Tamping rod ³	Diameter: 0 mm to 16 mm	600 µm	Reference steel ruler & Reference Caliper by direct
	Length: 600 mm	950 μm	measurement (Verification in accordance with in-house method for the dimensional requirements as specified CS1:1990 Vol.1 A5; CS1: 2010 Vol. 1, A6)
Cube mould ³	(Max dimensions 150 mm per side)		Reference Caliper, straight edge & feeler gauge by
	Dimension	50 μm	direct measurement. (Verification in accordance with in-house method for the
	Flatness	10 μm	dimensional requirements as specified in BS1881: Part
	Perpendicularity	10 μm	108:1983; CS1:1990 Vol1, A21; CS1:2010 Vol 1, A25;
	Parallelism	50 μm	BS EN 12390-2:2000)
Compacting Bar ³	Ramming Face: 25 mm	100 μm	Reference Caliper & Steel ruler by direct measurement.
	Length: 380 mm	560 μm	(Verification in accordance with in-house method for the
	Weight: 1.8 kg	1 g	dimensional & mass requirements as specified in BS 1881: Part 105:1984 CI 3.3; CS1:1990 Vol 2, E3 CS1:2010 Vol 1, A15.3; BS EN 12350 -5:2000 CI 4.3.)
Covermeter	20 mm to 103 mm	2.9 mm	Reference concrete block (Verification in accordance with in-house method for the dimensional requirements as specified in BS 1881- 204:1988 Cl.6.4- Method C)
Flow table ³	15 kg to 17 kg 1 mm up to 71 mm	12 g 600 μm	Weighing Balance, Reference caliper & Reference steel ruler by direct measurement
Test Sieve ³	4 mm to 50 mm	50 μm	Reference Caliper by direct measurement
	Mechan	nical	
Force Measuring Machine ³ (Compression Mode)	1 kN to 3000 kN	0.4 %	Reference Load cell by direct measurement BS 1610: Part 1:1985; BS 1610: Part 1:1992; BS EN ISO 12390-4:2000 Annex B; BS EN ISO 7500-1:2004





SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

International Accreditation Service, Inc.

3060 Saturn Street, Suite 100, Brea, California 92821, U.S.A. | www.iasonline.org

MEASURED QUANTITY or DEVICE TYPE CALIBRATED	RANGE	UNCERTAINTY ^{1,2} (±)	CALIBRATION PROCEDURE AND/OR STANDARD EQUIPMENT USED
Laser Dust Meter ³	Dust particles 0.001 mg/m³ to 10.00 mg/m³	0.9 mg/m ³	By comparison method by using reference laser dust meter
Rebound Hammer ³	80 unit (hardness)	1.6 rebound count	Reference Rebound count by comparison method. BS1881: Part 202:1986; BS EN 12504-2:2001; BS EN 12504-2:2012
Mass (F2 class and coarser)	0 g to 200 g 200 g to 5 kg 5 kg to 10 kg 10 kg to 50 kg	1.3 mg 0.5 g 0.88 g 3 g	Standard Weight E2/ F1 Class & Weighing Balances by comparison method (OIML-R-111)
Weighing Scale & Balance ³	0 g to 200 g 0 kg to 5 kg 0 kg to 50 kg	0.8 mg 0.13 g 7.7 g	Standard weight of E2/F1 Grade by direct measurement (OIML-R-111)
Volumetric Glassware	1 mL to 100 mL 100 mL to 1000 mL	0.004 mL 0.09 mL	Standard weight E2 Class, Weighing Balances & Distilled water by gravimetric method
	Ther	mal	
Digital/Liquid in Glass Thermometers & RTD/ Thermocouples with or without Indicators	15 °C to 55 °C 55 °C to 95 °C	0.4 °C 0.9 °C	Water Baths, Reference Sensor and Indictor by Comparison Method (OIML R133)
Curing Tank ³	(Calibration at 20 °C & 27 °C @ 30 min) 20 °C Temperature distribution	0.4 °C	Reference Temperature datalogger by Mapping Method & Reference Stop Watch (Verification in accordance with in-house method for the Temp & Time
	27 °C Temperature distribution Efficiency of circulation	0.8 °C 5 s	requirements as specified in BS1881-111:1983 CS1:1990 Vol 1 App A24 CS1:2010 Vol 1 App A28 BE EN 12390-2:2000
Oven ³	40.0 °C to 180.0 °C	1.5 °C	Reference Temperature datalogger by Mapping Method (AS 2853:1986)
Furnace ³	200 °C to 1300 °C	6 °C	Reference Thermocouple with Indicator By single point Calibration (AS 2853:1986)
Water bath ³	15 °C to 95 °C	0.2 °C	Reference Temperature datalogger by Mapping Method (AS 2853:1986)





SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

International Accreditation Service, Inc.

3060 Saturn Street, Suite 100, Brea, California 92821, U.S.A. | www.iasonline.org

MEASURED QUANTITY or DEVICE TYPE CALIBRATED	RANGE	UNCERTAINTY ^{1,2} (±)	CALIBRATION PROCEDURE AND/OR STANDARD EQUIPMENT USED
	Time and Fre	quency	
Stop Watch / Timer ³	0 s to 3600 s 0 s to 21600 s (6 hours) 0 s to 86400 s (24 hours)	0.2 s 0.6 s 0.61 s	Reference stop watch
Grout Flow Cone ³	7 s to 9 s	0.2 s	Reference stop watch by direct method (ASTM C939-10 Cl.9)

¹The uncertainty covered by the Calibration and Measurement Capability (CMC) is expressed as the expanded uncertainty having a coverage probability of approximately 95 %. It is the smallest measurement uncertainty that a laboratory can achieve within its scope of accreditation when performing calibrations of a best existing device. The measurement uncertainty reported on a calibration certificate may be greater than that provided in the CMC due to the behavior of the calibration item and other factors that may contribute to the uncertainty of a specific calibration.





²When uncertainty is stated in relative terms (such as percent, a multiplier expressed as a decimal fraction or in scientific notation), it is in relation to instrument reading or instrument output, as appropriate, unless otherwise indicated.

³Also available as site calibration. Note that actual measurement uncertainties achievable at a customer's site can normally be expected to be larger than the uncertainties listed on this Scope of Accreditation

FAQ / Information

Mutual Recognition Arrangements (MRA) / Multilateral Recognition Arrangements (MLA)

Mutual Recognition Arrangement (MRA) Partners for HOKLAS ^

Every effort is made to promote acceptance of test data from accredited laboratories, both internationally and locally. HKAS has concluded mutual recognition arrangements with accreditation bodies listed below by being one of the signatories of the <u>International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation Mutual Recognition Arrangement (ILAC MRA)</u> and the <u>Asia Pacific Accreditation Cooperation Mutual Recognition Arrangement (APAC MRA)</u> for testing, calibration, medical testing, Proficiency Testing Providers (PTP) and Reference Material Producers (RMP). Click <u>here</u> to view the up-to-date signatories of ILAC and <u>here</u> to access the up-to-date signatories of APAC.

Visitors checking the names, logos and accreditation symbols shown on an endorsed certificate or report should note that some of our MRA partners may have their names, logos or accreditation symbols changed recently and test reports or certificates endorsed by displaying their old accreditation symbols may still be valid during the change-over period. For details, please visit their websites or contact them directly.

» Mutual Recognition Arrangement (MRA) Partners for HOKLAS

HKAS MRA partners will recognise HOKLAS endorsed test certificates as having the same technical validity as certificates endorsed by their respective schemes.

Multilateral Recognition Arrangements (MLA) for HKCAS ^

HKAS has been a signatory of <u>Asia Pacific Accreditation Cooperation Mutual Recognition Arrangement (APAC MRA)</u> for Quality Management System (QMS), Environmental Management System (EMS), Food Safety Management System (FSMS), Energy Management System (EnMS), Occupational Health and Safety Management System (OHSMS) certifications, product certifications, and Greenhouse Gas (GHG) validation and verification.

HKAS has also been a signatory of the <u>International Accreditation Forum Multilateral Recognition Arrangement (IAF MLA)</u> for Quality Management System (QMS), Environmental Management System (EMS), Food Safety Management System (FSMS), Energy Management System (EnMS), Occupational Health and Safety Management System (OHSMS) certifications, product certifications, and Greenhouse Gas (GHG) validation and verification.

Click <u>here</u> to view the up-to-date signatories of IAF and <u>here</u> to access the up-to-date signatories of APAC.

» Mutual / Multilateral Recognition Arrangements (MRA / MLA) Partners for HKCAS

HKAS has concluded mutual recognition arrangements with accreditation bodies listed below by being one of the signatories of the <u>International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation Mutual Recognition Arrangement (ILAC MRA)</u> and <u>Asia Pacific Accreditation Cooperation Mutual Recognition Arrangement (APAC MRA)</u> for inspection. Click <u>here</u> to view the up-to-date signatories of ILAC and <u>here</u> to access the up-to-date signatories of APAC.

HKAS MRA partners will recognise HKIAS endorsed inspection reports or certificates having the same technical validity as reports or certificates endorsed by their respective schemes.

» Mutual Recognition Arrangement (MRA) Partners for HKIAS



Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) - Mutual Recognition Arrangement (MRA) Partners

Economy	Logo	Name of Partner	URL	Test Area
United States of America	IAS INTERNATIONAL ACCREDITATION SERVICE"	International Accreditation Service Inc. (IAS)	www.iasonline.org	Calibration, Non-medical Testing
United States of America	mvlap*	National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP)	www.nist.gov/nvlap	Calibration, Non-medical Testing
United States of America	FJIA	Perry Johnson Laboratory Accreditation, Inc. (PJLA)	www.pjlabs.com	Calibration, Medical Testing, Non-medical Testing, Proficiency Testing Provider, Reference Material Producer
Uruguay	ORGANISMO URUGUAYO DE ACREDIFACION	Organismo Uruguayo de Acreditación (OUA)	www.organismourugua yodeacreditacion.org	Calibration, Non-medical Testing
Viet Nam		Accreditation Office for Standards Conformity Assessment Capacity (AOSC)	aosc.vn/	Calibration, Medical Testing, Non-medical Testing
Viet Nam		Bureau of Accreditation (BoA)	www.boa.gov.vn	Calibration, Medical Testing, Non-medical Testing

26 Aug 2022

香港新界粉嶺坪黃路啟芳園11A&11B號

No. 11A&B, KAI FONG GARDEN, PING CHE ROAD, FANLING, NEW TERRITORIES, HONG KONG

TEL: 852-3582-9589 FAX: 852-2674-1177 EMAIL: cal.aqtl@gmail.com WEBSITE: www.aqtlgroup.com

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

: 220908MCA-166F Report Number

Date of Report : 10-Sep-22 Page Number : 1 of 2

Customer * : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.

