

# **Development at West Kowloon Cultural District**

**Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report  
for July 2022**

**11 August 2022**

In accordance with the Environmental Permit, Condition 3.4, this Monthly EM&A Report has been certified by the Environmental Team Leader (ETL) and verified by the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) as complying with the requirements as set out in Sections 1, 10, 11, 12 and 13 of the EM&A Manual.

**Certified by:**



---

**CK WU**

Environmental Team Leader (ETL)

West Kowloon Cultural District Authority

**Date**

---

11 August 2022

**Verified by:**



---

**Claudine LEE**

Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)

Meinhardt Infrastructure and Environment Ltd

**Date**

---

11 August 2022

This Report Consists of:

**Part-1: EM&A at Lyric Theatre Complex**

**and**

**Part-2: EM&A for Foundation and ELS  
Works in Zones 2A, 2B & 2C**

# **Part-1: EM&A at Lyric Theatre Complex**



# Lyric Theatre Complex

Mott MacDonald  
3/F International Trade  
Tower  
348 Kwun Tong Road  
Kwun Tong  
Kowloon  
Hong Kong

T +852 2828 5757  
mottmac.hk

# Contents

Executive summary	1
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 Background	3
1.2 Project Organisation	3
1.3 Status of Construction Works in the Reporting Period	4
1.4 Summary of EM&A Requirements and Alternative Monitoring Locations	4
1.4.1 EM&A Requirements	4
1.4.2 Alternative Monitoring Locations	5
<b>2 Impact Monitoring Methodology</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Air Quality	7
2.2.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	7
2.2.2 Monitoring Locations	7
2.2.3 Monitoring Equipment	7
2.2.4 Monitoring Methodology	8
2.3 Noise	11
2.3.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	11
2.3.2 Monitoring Location	11
2.3.3 Monitoring Equipment	11
2.3.4 Monitoring Methodology	11
2.4 Landscape and Visual	12
2.4.1 Monitoring Program	12
<b>3 Monitoring Results</b>	<b>13</b>
3.1 Impact Monitoring	13
3.2 Air Quality Monitoring	13
3.2.1 1-hour TSP	13
3.2.2 24-hour TSP	13
3.3 Noise Monitoring	14
3.4 Landscape and Visual Impact	14
<b>4 Site Environmental Management</b>	<b>15</b>
4.1 Site Inspection	15
4.2 Advice on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status	16
4.3 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits	16
4.4 Recommended Mitigation Measures	17

5	Compliance with Environmental Permit	18
6	Report in Non-compliance, Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions	19
6.1	Record on Non-compliance of Action and Limit Levels	19
6.2	Record on Environmental Complaints Received	19
6.3	Record on Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution	20
7	Future Key Issues	21
7.1	Construction Works for the Coming Month(s)	21
7.2	Key Issues for the Coming Month	21
7.3	Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month	22
8	Conclusions and Recommendations	23
8.1	Conclusions	23
8.2	Recommendations	23
Figure 1	Site Layout Plan and Monitoring Stations	24
Appendices		25
A.	Project Organisation	26
B.	Tentative Construction Programme	27
C.	Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase	28
D.	Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Landscape and Visual Impact	29
E.	Monitoring Schedule	30
F.	Calibration Certifications	31
G.	Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results	32
H.	Meteorological Data Extracted from Hong Kong Observatory	33
I.	Waste Flow table	34
J.	Environmental Mitigation Measures – Implementation Status	35

K. Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

# Executive summary

Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited (MMHK) was commissioned to undertake the Environmental Team (ET) services (including environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A)) for the construction of M+ Museum Main Works (Contract No.: CC/2015/3A/022) and Lyric Theatre Complex including the Foundation Works (Contract No.: CC/2015/3A/014), L1 Contract (Contract No. CC/2017/3A/030) and L2 Contract (Contract No. CC/2017/3A/031) at West Kowloon Cultural District (WKCD) (The Project) as part of the WKCD development. The Project Proponent is the West Kowloon Cultural District Authority (WKCDA). The construction works and EM&A programme for M+ Museum was commenced on 31 October 2015 and completed on 28 February 2021; while the construction works and EM&A programme for Lyric Theatre Complex (L1 and L2 Contracts) was commenced on 1 March 2016, and the EM&A programme for L1 Contract was completed on 30 June 2021.