Customer Address* : Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK

Customers Ref. * : A005

Item Under Calibration (IUC)*

Equipment No. : N/A

Manufacturer : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd

Model No. : LD-3B Serial No. : 235811

Scale Division : 0.001 mg/m: 0.001 to 1 mg/m3Range

Condition of Item : Normal

Date Item Received : 8-Sep-22 Date Calibrated : 8-Sep-22

: AQuality Calibration Lab. Calibration Location

Date of Next Calibration : 7-Sep-23 Calibrated By : Jessica Liu

Test Environment

Ambient Temperature °C to $^{\circ}C$ 25.7 33.8 **Relative Humidity** 46 % to 83 %

Calibration Results

Reference	Average	Correction	Error of	Expanded	Coverage
True Reading	IUC Reading	3	IUC Reading	Uncertainty	Factor
(mg/m3)	(mg/m^3)	(mg/m^3)	(%)	(mg/m^3)	K
0.158	0.167	-0.008	5.1%	0.020	2.0
5.164	5.647	-0.484	8.5%	0.463	2.0
10.100	11.141	-1.041	9.3%	0.904	2.0

Remarks

- 1. * Denotes information supplied by customer.
- 2. The results relate only to the items calibrated.
- 3. The results apply to the items as received.
- 4. Correction = Average of (Ref reading IUC reading)
- 5. The technical requirement of laser dust meter. +/- 20% error for the particles concentration.

Approved by:

LEE Mei Yee, Julia Managing Director

The results shown in this certificate are metrologically traceable to the International System of Units (SI) or recognised measurement standards.

香港新界粉嶺坪黃路啟芳園11A&11B號

No. 11A&11B, KAI FONG GARDEN, PING CHE ROAD, FANLING, NEW TERRITORIES, HONG KONG

TEL: 852-3582-9589 FAX: 852-2674-1177 EMAIL: cal.aqtl@gmail.com WEBSITE: www.aqtlgroup.com

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Report Number : 220908MCA-166F

Date of Report : 10-Sep-22 Page Number : 2 of 2

Customer * : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.

Customers Ref. * : A005

Details of Calibration

- 1. The calibration was performed in accordance with AQuality Testconsult Procedure Number ENV-L-003 (in-house method), by comparison with the laboratory's reference equipment which have traceable international standards of measurement.
- 2. The item under calibration (IUC) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for 0.25 hour before commencement of calibration.
- 3. A set of readings were made at each calibration concentration. The values quoted in the results are the average of each set of readings.
- 4. The values given in this calibration certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of calibration. Any uncertainties quoted do not include allowance for the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. The uncertainty quoted relate only to item at time of calibration. AQuality Testconsult Limited is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of this equipment.
- 5. The identification, calibration certificate numbers for the reference equipment used were as follows:

Equipment Number	Certificate Number	Description
CH-LDM-1	HBW202101714	粉尘测试仪

6. Copies of the Calibration certificates of the reference equipment used in this calibration may be obtained from AQuality Testconsult Limited, if necessary.

- End of Report -



東恒測試顧問有限公司

AQUALITY TESTCONSULT LIMITED

香港新界粉嶺坪輋路啟芳園11A&11B號

TEL: 852-3582-9589

FAX: 852-2674-1177

EMAIL: cal.aqtl@gmail.com

WEBSITE: www.aqtlgroup.com

No. 11A&11B, KAI FONG GARDEN, PING CHE ROAD, FANLING, N.T., HONG KONG

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.	Test Report No.	220908MCA-166F
Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK	Date of Issue	10-Sep-22
	Date of Testing	8-Sep-22
	Page	1 of 1

Item for Calibration

Description : Laser Dust Monitor

Manufacturer : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd

Model No. : <u>LD-3B</u> Serial No. : <u>235811</u>

Standard Equipment

Description : High Volume Sampler / Calibration Orifice

Manufacturer : Tisch Environmental, Inc.

Model No. : TE-5170 / TE-5025A

Serial No. 3476 / 3543

Last Calibration : 6-SEP-22 / 20-OCT-21


			mp Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration	Concentration
Data	Time	Mean Temp		Standard	Calibrated
Date	1 ime	_		Equipment	Equipment
		(°C)		(mg/m3)	(mg/m3)
8-Sep-22	19:00	29.8	1013.8	0.0622	0.0634
8-Sep-22	20:05	29.8	1013.8	0.0576	0.0584
8-Sep-22	21:10	29.8	1013.8	0.0589	0.0603

By Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor) : 1.0651

Correlation Coefficient: 0.9897

Validity of Calibration: 7-Sep-23



Recorded by :

Jessica Liu

Signature:

Date: 8-Sep-22

Checked by

S Tang

Signature:

Date: 8-Sep-22

香港新界粉嶺坪黃路啟芳園11A&11B號

No. 11A&B, KAI FONG GARDEN, PING CHE ROAD, FANLING, NEW TERRITORIES, HONG KONG

TEL: 852-3582-9589 FAX: 852-2674-1177 EMAIL: cal.aqtl@gmail.com WEBSITE: www.aqtlgroup.com

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Report Number : 220908MCA-163F

Date of Report : 10-Sep-22 Page Number : 1 of 2

Customer * : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.

Customer Address* : Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK

Customers Ref. * : A005

Item Under Calibration (IUC)*

Equipment No. : N/A

Manufacturer : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd

Model No. : LD-3B Serial No. : 336338 Scale Division : 0.001 mg/m3

Range : 0.001 to 1 mg/m3

Condition of Item : Normal

Date Item Received : 8-Sep-22 Date Calibrated : 8-Sep-22

Calibration Location : AQuality Calibration Lab.

Date of Next Calibration : 7-Sep-23
Calibrated By : Jessica Liu

Test Environment

Ambient Temperature : 25.7 °C to 33.8 °C Relative Humidity : 46 % to 83 %

Calibration Results

Reference True Reading (mg/m3)	Average IUC Reading (mg/m³)	Correction (mg/m ³)	Error of IUC Reading (%)	Expanded Uncertainty (mg/m³)	Coverage Factor K
0.158	0.168	-0.010	5.7%	0.026	2.0
5.164	5.562	-0.398	7.1%	0.462	2.0
10.100	10.936	-0.837	7.6%	0.905	2.0

Remarks

- 1. * Denotes information supplied by customer.
- 2. The results relate only to the items calibrated.
- 3. The results apply to the items as received.
- 4. Correction = Average of (Ref reading IUC reading)
- 5. The technical requirement of laser dust meter. +/- 20% error for the particles concentration.

Approved by: ____

LEE Mei Yee, Julia Managing Director

The results shown in this certificate are metrologically traceable to the International System of Units (SI) or recognised measurement standards. The certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory.

香港新界粉嶺坪黃路啟芳園11A&11B號

No. 11A&11B, KAI FONG GARDEN, PING CHE ROAD, FANLING, NEW TERRITORIES, HONG KONG

TEL: 852-3582-9589 FAX: 852-2674-1177 EMAIL: cal.aqtl@gmail.com WEBSITE: www.aqtlgroup.com

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Report Number : 220908MCA-163F

Date of Report : 10-Sep-22 Page Number : 2 of 2

Customer * : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.

Customers Ref. * : A005

Details of Calibration

- 1. The calibration was performed in accordance with AQuality Testconsult Procedure Number ENV-L-003 (in-house method), by comparison with the laboratory's reference equipment which have traceable international standards of measurement.
- 2. The item under calibration (IUC) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for 0.25 hour before commencement of calibration.
- 3. A set of readings were made at each calibration concentration. The values quoted in the results are the average of each set of readings.
- 4. The values given in this calibration certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of calibration. Any uncertainties quoted do not include allowance for the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. The uncertainty quoted relate only to item at time of calibration. AQuality Testconsult Limited is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of this equipment.
- 5. The identification, calibration certificate numbers for the reference equipment used were as follows:

Equipment Number	Certificate Number	Description
CH-LDM-1	HBW202101714	粉尘测试仪

6. Copies of the Calibration certificates of the reference equipment used in this calibration may be obtained from AQuality Testconsult Limited, if necessary.

- End of Report -



東恒測試顧問有限公司

AQUALITY TESTCONSULT LIMITED

香港新界粉嶺坪輋路啟芳園11A&11B號

TEL: 852-3582-9589 FAX: 852-2674-1177 FMAIL: call agtl@gm:

EMAIL : cal.aqtl@gmail.com WEBSITE: www.aqtlgroup.com

No. 11A&11B, KAI FONG GARDEN, PING CHE ROAD, FANLING, N.T., HONG KONG

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.	Test Report No.	220908MCA-163F
Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK	Date of Issue	10-Sep-22
	Date of Testing	8-Sep-22
	Page	1 of 1

Item for Calibration

Description : Laser Dust Monitor

Manufacturer : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd

Model No. : <u>LD-3B</u> Serial No. : <u>336338</u>

Standard Equipment

Description : High Volume Sampler / Calibration Orifice

Manufacturer : Tisch Environmental, Inc.

Model No. : TE-5170 / TE-5025A

Serial No. 3476 / 3543

Last Calibration : 6-SEP-22 / 20-OCT-21


				Concentration	Concentration
D /	T.:	Mean Temp	Mean	Standard	Calibrated
Date	Time	1	Pressure	Equipment	Equipment
		(°C)	(hPa)	(mg/m3)	(mg/m3)
8-Sep-22	19:00	29.8	1013.8	0.0622	0.0633
8-Sep-22	20:05	29.8	1013.8	0.0576	0.0562
8-Sep-22	21:10	29.8	1013.8	0.0589	0.0573

By Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor) : 1.6047

Correlation Coefficient: 0.9789

Validity of Calibration: 7-Sep-23



Recorded by : Jessica Liu Signature: Date: 8-Sep-22

Checked by : S Tang Signature: Date: 8-Sep-22

香港新界粉嶺坪黃路啟芳園11A&11B號

No. 11A&B, KAI FONG GARDEN, PING CHE ROAD, FANLING, NEW TERRITORIES, HONG KONG

TEL: 852-3582-9589 FAX: 852-2674-1177 EMAIL: cal.aqtl@gmail.com WEBSITE: www.aqtlgroup.com

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Report Number : 220908MCA-165F

Date of Report : 10-Sep-22 Page Number : 1 of 2

Customer * : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.

Customer Address* : Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK

Customers Ref. * : A005

Item Under Calibration (IUC)*

Equipment No. : N/A

Manufacturer : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd

Model No. : LD-3B Serial No. : 567188 Scale Division : 0.001 mg/m3 Range : 0.001 to 1 mg/m3

Condition of Item : Normal

Date Item Received : 8-Sep-22 Date Calibrated : 8-Sep-22

Calibration Location : AQuality Calibration Lab.