The overall works for the WKCD fall under two separate categories of Designated Project (DP) of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO), namely an “engineering feasibility study of urban development projects with a study area covering more than 20 ha or involving a total population of more than 100 000” (Item 1 of Schedule 3) and “an underpass more than 100m in length under the built areas” (Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2). An Environmental Permit No. EP-453/2013/B (EP) was issued with respect to the “Underpass Road and Austin Road Flyover Serving the West Kowloon Cultural District” which specifically includes the abovementioned category of DP under Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2 of the EIAO.

This Monthly EM&A Report presents the monitoring works at Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract) from 1 July to 31 July 2022.

## **Exceedance of Action and Limit Levels**

There was no breach of Action or Limit levels for Air Quality (1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP) and Noise in this reporting month.

## **Implementation of Mitigation Measures**

Construction phase weekly site inspections were carried out on 6, 15, 20 and 27 July 2022 for Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract) to confirm the implementation measures undertaken by the Contractor in the reporting month. The outcomes are presented in Section 4 and the status of implementation of mitigation measures in the site is shown in **Appendix J**.

Landscape and visual impact inspections were conducted as part of the abovementioned weekly site inspection during the reporting month. No adverse comment on landscape and visual aspects were made during the inspections.

## **Record of Complaints**

Four environmental complaints were recorded in the reporting month.

## **Record of Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions**

No notifications of summons and successful prosecutions were recorded in the reporting month.

### **Future Key Issues**

The major site works for L2 to be commissioned in the coming month include:

- LTC construction
  - Structure (Slab, wall, columns and beam)
    - Falsework and formwork erection
    - Reinforcement work
    - Concrete work
  - ABWF & MEP work
- ASDA and Lyric Theatre Promenade
  - Structure and BS works
  - Hoarding works
- DSC cofferdam (Cofferdam A)
  - Backfill and const. additional blinding layer & retaining wall
- Extended basement
  - ABWF & MEP work
  - RC water tank
  - Late cast RC works (top slab/ backfill sunken etc.)
  - Carpark area plaster and paint
- Underpass and Associated Area
  - RC Structure
  - ABWF & MEP work
- M+ Day 2 Works
  - Construct 200 thk RC ground bearing slab
  - Remove plenum block wall & make good opening for Louvre
- P32 Interim Development
  - Remedial works & scaffold removal
  - Construct dog houses

Potential environmental impacts due to the construction activities, including air quality, noise, water quality, waste, landscape and visual, will be monitored or reviewed. The recommended environmental mitigation measures shall be implemented on site and regular inspections as required will be carried out to ensure that the environmental conditions are acceptable.

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Background

Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited (MMHK) was commissioned to undertake the Environmental Team (ET) services (including environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A)) for the construction of M+ Museum Main Works (Contract No.: CC/2015/3A/022) and Lyric Theatre Complex including the Foundation Works (Contract No.: CC/2015/3A/014), L1 Contract (Contract No. CC/2017/3A/030) and L2 Contract (Contract No. CC/2017/3A/031) at West Kowloon Cultural District (WKCD) (The Project) as part of the WKCD development. The Project Proponent is the West Kowloon Cultural District Authority (WKCDA). The construction works and EM&A programme for M+ Museum was commenced on 31 October 2015 and completed on 28 February 2021; while the construction works and EM&A programme for Lyric Theatre Complex (L1 and L2 Contracts) were commenced on 1 March 2016, and the EM&A programme for L1 Contract was completed on 30 June 2021.