Date of Next Calibration : 7-Sep-23
Calibrated By : Jessica Liu

Test Environment

Ambient Temperature : 25.7 °C to 33.8 °C Relative Humidity : 46 % to 83 %

Calibration Results

Reference	Average	Correction	Error of	Expanded	Coverage
True Reading	IUC Reading	3	IUC Reading	Uncertainty	Factor
(mg/m3)	(mg/m^3)	(mg/m^3)	(%)	(mg/m^3)	K
0.158	0.167	-0.008	4.9%	0.023	2.0
5.164	5.693	-0.530	9.3%	0.463	2.0
10.100	11.045	-0.945	8.6%	0.905	2.0

Remarks

- 1. * Denotes information supplied by customer.
- 2. The results relate only to the items calibrated.
- 3. The results apply to the items as received.
- 4. Correction = Average of (Ref reading IUC reading)
- 5. The technical requirement of laser dust meter. +/- 20% error for the particles concentration.

Approved by:

LEE Mei Yee, Julia Managing Director 香港新界粉嶺坪黃路啟芳園11A&11B號

No. 11A&11B, KAI FONG GARDEN, PING CHE ROAD, FANLING, NEW TERRITORIES, HONG KONG

TEL: 852-3582-9589 FAX: 852-2674-1177 EMAIL: cal.aqtl@gmail.com WEBSITE: www.aqtlgroup.com

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Report Number : 220908MCA-165F

Date of Report : 10-Sep-22 Page Number : 2 of 2

Customer * : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.

Customers Ref. * : A005

Details of Calibration

- 1. The calibration was performed in accordance with AQuality Testconsult Procedure Number ENV-L-003 (in-house method), by comparison with the laboratory's reference equipment which have traceable international standards of measurement.
- 2. The item under calibration (IUC) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for 0.25 hour before commencement of calibration.
- 3. A set of readings were made at each calibration concentration. The values quoted in the results are the average of each set of readings.
- 4. The values given in this calibration certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of calibration. Any uncertainties quoted do not include allowance for the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. The uncertainty quoted relate only to item at time of calibration. AQuality Testconsult Limited is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of this equipment.
- 5. The identification, calibration certificate numbers for the reference equipment used were as follows:

Equipment Number	Certificate Number	Description
CH-LDM-1	HBW202101714	粉尘测试仪

6. Copies of the Calibration certificates of the reference equipment used in this calibration may be obtained from AQuality Testconsult Limited, if necessary.

- End of Report -



東恒測試顧問有限公司

AQUALITY TESTCONSULT LIMITED

香港新界粉嶺坪輋路啟芳園11A&11B號

TEL : 852-3582-9589 FAX : 852-2674-1177 EMAIL : cal.aqtl@gmail.com

WEBSITE: www.aqtlgroup.com

No. 11A&11B, KAI FONG GARDEN, PING CHE ROAD, FANLING, N.T., HONG KONG

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.	Test Report No.	220908MCA-165F
III.: DCA 10/E TMI Tayyan 2 IIa:	Date of Issue	10-Sep-22
Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK	Date of Testing	8-Sep-22
Silling Road, Tsuell Wall, N.T., FIK	Page	1 of 1

Item for Calibration

Description : Laser Dust Monitor

Manufacturer : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd

Model No. : <u>LD-3B</u> Serial No. : <u>567188</u>

Standard Equipment

Description : High Volume Sampler / Calibration Orifice

Manufacturer : Tisch Environmental, Inc.

Model No. : TE-5170 / TE-5025A

Serial No. 3476 / 3543

Last Calibration : 6-SEP-22 / 20-OCT-21


				Concentration	Concentration
D .	T.:	Mean Temp	Mean	Standard	Calibrated
Date	Date Time		Pressure	Equipment	Equipment
		(°C)	(hPa)	(mg/m3)	(mg/m3)
8-Sep-22	19:00	29.8	1013.8	0.0622	0.0631
8-Sep-22	20:05	29.8	1013.8	0.0576	0.0581
8-Sep-22	21:10	29.8	1013.8	0.0589	0.0591

By Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor) : 1.1031

Correlation Coefficient: 0.9898

Validity of Calibration: 7-Sep-23



Recorded by : Jessica Liu Signature: Date: 8-Sep-22

Checked by : S Tang Signature: Date: 8-Sep-22





Certificate of Calibration

Certificate No.: A220075

Description: Make: Model: Serial No.: Type:	Sound level meter Hangzhou Aihua AWA5661 301135	Microphone Hangzhou Aihua AWA14421 102497 -	Preamplifier Hangzhou Aihua - - -
---	--	---	---

Customer: Apex Testing & Certification Ltd

Department: -

Address: Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T. Hong

Kong

Date of receipt the calibration item: 2022-09-26

Environmental conditions:

 Pressure:
 $(100.45 \pm 0.50) \text{ kPa}$

 Temperature:
 $(24.7 \pm 1.0) ^{\circ}\text{C}$

 Humidity:
 $(32.3 \pm 2.0) ^{\circ}\text{RH}$

Date of calibration: 2022-10-11 **Date of issue:** 2022-10-11

Prepared by:

Wong Hau Chun

Checked by:

Choi Pui Sum

Approved Signatory:

Chọi Pu Sum



Preconditioning:

The equipment was preconditioned for more than 12 hours at the measurement conditions of pressure, temperature and humidity.

Measurement method:

A description of the in-house test procedure (ESG-NOISE-001) is available separately from the calibration laboratory.

Test Specification:

The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified the electrical tests in IEC 61672-3;2013 (Clause 11.2, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17(If necessary) *, 18, 19, 20 and 21).

Reference equipment used in the calibration:

terer ence equipment used in th	c cann acton.			
Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Calibration	Traceable to:
			Date:	
Signal generator	DS 360	123901	29-Jul-2021	The Government of
				HKSAR Standards and
				Calibration Laboratory
Meteo Station HM30	HM30	J120806	20-Aug-2021	Huber Instrumente
			-	Calibration Laboratory
				_

Uncertainty:

The measurement uncertainty evaluation has been carried out in accordance with principles in the Evaluation of Measurement Data – Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement, JCGM 100:2008. The expanded measurement uncertainty U, with its coverage factor k, corresponds to an approximate 95% probability that the value of measurand Y lies within the interval y-U to y+U. The combined standard measurement uncertainty uc can be calculated as uc = U/k and its degree of freedom Veff is given by the t-distribution with the respective k value.

^{*}The application of Clause 17 is based on the more than one level range of Sound Level Meter.



Summary of Measurement Results

Self-generated noise - IEC 61672-3 Ed.2.0 Clause 11

Frequency weightings: A Network - IEC 61672-3 Ed.2.0 Clause 13.3 Frequency weightings: C Network - IEC 61672-3 Ed.2.0 Clause 13.3 Frequency weightings: Z Network - IEC 61672-3 Ed.2.0 Clause 13.3 Frequency and time weightings at 1 kHz IEC 61672-3 Ed.2.0 Clause 14

Long term stability test - IEC 61672-3 Ed.2.0 Clause 15

Level linearity on the reference level range - IEC 61672-3 Ed.2.0 Clause 16 Level linearity including the level range control - IEC 61672-3 Ed.2.0 Clause 17

Toneburst response - IEC 61672-3 Ed.2.0 Clause 18 Peak C sound level - IEC 61672-3 Ed.2.0 Clause 19 Overload indication - IEC 61672-3 Ed.2.0 Clause 20 High level stability test - IEC 61672-3 Ed.2.0 Clause 21

Verification:

The verification measurements have been performed using the calibration system Nor1504A with software SlmCal62Y8.exe.

Detailed measurement results are printed on the following pages.

Comment:

The values given in this Certificate of Calibration only relate to values measured at the time of the test and any measurement uncertainties quoted will not include allowances for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. The results apply to the item as received.

The results in this Certificate of Calibration only apply to the sample / calibration item as received.



Measurement results

Self-generated noise test - IEC 61672-3:2013 Clause 11		
Description:		
Relevant tests were carried out in accordance with Section 11 of IEC 61672-3:2013. The r	oise test is perf	formed in
the most sensitive of the SLM with the microphone replaced by an equivalent impedance.		
Noise level in A weighting network	16.6	dB
Noise level in C weighting network	19.0	dB
Noise level in Z (Lin) weighting network	25.4	dB

Frequency weighting test - IEC 61672-3:2013 Clause 13.3

Description:

Relevant tests were carried out in accordance with Section 13.3 of IEC 61672-3:2013. The frequency response of the weighting networks are tested at octave intervals over the frequency ranges 63.1Hz to 15848.9 Hz.

On the reference level range and for each frequency weighting to be tested, the level of a 1 kHz input signal shall be adjusted to yield an indication that is 45 dB less than the upper boundary stated in the Instruction Manual for the linear operating range at 1 kHz on the reference level range.

Frequency v	weighting A:							
Frequency	Reference	Measured	Expanded	Coverage	Deviation	Accep	tance	Maximum
	level	level	Measurement	Factor		limit	(dB)	permitted
			Uncertainty	k	and the			uncertainty
			U					
Hz	dB	dB	dB		dB	+	-	dB
63.1	95.0	94.9	0.1		-0.1	1.0	1.0	
125.9	95.0	95.0	0.1		0.0	1.0	1.0	
251.2	95.0	94.9	0.1		-0.1	1.0	1.0	
501.2	95.0	95.0	0.1		0.0	1.0	1.0	0.6
1000.0	95.0	95.0	0.1	1.96	0.0	0.7	0.7	
1995.3	95.0	95.1	0.1		0.1	1.0	1.0	
3981.1	95.0	95.2	0.1		0.2	1.0	1.0	
7943.3	95.0	95.7	0.1		0.7	1.5	2.5	0.7
15848.9	95.0	92.0	0.1		-3.0	2.5	16	1.0
Т	' 1 t' C							

Frequency v	weighting C:							
Frequency	Reference	Measured	Expanded	Coverage	Deviation	Accep	otance	Maximum
	level	level	Measurement	Factor		limit	(dB)	permitted
			Uncertainty	k				uncertainty
			U					5
Hz	dB	dB	dB		dB	+	-	dB
63.1	95.0	94.9	0.1		-0.1	1.0	1.0	
125.9	95.0	95.0	0.1		0.0	1.0	1.0	
251.2	95.0	94.9	0.1		-0.1	1.0	1.0	
501.2	95.0	95.0	0.1		0.0	1.0	1.0	0.6
1000.0	95.0	95.0	0.1	1.96	0.0	0.7	0.7	
1995.3	95.0	95.0	0.1		0.0	1.0	1.0	
3981.1	95.0	95.2	0.1		0.2	1.0	1.0	
7943.3	95.0	95.6	0.1		0.6	1.5	2.5	0.7
15848.9	95.0	91.9	0.1		-3.1	2.5	16	1.0

Hong Kong Accreditation Service (HKAS) has accredited this laboratory (Reg. No. HOKLAS 302) under the Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) for specific calibration activities as listed in the HOKLAS directory of accredited laboratories. The results shown in this certificate are traceable to the International System of Unit (SI) or recognised measurement standards. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full.

Tel: 2525 8033

Website: www.esgmatters.asia Email: email@esgmatters.asia



Frequency v	weighting Z:							
Frequency	Reference	Measured	Expanded	Coverage	Deviation	Accep	otance	Maximum
	level	level	Measurement	Factor		limit	(dB)	permitted
			Uncertainty	k				uncertainty
			U					
Hz	dB	dB	dB		dB	+	-	dB
63.1	95.0	95.0	0.1	10.	0.0	1.0	1.0	
125.9	95.0	95.0	0.1	<u> </u>	0.0	1.0	1.0	
251.2	95.0	95.0	0.1		0.0	1.0	1.0	
501.2	95.0	95.0	0.1		0.0	1.0	1.0	0.6
1000.0	95.0	95.0	0.1	1.96	0.0	0.7	0.7	
1995.3	95.0	95.0	0.1		0.0	1.0	1.0	
3981.1	95.0	94.9	0.1		-0.1	1.0	1.0	
7943.3	95.0	95.0	0.1		0.0	1.5	2.5	0.7
15848.9	95.0	94.8	0.1		-0.2	2.5	16	1.0

Frequency and time weighting test at 1kHz-IEC 61672-3:2013 Clause 14

Description:

Relevant tests were carried out in accordance with Section 14 of IEC 61672-3:2013. For a steady sinusoidal electrical input signal at 1 kHz on the reference level range and with an input signal that yields an indication of the reference sound pressure level with frequency weighting A ,C and Z, with the sound level meter set to display F-time-weighted sound level, or time averaged sound level, as available. In addition, the indications with frequency weighting A shall be recorded with the sound level meter set to display F-time-weighted sound level, S-timeweighted sound level, and time-averaged sound level.

Parameter setting	Reference level	Measured Level	Expanded Measurement Uncertainty U	Coverage Factor k	Deviation	Accep Limits		Maximum permitted uncertainty
	dB	dB	dB		dB	+	-	dB
L _{AF} SPL	94.0	94.0	0.1		0.0			1
Lc_FSPL	94.0	94.0	0.1	_	0.0	0.2	0.2	
Lz_FSPL	94.0	94.0	0.1	1.96	0.0			0.2
$L_{As}SPL$	94.0	94.0	0.1	1.90	0.0			0.2
LAeq	94.0	94.0	0.1		0.0	0.1	0.1	
LAE	114.0	114.1	0.1		0.1			and the same

Long term stability test - IEC 61672-3:2013 Clause 15

Description:

Relevant tests were carried out in accordance with Section 15 of IEC 61672-3:2013. The long-term stability of a sound level meter is evaluated from the difference between the A-weighted sound levels indicated in response to steady 1 kHz signals applied at the beginning and end of a period of operation. The period of continuous operation shall be between 25 min and 35 min are performed.

Test signal	l: Sine wave a	t 1 kHz						
Time	Reading at	Reading at	Expanded	Coverage	Deviation	Acceptan	ce Limits	Maximum
interval	beginning	Ending	Measurement	Factor		(d)	B)	permitted
			Uncertainty	k				uncertainty
			U					
mm:ss	dB	dB	dB		dB	+	-	dB
25:10	94.0	94.0	0.1	1.96	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

Hong Kong Accreditation Service (HKAS) has accredited this laboratory (Reg. No. HOKLAS 302) under the Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) for specific calibration activities as listed in the HOKLAS directory of accredited laboratories. The results shown in this certificate are traceable to the International System of Unit (SI) or recognised measurement standards. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full.

Tel: 2525 8033 Website: www.esgmatters.asia Email: email@esgmatters.asia



Level linearity on the reference level range test - IEC 61672-3:2013 Clause 16

Description:

Relevant tests were carried out in accordance with Section 16 of IEC 61672-3:2013. Level linearity shall be tested with steady sinusoidal electrical signals at a frequency of 8 kHz with the sound level meter set for frequency-weighting A. Level linearity shall be measured in 5 dB steps of increasing input signal level from the starting point up to within 5 dB of the upper boundary stated in the Instruction Manual for the linear operating range at 8 kHz, then at 1 dB steps of increasing input signal level up to, but not including, the first indication of overload. The test of level linearity shall then be continued at 5 dB steps of decreasing input signal level from the starting point down to within 5 dB of the specified lower boundary, then at 1 dB steps of decreasing input signal level down to, but not including, the first indication of an under-range condition.

Reference level	Measured level	Expanded Measurement Uncertainty U	Coverage Factor k	Deviation		otance (dB)	Maximum permitted uncertainty
dB	dB	dB		dB	+	-	dB
94.0	93.9	0.1		-0.1			
99.0	98.9	0.1		-0.1			
104.0	103.9	0.1		-0.1			
109.0	108.9	0.1		-0.1			
114.0	113.9	0.1		-0.1		- J	1
119.0	118.8	0.1		-0.2			0.3
124.0	123.8	0.1		-0.2			
129.0	128.8	0.1		-0.2		-	
134.0	133.8	0.1		-0.2		1	
136.0	135.8	0.1		-0.2			377
137.0	136.8	0.1		-0.2			
138.0	137.8	0.1		-0.2			
139.0	138.8	0.1	1.96	-0.2	0.8	0.8	
140.0	139.8	0.1	1.90	-0.2	0.8	0.8	
94.0	93.9	0.1		-0.1		H H	
89.0	88.9	0.1		-0.1			
84.0	83.8	0.1		-0.2			
79.0	78.8	0.1		-0.2			
74.0	73.8	0.1		-0.2			0.3
69.0	68.8	0.1		-0.2			
64.0	63.8	0.1		-0.2		1 - 1 - 1	
59.0	58.9	0.1		-0.1			
54.0	53.9	0.1		-0.1			
50.0	50.1	0.1	1	0.1			
49.0	49.1	0.1	1	0.1			
45.0	45.5	0.1	1	0.5			



Level linearity including the level range control test - IEC 61672-3:2013 Clause 17

Description:

Relevant tests were carried out in accordance with Section 17 of IEC 61672-3:2013. For sound level meters that have more than one level range, tests of level linearity deviations including deviations introduced by the level range control shall be performed with steady sinusoidal electrical input signals at a frequency of 1 kHz and with the sound level meter set for frequency weighting A.

For each level range, the level of the input signal shall then be adjusted to yield a signal level that is expected to be 5 dB

greater than the signal level that first causes an indication of under-range on a level range.

Full	Reference	Measured	Expanded	Coverage	Deviation	Acceptance limit (dB)		e Maximum	
Scale	level	level	Measurement	Factor				permitted	
			Uncertainty	k				uncertainty	
			U						
dB	dB	dB	dB		dB	+	-	dB	
Measure	d at 1 kHz								
The follo	owing measurer	nents are SPL r	neasurements						
Measuri	ng the reference	level on the av	ailable ranges						
140.0	94.0	94.0	0.1	1.96	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.2	
120.0	94.0	94.1	0.1	1.90	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.3	
Measurii	ng 5 dB below t	full scale on all	available ranges						
140.0	135.0	135.0	0.1	1.96	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.3	
120.0	115.0	115.0	0.1	1.90	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.3	



Toneburst response test - IEC 61672-3:2013 Clause 18

Description:

Relevant tests were carried out in accordance with Section 18 of IEC 61672-3:2013. For the toneburst signals, indications of the sound level meter to be recorded are maximum F-time-weighted sound level, maximum S-time-weighted sound level, and sound exposure level. The level of the steady input signal shall be adjusted to display an F-time-weighted, S time-weighted, or time-averaged sound level, as appropriate, that is 3 dB less than the upper boundary stated in the Instruction Manual for the linear operating range at 4 kHz on the reference level range.

For tests with the F time weighting, the indication shall be recorded of the maximum F time-weighted sound level in response to tonebursts having durations of 200 ms, 2 ms, and 0.25 ms.

For tests with the S time weighting, the indication shall be recorded of the maximum S time-weighted sound level in response to tonebursts having durations of 200 ms and 2 ms.

For measurements of sound exposure level (or time-averaged sound level for an averaging time that includes the toneburst), the indications in response to tonebursts having durations of 200 ms, 2 ms, and 0.25 ms.

Parameter	Burst	Reference	Measured	Expanded	Coverage	Deviation	Acceptance		Maximum
setting	duration	level	level	Measurement	Factor	- 4	limit (dB)		permitted
				Uncertainty	k				uncertaint
				U					у
	ms	dB	dB	dB		dB	+	Ŧ	dB
	200	136.0	136.0	0.1		0.0	0.5	0.5	4 20
$L_{AF}MAX$	2	119.0	118.7	0.1		-0.3	1.0	1.5	
	0.25	110.0	109.8	0.1		-0.2	1.0	3.0	
L _{AS} MAX	200	129.6	129.6	0.1	1.96	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.3
LASIVIAA	2	110.0	110.0	0.1	1.90	0.0	1.0	3.0	0.5
	200	130.0	130.1	0.1		0.1	0.5	0.5	
LAE	2	110.0	110.0	0.1		0.0	1.0	1.5	
LAE	0.25	101.0	100.9	0.1		-0.1	1.0	3.0	



Peak C sound level test - IEC 61672-3:2013 Clause 19

Description:

Relevant tests were carried out in accordance with Section 19 of IEC 61672-3:2013. Indications of C-weighted peak sound level shall be tested on the least-sensitive level range. The test signals consist of (a) a single complete cycle of an 8 kHz sinusoid starting and stopping at zero crossings and (b) positive and negative half cycles of a 500 Hz sinusoid that also start and stop at zero crossings.

The level of the steady sinusoidal 8 kHz electrical input signal, from which a single complete cycle is extracted, shall be adjusted to yield an indication of C-weighted, F-timeweighted sound level, or C-weighted, time-averaged sound level, that is 8 dB less than the upper boundary stated in the Instruction Manual for the peak level range at 8 kHz on the least sensitive level range.

The level of the steady sinusoidal 500 Hz electrical input signal, from which positive and negative half cycles are extracted, shall be adjusted to yield an indication of C-weighted, F time-weighted sound level, or C-weighted, time-averaged sound level, that is 8 dB less than the upper boundary stated in the Instruction Manual for the peak level range on the least-sensitive level range.

B	are remot being		8						
Pulse	Pulse	Reference	Measured	Expanded	Coverage	Deviation	Accep	otance	Maximum
type	frequency	Peak level	level	Measurement	Factor		limit (dB)		permitted
				Uncertainty	k				uncertainty
				U					
	Hz	dB	dB	dB		dB	+	-	dB
1 cycle	8000	138.40	137.90	0.10		-0.50	2.00	2.00	
Positive	500	140.40	139.60	0.10		-0.80			
cycle	300	1 10.10	155.00	0.10	1.96	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.35
Negative cycle	500	140.40	139.50	0.10		-0.90	1.00	1.00	

Overload indication test - IEC 61672-3:2013 Clause 20

Description:

Relevant tests were carried out in accordance with Section 20 of IEC 61672-3:2013. The sound level meter set to display A-weighted, time-averaged sound level. Positive and negative one-half cycle sinusoidal electrical signals at a frequency of 4 kHz.