The overall works for the WKCD fall under two separate categories of Designated Project (DP) of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO), namely an “engineering feasibility study of urban development projects with a study area covering more than 20 ha or involving a total population of more than 100 000” (Item 1 of Schedule 3) and “an underpass more than 100m in length under the built areas” (Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2). An Environmental Permit No. EP-453/2013/B (EP) was issued with respect to the “Underpass Road and Austin Road Flyover Serving the West Kowloon Cultural District” which specifically includes the abovementioned category of DP under Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2 of the EIAO. The captioned projects include part of the abovementioned underpass road located within the site boundary also falls under this same category.

The M+ Museum development aims to provide an iconic presence for the M+ Museum, semi-transparent vertical plane, housing education facilities, a public restaurant and museum offices. At ground and lower levels, generous access will be provided to the park and other West Kowloon Cultural District facilities, alongside a public resource centre, theatres, retail and dining, and back-of-house functions.

The 1,200-seat Lyric Theatre Complex will be Hong Kong’s first world-class facility for dance performances, including ballet, contemporary and Chinese dance forms. In the run up to the opening of further major performing arts venues in the WKCD, it will also be used for a wide variety of performing arts events including drama, opera and musical performances. The Lyric Theatre Complex will act as a platform for Hong Kong’s leading arts organisations and be a new major venue to show programmes from Asia and worldwide.

The Monthly EM&A Report is prepared in accordance with the Condition 3.4 of the Environmental Permit No. EP-453/2013/B. This Monthly EM&A Report presents the monitoring works at Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract) from 1 July to 31 July 2022. The purpose of this report is to summarise the findings in the EM&A of the project over the reporting period.

## 1.2 Project Organisation

The organisation chart and lines of communication with respect to the on-site environmental management structure together with the contact information of the key personnel are shown in **Appendix A**.

### 1.3 Status of Construction Works in the Reporting Period

During the reporting period, construction works at L2 undertaken include:

- LTC construction
  - Structure (Slab, wall, columns and beam)
    - Falsework and formwork erection
    - Reinforcement work
    - Concrete work
  - ABWF & MEP work
- ASDA and Lyric Theatre Promenade
  - Structure and BS works
  - Hoarding works
- DSC cofferdam (Cofferdam A)
  - Backfill and const. additional blinding layer & retaining wall
- Extended basement
  - ABWF & MEP work
  - RC water tank
  - Late cast RC works (top slab/ backfill sunken etc.)
  - Carpark area plaster and paint
- Underpass and Associated Area
  - RC Structure
  - ABWF & MEP work
- M+ Day 2 Works
  - Backfilling
  - Remove plenum block wall & make good opening for Louvre
- P32 Interim Development
  - Remedial works & scaffold removal
  - Construct dog houses

The Construction Works Programme of Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract) is provided in **Appendix B**. A layout plan of the Project is provided in **Figure 1**. Please refer to **Table 4.1** on the status of the environmental licenses.

### 1.4 Summary of EM&A Requirements and Alternative Monitoring Locations

The EM&A programme requires environmental monitoring of air quality, noise, landscape and visual as specified in the approved EM&A Manual.

#### 1.4.1 EM&A Requirements

A summary of impact EM&A requirements is presented in **Table 1.1**.

**Table 1.1: Summary of Impact EM&A Requirements**

Parameters	Descriptions	Locations	Frequencies
Air Quality	24-Hour TSP	AM1 - International Commerce Centre	At least once every 6 days
	1-Hour TSP	AM1 - International Commerce Centre	At least 3 times every 6 days
	24-Hour TSP	AM2 - The Harbourside Tower 1	At least once every 6 days
	1-Hour TSP	AM2 - The Harbourside Tower 1	At least 3 times every 6 days
Noise	Leq, 30 minutes	NM1- The Harbourside Tower 1	Weekly
Landscape & Visual	Monitor implementation of proposed mitigation measures during the construction stage	As described in Table 9.1 and 9.2 of the EM&A Manual	Bi-weekly

### 1.4.2 Alternative Monitoring Locations

In the context of the monitoring activities at M+ Museum and the Lyric Theatre Complex, three monitoring stations had been considered, including AM1 (International Commerce Centre), AM2 (The Harbourside Tower 1) for air monitoring, and NM1 (The Harbourside Tower 1) for noise monitoring. Other monitoring locations (i.e. AM3 to AM5 and NM2 to NM5) were so far away from M+ Museum and the Lyric Complex and could not be representative for impact monitoring.