The test shall begin at an indicated time-averaged level for the steady input signal that corresponds to 1 dB less than the upper boundary specified for the linear operating range at 4 kHz. The level of the single positive one-half-cycle input signal shall be increased to the first indication of overload, to a resolution of 0,1 dB. The process shall be repeated for the single negative one-half-cycle signal.

Overload indication at 4 kHz		Expanded	Coverage	Deviation	Acceptance limit		Maximum
Positive one-	Negative one-	Measurement	Factor		(dB)		permitted
half-cycle	half-cycle	Uncertainty	k				uncertainty
		U					
dB	dB	dB		dB	+	-	dB
146.70	147.10	0.10	1.96	0.40	1.50	1.50	0.25



High level stability test - IEC 61672-3:2013 Clause 21

Description:

Relevant tests were carried out in accordance with Section 21 of IEC 61672-3:2013. The ability of a sound level meter to operate continuously in response to high signal levels without significant change in sensitivity is evaluated from the difference between the A weighted sound levels indicated in response to a steady 1 kHz electrical signal at the beginning and end of a 5 min period of continuous exposure to the signal.

The level of the steady electrical input signal shall be that which is required to display the sound level that is 1 dB

less than the upper boundary of the 1 kHz linear operating range on the least-sensitive level range.

icss man me	is than the upper boundary of the 1 kHz linear operating range on the least-sensitive level range.									
Reading at	Reading	Expanded	Coverage	Deviation	Acceptance		Maximum permitted			
beginning	at Ending	Measurement	Factor		Limits (dB)		uncertainty			
		Uncertainty	k				,			
		U								
dB	dB	dB		dB	+	-	dB			
139.0	139.0	1.0	1.96	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1			

Remark:

Acoustical levels are stated relative to 20µPa. Other dB levels are relative values.





Certificate of Calibration

Certificate No.: B220032

Description:	Sound calibrator
Make:	Quest

 Model:
 QC-10

 Serial No.:
 QI9010183

Class:

Customer: Apex Testing & Certificate Ltd

Department:

Address: Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T.

Date of receipt the calibration item: 2022-09-26

Environmental conditions:

 Pressure:
 $(100.34 \pm 0.50) \, \text{kPa}$

 Temperature:
 $(21.6 \pm 1.0) \, ^{\circ}\text{C}$

 Humidity:
 $(57,0 \pm 2.0) \, ^{\circ}\text{RH}$

Date of calibration: 2022-10-05 **Date of issue:** 2022-10-05

Prepared by:

Approved Signatory:

II. T.- Cl....

Checked by:

Cho Pui Sur

1



Preconditioning:

The equipment was preconditioned for more than 12 hours at the measurement conditions of pressure, temperature and humidity.

Measurement method:

A description of the in-house test procedure (ESG-NOISE-003) is available separately from the calibration laboratory.

Test Specification:

The Sound Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified the in-house test procedure ESG-NOISE-003.

The verification measurements were performed using the calibration system Nor1504A with software CalCal62NCL.exe. As acoustical reference was used WSM - Nor1225-215371 with sensitivity: 54.76 mV/Pa.

Reference equipment used in the calibra

xerer ence equipment used in	the cambi anon			
Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Calibration Date:	Traceable to:
Signal generator	DS 360	123901	2021-07-30	The Government of HKSAR
				Standards and Calibration
				Laboratory
Multimeter	Agilent	MY41030277	2021-08-03	The Government of HKSAR
	34401A			Standards and Calibration
				Laboratory
Meteo Station HM30	HM30	J120806	2021-08-20	Huber Instrumente Calibration
Wiece Station III/IS	111120			Laboratory
Reference microphone	Nor 1225	215371	2021-06-28	The Government of HKSAR
reference interophone	1101 1223	210071	2021 00 20	Standards and Calibration
				Laboratory
Reference Calibrator	B&K 4231	3014997	2021-08-03	The Government of HKSAR
Reference Cambrator	DOIX 4231	3014777	2021-00-03	Standards and Calibration
				Laboratory
				50000
Audio Analyzer	8903B	3011A11797	2021-08-13	China Ceprei Laboratory
•				Calibration & Testing Centre



Uncertainty:

The reported expanded uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k, which with the reported effective degree of freedom corresponds to coverage probability of approximately 95%. The standard uncertainty of measurement has been determined in accordance with EA publication EA-4/02.

The measurement uncertainty evaluation has been carried out in accordance with principles in the Evaluation of Measurement Data – Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement, JCGM 100:2008. The expanded measurement uncertainty U, with its coverage factor k, corresponds to an approximate 95% probability that the value of measurand Y lies within the interval y-U to y+U. The combined standard measurement uncertainty uc can be calculated as uc = U/k and its degree of freedom Veff is given by the t-distribution with the respective k value.

Comment:

The values given in this Certificate of Calibration only relate to values measured at the time of the test and any measurement uncertainties quoted will not include allowances for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. The results apply to the item as received.

All tests are performed according to in-house test procedure ESG-Noise-003.

The results in this Certificate of Calibration only apply to the sample / calibration item as received.



Table 1

Sound Pressure Level Test Results

Description:

Performance tests were carried out in accordance with Annex B.3.4.3.2 of IEC 60942:2003. The sound pressure level generated by the equipment was compare to the reference sound pressure level by the reference equipment B&K 4231 (Equipment No.:3014997).

	Quest QC-10		N	Measured Deviation	Acceptance	Maximum			
				(b) - (a)	Limits	Permitted			
Frequency	Frequency Sound Pressure Level		Value	Measurement	Uncertainty		Uncertainty		
Setting	Expected	Measured	у	Expanded	Coverage				
	Reading	Reading		Measurement	Factor				
	(a)	(b)		Uncertainty	k				
				U					
(Hz)	(dB)	(dB)	(dB)	(dB)		(dB)	(dB)		
1000.00	114.00	113.85	-0.15	0.13	1.96	±0.40	0.15		

The calibrator was placed on top of the reference microphone, only held in place by gravity. At least three repetitions have been performed. No adapter ring was needed to obtain half inch configuration.

The calibrator level was not adjusted.



Table 2

Frequency Test Results

Description:

Relevant tests were carried out in accordance with Annex B.3.5 of IEC 60942:2003. The frequency of sound pressure level generated by the equipment was measured by the multimeter (Equipment No.: MY41030277).

	Quest QC-10		N	Measured Deviation	Acceptance	Maximum	
				$[=([b]-[a])/[a] \times 100\%]$			Permitted
Sound	Freq	uency	Value	Value Measurement Uncertainty			Uncertainty
Pressure	Expected	Measured	у	Expanded	Coverage		
Level	Reading	Reading		Measurement	Factor	-	
Setting	(a)	(b)	T	Uncertainty	k		
				U			
(dB)	(Hz)	(Hz)	(%)	(%)		(%)	(%)
114.00	1000.00	998.68	-0.13	0.14	1.96	±1.00	0.30

The calibrator was placed on top of the reference microphone, only held in place by gravity. At least three repetitions have been performed. No adapter ring was needed to obtain half inch configuration.

The calibrator level was not adjusted.



Table 3

Total Distortion Test Results

Description:

Relevant tests were carried out in accordance with Annex B.3.6 of IEC 60942:2003. The total distortion of the acoustic signal generated by the equipment was measured by the Laboratory's audio analyzer (Equipment No.: 3011A11797).

Quest	QC-10		Measured Total Dist	Acceptance Limits	Maximum Permitted	
Frequency	Sound	Value	Measurement	Uncertainty		Uncertainty
Setting	Pressure	у	Expanded	Coverage	E 10 mm P	L Full
	Level		Measurement	Factor		
	Setting		Uncertainty	k		
<u>d2</u>			U		L L	-
(Hz)	(dB)	(%)	(%)		(%)	(%)
1000.00	114.00	0.43	0.21	1.96	±3.00	0.50

The calibrator was placed on top of the reference microphone, only held in place by gravity. At least three repetitions have been performed. No adapter ring was needed to obtain half inch configuration.


The calibrator level was not adjusted.

The stated levels are relative to 20µPa. The distortion value (in %) is the signal to total noise ratio.

G. Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results


Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM3A (1-hour TSP)

Date	Weather	Time		Conc. (µg/m3)			Action	Limit
Date	Condition	Start	Finish	1st Hour	2nd Hour	3rd Hour	Level	Level
06-Feb-23	Cloudy	14:05	17:05	50	43	50	280.4	500
11-Feb-23	Cloudy	8:01	11:01	52	53	54	280.4	500
17-Feb-23	Fine	14:09	17:09	85	88	85	280.4	500
23-Feb-23	Fine	8:07	11:07	59	65	66	280.4	500




Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM4A (1-hour TSP)

Date	Weather	Time		Conc. (µg/m3)			Action	Limit
Date	Condition	Start	Finish	1st Hour	2nd Hour	3rd Hour	Level	Level
06-Feb-23	Cloudy	14:13	17:13	47	44	45	278.5	500
11-Feb-23	Cloudy	8:09	11:09	53	53	50	278.5	500
17-Feb-23	Fine	14:17	17:17	82	87	83	278.5	500
23-Feb-23	Fine	8:15	11:15	61	62	61	278.5	500




Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM5A (1-hour TSP)

Date	Weather	Tir	ne	C	onc. (µg/m3	3)	Action	Limit
Date	Condition	Start Finish		1st Hour	2nd Hour	3rd Hour	Level	Level
06-Feb-23	Cloudy	14:28	17:28	42	50	51	275.4	500
11-Feb-23	Cloudy	8:26	11:26	49	50	53	275.4	500
17-Feb-23	Fine	14:32	17:32	88	82	88	275.4	500
23-Feb-23	Fine	8:32	11:32	64	66	66	275.4	500




Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM3A (24-hour TSP)

Sta	rt	Fini	sh	Filter W	eight (g)	Elapsed Tir	me Reading	Sampling	Flov	v Rate (m	n³/min)	Conc.	Weather	Action	Limit
Date	Time	Date	Time	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Time (hrs)	Initial	Final	Average	(µg/m3)	Condition	Level	Level
06-Feb-23	10:00AM	07-Feb-23	10:00AM	2.8044	2.8771	4758.8	4782.8	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	45.2	Cloudy	152.4	260
11-Feb-23	10:00AM	12-Feb-23	10:00AM	2.8086	2.8929	4782.8	4806.8	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	52.4	Cloudy	152.4	260
17-Feb-23	10:00AM	18-Feb-23	10:00AM	2.8062	2.9369	4806.8	4830.8	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	81.3	Sunny	152.4	260
23-Feb-23	10:00AM	24-Feb-23	10:00AM	2.8053	2.9001	4830.8	4854.8	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	58.9	Sunny	152.4	260




Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM4A (24-hour TSP)

Sta	rt	Fini	sh	Filter W	eight (g)	Elapsed Tir	me Reading	Sampling	Flov	v Rate (m	n³/min)	Conc.	Weather	Action	Limit
Date	Time	Date	Time	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Time (hrs)	Initial	Final	Average	(µg/m3)	Condition	Level	Level
06-Feb-23	10:00AM	07-Feb-23	10:00AM	2.8088	2.8826	5178.4	5202.4	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	45.9	Cloudy	152.6	260
11-Feb-23	10:00AM	12-Feb-23	10:00AM	2.8059	2.8922	5202.4	5226.4	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	53.6	Cloudy	152.6	260
17-Feb-23	10:00AM	18-Feb-23	10:00AM	2.8027	2.9376	5226.4	5250.4	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	83.8	Sunny	152.6	260
23-Feb-23	10:00AM	24-Feb-23	10:00AM	2.8078	2.8998	5250.4	5274.4	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	57.2	Sunny	152.6	260



Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM5A (24-hour TSP)

Sta	rt	Fini	sh	Filter W	eight (g)	Elapsed Tir	me Reading	Sampling	Flov	v Rate (m	n³/min)	Conc.	Weather	Action	Limit
Date	Time	Date	Time	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Time (hrs)	Initial	Final	Average	(µg/m3)	Condition	Level	Level
06-Feb-23	10:00AM	07-Feb-23	10:00AM	2.8050	2.8714	5318.6	5342.6	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	41.3	Cloudy	141.1	260
11-Feb-23	10:00AM	12-Feb-23	10:00AM	2.8049	2.8813	5342.6	5366.6	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	47.5	Cloudy	141.1	260
17-Feb-23	10:00AM	18-Feb-23	10:00AM	2.8029	2.9369	5366.6	5390.6	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	83.2	Sunny	141.1	260
23-Feb-23	10:00AM	24-Feb-23	10:00AM	2.8056	2.9070	5390.6	5414.6	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	63.0	Sunny	141.1	260




Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM2A

Date	Time	Measured L10 dB(A)	Measured L90 dB(A)	Leq (30 min.) dB(A)
06-Feb-23	14:35	64.1	59.1	
06-Feb-23	14:40	62.9	60.4	
06-Feb-23	14:45	63.5	60.5	61.8
06-Feb-23	14:50	63.1	60.2	01.0
06-Feb-23	14:55	63.5	60.0	
06-Feb-23	15:00	63.1	59.8	
11-Feb-23	8:31	63.3	59.6	
11-Feb-23	8:36	62.9	58.6	
11-Feb-23	8:41	64.2	58.8	61.7
11-Feb-23	8:46	63.1	60.1	01.7
11-Feb-23	8:51	64.1	59.0	
11-Feb-23	8:56	64.6	58.7	
17-Feb-23	14:39	64.0	59.2	
17-Feb-23	14:44	63.4	59.9	
17-Feb-23	14:49	64.1	59.8	62.0
17-Feb-23	14:54	64.5	59.7	02.0
17-Feb-23	14:59	63.8	59.2	
17-Feb-23	15:04	63.0	58.8	
23-Feb-23	8:37	63.4	59.2	
23-Feb-23	8:42	63.2	59.9	
23-Feb-23	8:47	63.1	60.3	61.1
23-Feb-23	8:52	64.6	58.6	01.1
23-Feb-23	8:57	64.2	59.4	
23-Feb-23	9:02	64.1	60.2	



The station set-up of a façade measurement at station NM2A.




Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM3A

Date	Time	Measured L10 dB(A)	Measured L90 dB(A)	Leq (30 min.) dB(A)
06-Feb-23	16:05	64.8	58.7	
06-Feb-23	16:10	64.5	59.6	
06-Feb-23	16:15	64.4	59.5	62.7
06-Feb-23	16:20	64.9	58.4	02.7
06-Feb-23	16:25	65.0	59.2	
06-Feb-23	16:30	65.3	58.3	
11-Feb-23	10:04	63.7	58.7	
11-Feb-23	10:09	64.4	59.3	
11-Feb-23	10:14	65.1	59.3	62.0
11-Feb-23	10:19	63.9	59.6	02.0
11-Feb-23	10:24	64.1	58.7	
11-Feb-23	10:29	65.3	58.9	
17-Feb-23	16:09	65.1	59.3	
17-Feb-23	16:14	63.5	58.5	
17-Feb-23	16:19	64.5	59.5	62.2
17-Feb-23	16:24	63.8	59.9	02.2
17-Feb-23	16:29	64.5	58.3	
17-Feb-23	16:34	63.7	58.9	
23-Feb-23	10:10	65.3	58.8	
23-Feb-23	10:15	64.6	59.2	
23-Feb-23	10:20	65.0	59.1	62.4
23-Feb-23	10:25	64.0	59.1	02.4
23-Feb-23	10:30	64.8	59.6	
23-Feb-23	10:35	63.8	58.9	



The station set-up of a façade measurement at station NM3A.




Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM4A

Date	Time	Measured L10 dB(A)	Measured L90 dB(A)	Leq (30 min.) dB(A)
06-Feb-23	16:40	62.5	59.3	
06-Feb-23	16:45	63.9	59.4	
06-Feb-23	16:50	62.5	60.2	61.8
06-Feb-23	16:55	63.4	60.0	01.0
06-Feb-23	17:00	63.1	60.7	
06-Feb-23	17:05	63.0	60.7	
11-Feb-23	10:39	64.2	59.9	
11-Feb-23	10:44	63.6	60.6	
11-Feb-23	10:49	63.0	59.9	61.6
11-Feb-23	10:54	63.6	59.6	01.0
11-Feb-23	10:59	62.8	60.0	
11-Feb-23	11:04	63.1	59.7	
17-Feb-23	16:44	63.5	59.7	
17-Feb-23	16:49	63.7	59.6	
17-Feb-23	16:54	64.2	59.8	61.5
17-Feb-23	16:59	64.1	59.3	01.5
17-Feb-23	17:04	63.8	59.2	
17-Feb-23	17:09	63.7	58.8	
23-Feb-23	10:45	64.4	59.8	
23-Feb-23	10:50	62.7	60.1	
23-Feb-23	10:55	63.0	60.0	61.3
23-Feb-23	11:00	62.6	59.1	01.3
23-Feb-23	11:05	63.7	59.7	
23-Feb-23	11:10	63.7	59.3	



The station set-up of a façade measurement at station NM4A.



Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM5A


Date	Time	Measured L10 dB(A)	Measured L90 dB(A)	Leq (30 min.) dB(A)	Leq (30 min.) +3 dB(A)
06-Feb-23	15:25	63.8	58.2		
06-Feb-23	15:30	64.0	59.5		
06-Feb-23	15:35	62.4	58.8	61.2	64.2
06-Feb-23	15:40	63.5	59.2	01.2	04.2
06-Feb-23	15:45	62.5	57.9		
06-Feb-23	15:50	62.5	59.6		
11-Feb-23	9:23	62.4	58.8		
11-Feb-23	9:28	62.7	58.7		
11-Feb-23	9:33	64.1	57.9	61.1	64.1
11-Feb-23	9:38	63.7	58.5	01.1	04.1
11-Feb-23	9:43	63.6	58.0		
11-Feb-23	9:48	64.2	58.6		
17-Feb-23	15:29	62.3	58.9		
17-Feb-23	15:34	63.4	58.1		
17-Feb-23	15:39	63.7	59.5	61.1	64.1
17-Feb-23	15:44	63.1	58.9	01.1	64.1
17-Feb-23	15:49	63.9	58.0		
17-Feb-23	15:54	64.1	58.6		
23-Feb-23	9:29	62.4	58.2		
23-Feb-23	9:34	63.6	59.7		
23-Feb-23	9:39	63.5	59.0	61.0	64.0
23-Feb-23	9:44	63.5	58.0	01.0	04.0
23-Feb-23	9:49	63.3	58.7		
23-Feb-23	9:54	63.1	57.9		

Remarks:

+3dB(A) correction was applied to free-field measurement.



The station set-up of a free-field measurement at station NM5A.



H. Meteorological Data Extracted from Hong Kong Observatory

01 02

05/02/2023

KPC


07


09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

香港時間 (時) Hong Kong Time (Hour)


06/02/2023

©香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory






Pressure:



Wind Direction:






10/02/2023


KPC


香港時間 (時) Hong Kong Time (Hour)

11/02/2023


©香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory









Wind Direction:









Pressure:



Wind Direction:









17/02/2023 香港時間 (時) Hong Kong Time (Hour) ©香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory KPC


18/02/2023





Pressure:




Wind Direction:









Pressure:



Wind Direction:





I. Waste Flow table




Table I-1: Monthly Waste Flow Table for Zone 2A

	А	ctual Quan	tities of Iner	t C&D Mate	rials Gener	ated Monthl	У	Actu	ual Quantitie	s of C&D M	laterials Ge	nerated Mor	nthly
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Disposed to Sroting Facility	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging	Plastics	Wood/ Timber	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. General Refuse
	(in tonnes)		(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)
2020													
Oct	2623.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	2623.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.94
Nov	8838.69	0.00	685.23	1198.56	6954.90	0.00	1194.93	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.49
Dec	8890.70	0.00	510.59	1675.21	6704.90	0.00	51.51	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.75
Sub-total (2020)	20352.87	0.00	1195.82	2873.77	16283.28	0.00	1246.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	51.18
2021									•		•		
Jan	6849.66	0.00	52.90	0.00	6796.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	19.94
Feb	4591.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	4591.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.11
Mar	7318.44	0.00	0.00	339.94	6978.50	0.00	0.00	75.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	15.79
Apr	7208.22	0.00	0.00	1109.51	6098.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.40	19.29
May	7976.23	0.00	0.00	1853.51	6122.72	0.00	0.00	125.49	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	18.43
Jun	7741.45	0.00	0.00	1989.41	5752.04	0.00	0.00	4.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.65
Jul	8067.17	0.00	0.00	1289.08	6778.09	0.00	0.00	4.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	147.95
Aug	6530.27	0.00	0.00	1082.63	5447.64	0.00	0.00	10.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.40	18.85
Sep	3645.12	0.00	0.00	192.81	3452.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.40	16.81
Oct	2158.48	0.00	0.00	0.32	2158.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	13.30
Nov	3682.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	3682.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	20.87
Dec	2434.31	0.00	24.00	0.00	2410.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.40	12.35
Sub-total (2021)	68203.33	0.00	76.90	7857.21	60269.22	0.00	0.00	220.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.60	338.34
2022													
Jan	1868.21	0.00	120.00	0.00	1748.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.40	16.33
Feb	1594.19	0.00	0.00	395.98	1198.21	0.00	0.00	13.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.41
Mar	2369.83	0.00	0.00	487.20	1882.63	0.00	0.00	4.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.92
Apr	874.03	0.00	0.00	194.65	679.38	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.40	7.69
May	421.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	421.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.04
Jun	403.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	403.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76
Jul	25.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.84

Table I-1: Monthly Waste Flow Table for Zone 2A

Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly Actual Quantities of C&D Materials Generated Monthly Hard Hard Hard Actual Quantities of C&D Materials Generated Monthly									ıal Quantitie	s of C&D M	laterials Gei	nerated Mor	nthly
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Disposed to Sroting Facility	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging	Plastics	Wood/ Timber	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. General Refuse
	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)
Aug	113.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	113.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.20
Sep	142.39	0.00	37.09	0.00	99.55	5.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.82
Oct	67.20	0.00	67.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.68
Nov	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.66
Dec	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.02
Sub-total (2022)	7880.60	0.00	224.29	1077.83	6572.73	5.75	0.00	19.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.80	108.37
2023													
Jan	1987.03	0.00	0.00	1987.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.96
Feb	641.32	0.00	0.00	641.32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.21
Sub-total (2023)	2628.35	0.00	0.00	2628.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.17
Total	99065.15	0.00	1497.01	14437.16	83125.23	5.75	1246.44	240.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.40	518.06

Note:

- 0 tonne and 0 tonne of inert C&D material were disposed of as public fill to Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Public Fill, and Tuen Mun Area 38 respectively in the reporting month.
- For inert C&D materials reused in other projects, the project refers to (1) Lung Kwu Sheung Tan.