The Harbourside management office formally rejected our proposal of setting up air quality and noise monitoring equipment on its premises at the podium level of Tower 1 (AM2/NM1) on 10 November 2015. Nevertheless, a suitable air quality monitoring location at AM2 was identified on the ground floor in front of The Harbourside Tower 1, which is at the same location as that of baseline monitoring for consistency. No management approval is required on the ground floor for conducting the air monitoring. However, the electricity supply at AM2 was suspended from 31 August 2016. In order to have a more secure electricity supply, an alternative air monitoring location (AM2A) was identified at Austin Road West opposite to The Harbourside Tower 1, which is close to Lyric Theatre Complex site entrance. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 28 September 2016. Due to the works programme, the air monitoring location AM2A has been relocated to the alternative monitoring location AM2B at the 1st floor of Gammon's site office, which was approved by EPD on 21 February 2019. In view of the upcoming construction works to be undertaken at the air monitoring station AM2B, AM2B was no longer available for conducting the impact air quality monitoring. Hence, an alternative air monitoring location was identified on the ground floor in front of The Harbourside Tower 1 (AM2) which is at the same location as the baseline monitoring and this previously approved monitoring location had also been used for the EM&A Programme from November 2015 to August 2016, the relocation was approved by EPD on 27 May 2021.

Alternative noise monitoring location was identified at The Arch (NM2); however, The Arch management office formally rejected our proposal of setting up noise monitoring equipment on its premises on 23 November 2015. On the other hand, noise monitoring at G/F of Harbourside could not be representative. However, approval from the management office of the International Commerce Centre has been granted on 29 February 2016 for conducting noise monitoring at the alternative noise monitoring location identified at the podium floor (NM1A) which is free from screening to the construction activities.

In short, 2 air quality monitoring stations and 1 noise impact monitoring station were confirmed for the impact monitoring.

The Environmental Quality Performance Limits for air quality and noise are shown in **Appendix C**.

The Event and Action Plan for air quality, construction noise, and landscape and visual are shown in **Appendix D**.

The EM&A programme followed the recommended mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual. The EM&A requirements as well as the summary of implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures are provided in **Appendix J**.

## 2 Impact Monitoring Methodology

### 2.1 Introduction

For air quality and noise, the monitoring methodology, including the monitoring locations, monitoring equipment used, monitoring parameters, and frequency and duration etc., for air quality and noise are detailed in this Section. The environmental monitoring schedules for the reporting period and the tentative monitoring schedule for the coming month are provided in **Appendix E**.

For landscape and visual impact, the relevant EM&A monitoring requirements and details are also presented in this Section.

### 2.2 Air Quality

#### 2.2.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

**Table 2.1** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of the TSP monitoring.

**Table 2.1: Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration**

Parameter	Frequency	Duration
24-hour TSP	At least once in every six-days	24 hours
1-hour TSP	At least 3 times every six-days	60 minutes

#### 2.2.2 Monitoring Locations

Currently, the works under the captioned project are confined in the western part of the WKCD site. Therefore, only the monitoring stations AM1 and AM2 were set up at the proposed locations in accordance with updated EM&A Manual. Location of the monitoring station is given in **Table 2.2** and shown in **Figure 1**.

**Table 2.2: Air Quality Monitoring Station**

Monitoring Station	Location
AM1	International Commerce Centre (ICC)
AM2	The Harbourside Tower 1 – Ground Floor

#### 2.2.3 Monitoring Equipment

For 24-hour TSP air quality monitoring, High Volume Sampler (HVS) was used at air monitoring station AM1 and portable direct reading dust meter was used at air monitoring station AM2 due to the unavailability of power supply for HVS at / in the vicinity of the AM2. The portable direct reading dust meter is capable of producing comparable results as that by the HVS method. For 1-hour TSP monitoring, portable direct reading dust meter was used for the measurement.