Table I-1: Monthly Waste Flow Table for Zone 2B & 2C

		Actual Qua	ntities of Ine	rt C&D Mater	ials Generat	ed Monthly		Ac	tual Quantiti	es of C&D M	laterials Ger	erated Mont	hly
Month	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Disposed to Sroting Facility	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging	Plastics	Wood/ Timber	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. General Refuse
	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)
2021	,	,	,	,	,	,		,		,		,	,
Sep	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Oct	22.58	22.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.19
Nov	9265.04	10.45	125.93	0.00	9128.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.12
Dec	13462.30	62.94	1041.17	0.00	12358.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.62
Sub-total (2021)	22749.92	95.97	1167.10	0.00	21486.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	43.93
2022													
Jan	17427.64	0.00	2091.32	100.04	15236.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.60
Feb	18230.98	0.00	991.53	1719.99	15519.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.90
Mar	24777.12	0.00	2176.32	11721.21	10879.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.40	16.15
Apr	32749.58	0.00	2409.00	22393.87	7946.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.79
May	31115.05	0.00	3141.32	15121.57	12852.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.31
Jun	30747.96	0.00	3120.62	14645.87	12981.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.84
Jul	34017.48	0.00	3444.43	10214.91	20358.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.43
Aug	38065.92	0.00	3272.46	3610.61	31182.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	29.99
Sep	38896.62	0.00	3664.45	2790.24	32441.93	0.00	0.00	15.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	29.88
Oct	41174.38	0.00	4340.02	2447.22	34387.14	0.00	0.00	86.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.50
Nov	40031.63	0.00	4149.91	1021.06	34860.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.54
Dec	42615.90	0.00	4242.02	1655.36	36718.52	0.00	0.00	10.23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.04
Sub-total (2022)	389850.25	0.00	37043.39	87441.95	265364.91	0.00	0.00	112.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.40	254.97

2023													
Jan	35248.24	0.00	2711.85	1182.55	31353.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.92
Feb	39553.32	0.00	4737.76	3184.34	31631.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.40	35.95
Mar													
Apr													
May													
Sub-total (2023)	74801.56	0.00	7449.61	4366.89	62985.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.40	58.87
Total	487401.72	95.97	45660.09	91808.84	349836.82	0.00	0.00	112.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.80	357.77

Note:

^{-17619.15} tonnes and 14012.07 tonnes of inert C&D material were disposed of as public fill to Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Public Fill and Tuen Mun Area 38 respectively in the reporting month.

⁻For inert C&D material reused in other projects, the projects refer to (1)Sai Sha(Site B), (2)Poly U and (3)Kamtim.

J. Environmental Mitigation Measures – Implementation Status

Table J-1: Environmental Mitigation Measures Implementation Status (February 2023)

Implementation Stage

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
Air Quality Im	npact (Construction)		
2.1	General Dust Control Measures Frequent water spraying for active construction areas (12 times a day or once every one hour), including Heavy construction activities such as construction of buildings or roads, drilling, ground excavation, cut and fill operations (i.e., earth moving)	√	1
2.1	Best Practice For Dust Control The relevant best practices for dust control as stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (construction Dust) Regulation should be adopted to further reduce the construction dust impacts from the Project. These best practices include: Good Site Management Good site management is important to help reducing potential air quality impact down to	Rem	Obs
	an acceptable level. As a general guide, the Contractor should maintain high standard of housekeeping to prevent emission of fugitive dust. Loading, unloading, handling and storage of raw materials, wastes or by-products should be carried out in a manner so as to minimise the release of visible dust emission. Any piles of materials accumulated on or around the work areas should be cleaned up regularly. Cleaning, repair and maintenance of all plant facilities within the work areas should be carried out in a manner minimising generation of fugitive dust emissions. The material should be handled properly to prevent fugitive dust emission before cleaning.		
	 Disturbed Parts of the Roads Each and every main temporary access should be paved with concrete, bituminous hardcore materials or metal plates and kept clear of dusty materials; or 	✓	✓
	• Unpaved parts of the road should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to keep the entire road surface wet.	✓	Obs
	 Exposed Earth Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or seating with latex, vinyl, bitumen within six months after the last construction 	N/A No exposed earth in this project.	N/A No exposed earth in this project.

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
3.1	Good Site Practice		
	Good site practice and noise management can significantly reduce the impact of construction		
	site activities on nearby NSRs. The following package of measures should be followed during		
	each phase of construction:		
	• only well-maintained plant to be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly	✓	✓
	during the construction works;		
	• machines and plant that may be in intermittent use to be shut down between work	✓	✓
	periods or should be throttled down to a minimum		
	• plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, should, where possible, be orientated	✓	✓
	to direct noise away from the NSRs;		
	• mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible; and	✓	✓
	• material stockpiles and other structures to be effectively utilised, where practicable, to	✓	✓
	screen noise from on-site construction activities.		
3.1	Adoption of Quieter PME	✓	✓
	The recommended quieter PME adopted in the assessment were taken from the EPD's QPME		
	Inventory and "Sound Power Levels of Other Commonly Used PME" are presented in Table 4.26		
	in the EIA report. It should be noted that the silenced PME selected for assessment can be found		
	in Hong Kong.		
3.1	Use of Movable Noise Barriers	✓	Obs,Rem
	Movable noise barriers can be very effective in screening noise from particular items of plant		
	when constructing the Project. Noise barriers located along the active works area close to the		
	noise generating component of a PME could produce at least 10 dB(A) screening for stationary		

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
	plant and 5 dB(A) for mobile plant provided the direct line of sight between the PME and the		
	NSRs is blocked.		
3.1	Use of Noise Enclosure/ Acoustic Shed	✓	Obs
	The use of noise enclosure or acoustic shed is to cover stationary PME such as air compressor		
	and concrete pump. With the adoption of the noise enclosure, the PME could be completely		
	screened, and noise reduction of 15 dB(A) can be achieved according to the EIAO Guidance Note		
	No. 9/2010.		
3.1	Use of Noise Insulating Fabric	✓	✓
	Noise insulating fabric can also be adopted for certain PME (e.g. drill rig, pilling machine etc).		
	The fabric should be lapped such that there are no openings or gaps on the joints. According to		
	the approved Tsim Sha Tsui Station Northern Subway EIA report (AEIAR-127/2008), a noise		
	reduction of 10 dB(A) can be achieved for the PME lapped with the noise insulating fabric.		
3.1	Scheduling of Construction Works outside School Examination Periods	✓	✓
	During construction phase, the contractor should liaise with the educational institutions		
	(including NSRs LCS and CRGPS) to obtain the examination schedule and avoid the noisy		
	construction activities during school examination periods.		
Water Qualit	y Impact (Construction)		
4.1	Construction site runoff and drainage		
	The site practices outlined in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be followed as far as practicable in		
	order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. The following measures are		

recommended to protect water quality and sensitive uses of the coastal area, and when properly implemented should be sufficient to adequately control site discharges so as to avoid water

Zone 2A Zone 2B & 2C

quality impacts:

- At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around
 the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and
 sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels, earth bunds or sand bag barriers
 should be provided on site to direct storm water to silt removal facilities. The design of
 the temporary on-site drainage system should be undertaken by the WKCDA's Contractor
 prior to the commencement of construction;
- Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins should be provided
 to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM standards
 under the WPCO. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the
 guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Sizes may vary depending upon the
 flow rate. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps should be undertaken by the WKCDA's
 Contractor prior to the commencement of construction.
- All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly
 inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and
 particularly during rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be regularly removed, at the
 onset of and after each rainstorm to ensure that these facilities are functioning properly
 at all times.
- Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If
 excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in
 short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from foundation excavations
 should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities.
- All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no

,

,

earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed
and sited wheel washing facility should be provided at construction site exit where
practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed regularly to
ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and
exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient
backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to
public roads and drains.

- Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes onsite should be covered
 with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent
 the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system.
- Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and stormwater runoff being directed into foul sewers.
- Precautions should be taken at any time of the year when rainstorms are likely. Actions should be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarized in Appendix A2 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes.
- Bentonite slurries used in piling or slurry walling should be reconditioned and reused
 wherever practicable. Temporary enclosed storage locations should be provided on-site
 for any unused bentonite that needs to be transported away after all the related
 construction activities are completed. The requirements in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should
 be adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries.

Rem Obs

..

J

N/A N/A
No bentonite slurries are used
in this project. N/A
No bentonite slurries are used
in this project.

Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site where necessary to handle sewage from the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance.

4.1 **General construction activities**

Construction solid waste, debris and refuse generated on-site should be collected,

EM&A R	Ref. Recommendation Measures	Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
	handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering any nearby storm water drain.		
	Stockpiles of cement and other construction materials should be kept covered when not		
	being used.		
	 Oils and fuels should only be stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention 	✓	Obs
	facilities. To prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to any nearby storm water drain, all fuel		
	tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within		
	bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. The bund		
	should be drained of rainwater after a rain event.		
Waste Ma	anagement Implications (Construction)		
6.1	Good Site Practices		
	Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities include:		
	 Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site 	✓	Obs
	practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of		
	all wastes generated at the site		
	Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical handling procedures	✓	✓
	 Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection of waste 	✓	✓
	 Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust/odour during transportation 	✓	✓
	of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers		
	 Provision of wheel washing facilities before the trucks leaving the works area so as to 	✓	✓
	minimise dust introduction to public roads		
	Well planned delivery programme for offsite disposal such that adverse environmental	✓	✓
	impact from transporting the inert or non-inert C&D materials is not anticipated		

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
6.1	Waste Reduction Measures		
	Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:		
	 Sort inert C&D material to recover any recyclable portions such as metals 	✓	✓
	Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers or skips to	✓	✓
	enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal		
	Encourage collection of recyclable waste such as waste paper and aluminium cans by	✓	✓
	providing separate labelled bins to enable such waste to be segregated from other general		
	refuse generated by the work force		
	Proper site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of inert C&D	✓	✓
	materials		
	Plan the use of construction materials carefully to minimise amount of waste generated	✓	✓
	and avoid unnecessary generation of wastes		
6.1	Inert and Non-inert C&D Materials		
	In order to minimise impacts resulting from collection and transportation of inert C&D material		
	for off-site disposal, the excavated materials should be reused on-site as fill material as far as		
	practicable. In addition, inert C&D material generated from excavation works could be reused		
	as fill materials in local projects that require public fill for reclamation.		
	• The surplus inert C&D material will be disposed of at the Government's PFRFs for	✓	✓
	beneficial use by other projects in Hong Kong.		
	• Liaison with the CEDD Public Fill Committee (PFC) on the allocation of space for disposal	✓	✓
	of the inert C&D materials at PFRF is underway. No construction work is allowed to		
	proceed until all issues on management of inert C&D materials have been resolved and all		
	relevant arrangements have been endorsed by the relevant authorities including PFC and		

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
	EPD.		
	The C&D materials generated from general site clearance should be sorted on site to	✓	✓
	segregate any inert materials for reuse or disposal of at PFRFs whereas the non-inert		
	materials will be disposed of at the designated landfill site.		
	• In order to monitor the disposal of inert and non-inert C&D materials at respectively PFRFs	✓	✓
	and the designated landfill site, and to control fly-tipping, it is recommended that the		
	Contractor should follow the Technical Circular (Works) No. 6/2010 for Trip Ticket System		
	for Disposal of Construction & Demolition Materials issued by Development Bureau. In		
	addition, it is also recommended that the Contractor should prepare and implement a		
	Waste Management Plan detailing their various waste arising and waste management		
	practices in accordance with the relevant requirements of the Technical Circular (Works)		
	No. 19/2005 Environmental Management on Construction Site.		
6.1	Chemical Waste		
	If chemical wastes are produced at the construction site, the Contractor will be required	✓	✓
	to register with the EPD as a chemical waste producer and to follow the guidelines stated		
	in the "Code of Practice on the Packaging Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes". Good		
	quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible		
	chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on		
	each chemical waste container indicating the corresponding chemical characteristics of		
	the chemical waste, such as explosive, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful,		
	corrosive, etc. The Contractor should use a licensed collector to transport and dispose of		
	the chemical wastes at the approved Chemical Waste Treatment Centre or other licensed		
	recycling facilities, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General)		

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
	Regulation.		
	• Potential environmental impacts arising from the handling activities (including storage,	✓	✓
	collection, transportation and disposal of chemical waste) are expected to be minimal		
	with the implementation of appropriate mitigation measures as recommended.		
6.1	General Refuse	✓	Obs
	General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separated from inert C&D		
	materials. A reputable waste collector should be employed by the Contractor to remove general		
	refuse from the site, separately from inert C&D materials. Preferably an enclosed and covered		
	area should be provided to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' light material.		
Land Contam	ination (Construction)		
7.1	The potential for land contamination issues at the TST Fire Station due to its future relocation		
	will be confirmed by site investigation after land acquisition. Where necessary, mitigation		
	measures for minimising potential exposure to contaminated materials (if any) or remediation		
	measures will be identified. If contaminated land is identified (e.g., during decommissioning of		
	fuel oil storage tanks) after the commencement of works, mitigation measures are proposed in		
	order to minimise the potentially adverse effects on the health and safety of construction		
	workers and impacts arising from the disposal of potentially contaminated materials. The		
	following measures are proposed for excavation and transportation of contaminated material:		
	• To minimize the chance for construction workers to come into contact with any	N/A	N/A
	contaminated materials, bulk earth-moving excavation equipment should be employed;	TST Fire Station is out of this	TST Fire Station is out of this
		project boundary, no mitigation	project boundary, no mitigation
		measure is required.	measure is required.

Zone 2A

Zone 2B & 2C

- Contact with contaminated materials can be minimised by wearing appropriate clothing and personal protective equipment such as gloves and masks (especially when interacting directly with contaminated material), provision of washing facilities and prohibition of smoking and eating on site;
- Stockpiling of contaminated excavated materials on site should be avoided as far as possible;
- The use of contaminated soil for landscaping purpose should be avoided unless pretreatment was carried out;
- Vehicles containing any contaminated excavated materials should be suitably covered to reduce dust emissions and/or release of contaminated wastewater;
- Truck bodies and tailgates should be sealed to stop any discharge;

 Only licensed waste haulers should be used to collect and transport contaminated material to treatment/disposal site and should be equipped with tracking system to avoid fly tipping; N/A
TST Fire Station is out of this

project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.

N/A

TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.

N/A

TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.

N/A

TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.

N/A

TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.

N/A

TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation

N/A

TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.

N/A

TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.

N/A

TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.

N/A

TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.

N/A

TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.

N/A

TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
		measure is required.	measure is required.
	 Speed control for trucks carrying contaminated materials should be exercised; 	N/A	N/A
		TST Fire Station is out of this	TST Fire Station is out of this
		project boundary, no mitigation	project boundary, no mitigation
		measure is required.	measure is required.
	Observe all relevant regulations in relation to waste handling, such as Waste Disposal	N/A	N/A
	Ordinance (Cap. 354), Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation (Cap. 354)	TST Fire Station is out of this	TST Fire Station is out of this
	and obtain all necessary permits where required; and	project boundary, no mitigation	project boundary, no mitigation
		measure is required.	measure is required.
	Maintain records of waste generation and disposal quantities and disposal arrangements.	N/A	N/A
		TST Fire Station is out of this	TST Fire Station is out of this
		project boundary, no mitigation	project boundary, no mitigation
		measure is required.	measure is required.
Ecological Im	pact (Construction)		
	No mitigation measure is required.		
Landscape a	nd Visual Impact (Construction)		
Table 9.1	Trees should be retained in situ on site as far as possible. Should tree removal be unavoidable	✓	✓
(CM1)	due to construction impacts, trees will be transplanted or felled with reference to the stated		
	criteria in the Tree Removal Applications to be submitted to relevant government departments		
	for approval in accordance to ETWB TCW No. 29/2004 and 3/2006.		
Table 9.1	Compensatory tree planting shall be incorporated to the proposed project and maximize the	N/A	N/A
(CM2)	new tree, shrubs and other vegetation planting to compensate tree felled and vegetation	Compensatory tree planting is	Compensatory tree planting is

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
	removed. Also, implementation of compensatory planting should be of a ratio not less than 1:1	being reviewed.	being reviewed.
	in terms of quality and quantity within the site.		
Table 9.1	Buffer trees for screening purposes to soften the hard architectural and engineering structures	N/A	N/A
(CM3)	and facilities.	Roof garden is designed to be	Roof garden is designed to be
		built, but it has not been	built, but it has not been
		completed yet.	completed yet.
Table 9.1	Softscape treatments such as vertical green wall panel /planting of climbing and/or weeping	N/A	N/A
(CM4)	plants, etc, to maximize the green coverage and soften the hard architectural and engineering	Climbing or weeping plants are	Climbing or weeping plants are
	structures and facilities.	designed to be planted, but	designed to be planted, but
		proposal is being reviewed for	proposal is being reviewed for
		the planting location.	the planting location.
Table 9.1	Roof greening by means of intensive and extensive green roof to maximize the green coverage	N/A	N/A
(CM5)	and improve aesthetic appeal and visual quality of the building/structure.	Roof garden is designed to be	Roof garden is designed to be
		built, but it has not been	built, but it has not been
		completed yet.	completed yet.
Table 9.1	Sensitive streetscape design should be incorporated along all new roads and streets.	N/A	N/A
(CM6)		Greening along the seafront is	Greening along the seafront is
		proposed, and under review.	proposed, and under review.
Table 9.1	Structure, ornamental planting shall be provided along amenity strips to enhance the landscape	N/A	N/A
(CM7)	quality.	Gardens are designed to be	Gardens are designed to be
		built, and under review.	built, and under review.
Table 9.1	Landscape design shall be incorporated to architectural and engineering structures in order to	N/A	N/A

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
(CM8)	provide aesthetically pleasing designs.	Roof garden is designed to be	Roof garden is designed to be
		built, and under review.	built, and under review.
Table 9.1	Minimize the structure of marine facilities to be built on the seabed and foreshore in order to	N/A	N/A
(CM9)	minimize the affected extent to the waterbody	No marine facilities for this	No marine facilities for this
		project.	project.
Table 9.2	Use of decorative screen hoarding/boards	✓	✓
(MCP1)			
Table 9.2	Early introduction of landscape treatments	N/A	N/A
(MCP2)		No landscape treatments during	No landscape treatments during
		this stage.	this stage.
Table 9.2	Adoption of light colour for the temporary ventilation shafts for the basement during the	N/A	N/A
(MCP3)	transition period.	No ventilation shafts for this	No ventilation shafts for this
		project.	project.
Table 9.2	Control of night time lighting	✓	✓
(MCP4)			
Table 9.2	Use of greenery such as grass cover for the temporary open areas will help achieve the visual	N/A	N/A
(MCP5)	balance and soften the hard edges of the structures.	No temporary open areas for	No temporary open areas for
		this project.	this project.

N/A - Not Applicable

✓ - Implemented

Obs - Observed

Rem - Reminder

K. Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Cumulative statistics for complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions for the Project account for period starting from the date of commencement of construction works (i.e. 3 October 2020 for Zone 2A Foundation, Excavation and Lateral Support Works; 30 September 2021 for Zone 2B & 2C Piling Works) to the end of the reporting month and are summarised in the Table K-1 and Table K-2 below respectively.

Table K-1: Statistics for complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions for Zone 2A Foundation, Excavation and Lateral Support Works

Reporting Period

From 03 October 2020 to

	Complaints	Notifications of summons	Successful prosecutions
This reporting month	0	0	0
(February 2023)	U	O	U

Cumulative Statistics

0

0

end of the reporting month

43

Table K-2: Statistics for complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions for Zone 2B & 2C Piling Works

Reporting Period		Cumulative Statistics	1		
	Complaints	Notifications of summons	Successful prosecutions		
This reporting month	0	0	0		
(February 2023)	0	0	0		
From 30 September 2021 to	20	0	0		
end of the reporting month	28	0	0		

END OF THE REPORT