**Table 2.3** summarizes the equipment used in the impact air quality monitoring. Copies of the calibration certificates for the calibration kit and portable dust meters are attached in **Appendix F**.

**Table 2.3: TSP Monitoring Equipment**

Equipment	Model
<b>24-hour TSP monitoring</b>	
High Volume Sampler	TE-5170 (Serial No: 0767)
Calibrator	TE-5025A (Orifice I.D.: 2454)
Portable direct reading dust meter	Sibata LD-5R (Serial No.: 831656)
<b>1-hour TSP monitoring</b>	
Portable direct reading dust meter	Sibata LD-3B (Serial No.: 245834 and 436553)

Calibration of the HVS (five point calibration) using Calibration Kit was carried out every two months. The HVS calibration orifice will be calibrated annually. Calibration certificate of the TE-5025A Calibration Kit and the HVS are provided in **Appendix F**.

The portable direct reading dust meter should be determined periodically (e.g. annually) by the HVS to check the validity and accuracy of the results measured by direct reading method.

#### 2.2.4 Monitoring Methodology

##### 24-hour TSP Monitoring (HVS)

###### Installation

The HVS was installed at the site boundary. The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVS.

- A horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the sampler against gusty wind was provided.
- The distance between the HVS and any obstacles, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the HVS.
- A minimum of 2 metres separation from walls, parapets and penthouse was required for rooftop sampler.
- A minimum of 2 metres separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally was required.
- No furnace or incinerator flues or building vent were nearby.
- Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
- The sampler has been more than 20 metres from any drip line.
- Permission was obtained to set up the sampler and to obtain access to the monitoring station.
- A secured supply of electricity is needed to operate the sampler.

###### Preparation of Filter Papers

- Glass fibre filters were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected.
- The filters used are specified to have a minimum collection efficiency of 99 percent for 0.3 µm (DOP) particles.
- All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than ±3 °C with relative humidity (RH) < 50% and was not variable by more than ±5 %. A convenient working RH was 40%. All preparation of filters was done by Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) accredited laboratory.

## Field Monitoring Procedures

- The power supply was checked to ensure the HVS works properly.
- The filter holder and the area surrounding the filter were cleaned.
- The filter holder was removed by loosening the four bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully.
- The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
- The swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- The shelter lid was closed and was secured with the aluminium strip.
- The HVS was warmed-up for about 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
- A new flow rate record sheet was set into the flow recorder.
- The flow rate of the HVS was checked and adjusted at around 1.3 m<sup>3</sup>/min. The range specified in the EM&A Manual was between 0.6-1.7 m<sup>3</sup>/min.
- The programmable timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hours, and the starting time, weather condition and the filter number were recorded.
- The initial elapsed time was recorded.
- At the end of sampling, the sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half length so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact.
- It was then placed in a clean plastic envelope and sealed.
- All monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet.
- Filters were sent to a Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) accredited laboratory for analysis.

## Maintenance and Calibration

- The HVS and its accessories are maintained in good working condition, such as replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure a continuous power supply.
- HVSs were calibrated upon installation and thereafter at bi-monthly intervals. The calibration kits were calibrated annually.

## Weather Condition

- Meteorological data extracted from Hong Kong Observatory for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix H**.

## 24-hour TSP Monitoring (Portable direct reading dust meter)

### Field Monitoring

The measuring procedures of the portable direct reading dust meter are in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:

- Turn the power on.
- Close the air collecting opening cover.
- Push the "TIME SETTING" switch to [BG].
- Push "START/STOP" switch to perform background measurement for 6 seconds.
- Turn the knob at SENSI ADJ position to insert the light scattering plate.
- Leave the equipment for 1 minute upon "SPAN CHECK" is indicated in the display.

- Push “START/STOP” switch to perform automatic sensitivity adjustment. This measurement takes 1 minute.
- Pull out the knob and return it to MEASURE position.
- Setting time period of 24 hours for the 24-hour TSP measurement.
- Push “START/STOP” to start the 24-hour TSP measurement.
- Regular checking of the time period setting to ensure monitoring time of 24 hours.

#### **Maintenance and Calibration**

- The portable direct reading dust meter would be checked at 3-month intervals and calibrated at 1-year intervals throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.
- Calibration records for direct dust meters are shown in **Appendix F**.

#### **Weather Condition**

- Meteorological data extracted from Hong Kong Observatory for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix H**.

### **1-hour TSP Monitoring**

#### **Field Monitoring**

The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meter are in accordance with the Manufacturer’s Instruction Manual as follows:

- Turn the power on.
- Close the air collecting opening cover.
- Push the “TIME SETTING” switch to [BG].
- Push “START/STOP” switch to perform background measurement for 6 seconds.
- Turn the knob at SENSI ADJ position to insert the light scattering plate.
- Leave the equipment for 1 minute upon “SPAN CHECK” is indicated in the display.
- Push “START/STOP” switch to perform automatic sensitivity adjustment. This measurement takes 1 minute.
- Pull out the knob and return it to MEASURE position.
- Setting time period of 1 hour for the 1-hour TSP measurement.
- Push “START/STOP” to start the 1-hour TSP measurement.
- Regular checking of the time period setting to ensure monitoring time of 1 hour.

#### **Maintenance and Calibration**

- The 1-hour dust meter would be checked at 3-month intervals and calibrated at 1-year intervals throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.
- Calibration records for direct dust meters are shown in **Appendix F**.

#### **Weather Condition**

- Meteorological data extracted from Hong Kong Observatory for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix H**.

## 2.3 Noise

### 2.3.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

**Table 2.4** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of noise monitoring. The noise in A-weighted levels  $L_{eq}$ ,  $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$  are recorded in a 30-minute interval between 0700 and 1900 hours.

**Table 2.4: Noise Monitoring Parameters, Period and Frequency**

Time Period	Parameters	Frequency
Daytime on normal weekdays (0700-1900 hours)	$L_{eq}$ (30 min), $L_{90}$ (30 min) & $L_{10}$ (30 min)	Once every week

### 2.3.2 Monitoring Location

Currently, the works under the captioned project are confined in the western part of the WKCD site. Therefore, only the monitoring station NM1A was set up. Location of the monitoring station is given in **Table 2.5** and shown in **Figure 1**.

**Table 2.5: Noise Monitoring Station**

Monitoring Station	Location
NM1A	International Commerce Centre (ICC)

### 2.3.3 Monitoring Equipment

Integrating Sound Level Meter was used for noise monitoring. It was a Type 1 sound level meter capable of giving a continuous readout of the noise level readings including equivalent continuous sound pressure level ( $L_{Aeq}$ ) and percentile sound pressure level ( $L_x$ ). They comply with International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1). **Table 2.6** summarizes the noise monitoring equipment model being used.

**Table 2.6: Noise Monitoring Equipment**

Monitoring Station	Equipment Model	
	Integrating Sound Level Meter	Calibrator
NM1A	Rion NL-52 (Serial No. 00710259)	LARSON DAVIS CAL200 (Serial No.10227)

### 2.3.4 Monitoring Methodology

#### Field Monitoring

- The microphone of the Sound Level Meter was set at least 1.2 m above the ground.
- Free Field measurement was made at the monitoring locations.
- The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
  - frequency weighting: A
  - time weighting: Fast
  - time measurement: 30 minutes intervals (between 0700-1900 on normal weekdays)
- Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using a Calibrator for 94 dB at 1 kHz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement

was more than 1 dB, the measurement would be considered invalid and has to be repeated after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.

- During the monitoring period, the  $L_{eq}$ ,  $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$  were recorded. In addition, any site observations and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- A correction of +3dB(A) was made to the free field measurements.

#### Maintenance and Calibration

- The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator is cleaned with soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
- The sound level meter and calibrator are sent to the supplier or HOKLAS laboratory to check and calibrate at yearly intervals.
- Calibration records are shown in **Appendix F**.

#### Weather Condition

- Meteorological data extracted from Hong Kong Observatory for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix H**.

## 2.4 Landscape and Visual

### 2.4.1 Monitoring Program

**Table 2.7** details the monitoring program (as proposed in the WKCD EIA report) for landscape and visual impact during the construction phase.

**Table 2.7: Monitoring Program for Landscape and Visual Impact during Construction Phase**

Stage	Monitoring Task	Frequency	Report	Approval
Construction	Monitor implementation of proposed mitigation measures during the construction stage.	Bi-weekly	ET to report on Contractor's compliance	Counter-signed by IEC

During the landscape and visual impact monitoring, any changes in relation to the landscape and visual amenity should be monitored with reference to the baseline conditions of the site. In addition, mitigation measures were proposed in the WKCD EIA report to minimise the landscape and visual impacts during the construction phase. The proposed mitigation measures as shown in Table 9.1 and Table 9.2 of the EM&A Manual should be checked for proper implementation.

## 3 Monitoring Results

### 3.1 Impact Monitoring

Construction impact monitoring for air quality, noise and landscape and visual impact was undertaken in compliance with the EM&A Manual during the reporting month.

### 3.2 Air Quality Monitoring

#### 3.2.1 1-hour TSP

Results of 1-hour TSP at the monitoring location AM1 and AM2 are summarised in **Table 3.1**. Graphical plots of the monitoring results are shown in **Appendix G**.

**Table 3.1: Summary of 1-hour TSP monitoring results**

Monitoring Station	Monitoring Date	Start Time	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )			Range ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
			1st Result	2nd Result	3rd Result			
AM1	04-Jul-22	8:22	21	19	22	19-54	273.7	500
	08-Jul-22	8:22	19	21	27			
	14-Jul-22	8:20	32	28	29			
	20-Jul-22	8:32	54	30	31			
	26-Jul-22	8:23	31	29	26			
AM2	04-Jul-22	8:37	25	24	29	24-53	274.2	500
	08-Jul-22	8:36	31	28	33			
	14-Jul-22	8:34	43	29	35			
	20-Jul-22	8:46	53	46	49			
	26-Jul-22	8:38	32	39	35			

#### 3.2.2 24-hour TSP

Results of 24-hour TSP at the monitoring location AM1 and AM2 are summarised in **Table 3.2**. Graphical plots of the monitoring results are shown in **Appendix G**.

**Table 3.2: Summary of 24-hour TSP monitoring results**

Monitoring Station	Monitoring Date	Start Time	Monitoring Results ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Range ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
AM1	04-Jul-22	08:20	17	13-32	143.6	260
	08-Jul-22	08:20	18			
	14-Jul-22	08:18	13			
	20-Jul-22	08:30	16			
	26-Jul-22	08:20	32			
AM2	04-Jul-22	08:34	20	20-36	151.1	260
	08-Jul-22	08:34	23			
	14-Jul-22	08:32	25			
	20-Jul-22	08:44	21			
	26-Jul-22	08:35	36			

No exceedance of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP (Action or Limit Level) was recorded in the reporting period.

### 3.3 Noise Monitoring

The construction noise monitoring results at the monitoring location NM1A are summarized in **Table 3.3**. Graphical plots of the monitoring data and the station set-up of a free-field measurement are shown in **Appendix G**.

**Table 3.3: Summary of noise monitoring results during normal weekdays**

Monitoring Date	Start Time	End Time	L <sub>eq</sub> (30 mins)*, dB(A)	Limit Level for L <sub>eq</sub> (dB(A))
04-Jul-22	09:22	09:52	67	75
14-Jul-22	09:19	09:49	67	
20-Jul-22	09:30	10:00	67	
26-Jul-22	09:22	09:52	66	

Remarks:

\* +3dB (A) correction was applied to free-field measurement.

No exceedance (Action/Limit Level) of construction noise was recorded in the reporting month.

### 3.4 Landscape and Visual Impact

Landscape and visual impact inspections were conducted as part of the weekly site inspection on 15 & 27 July 2022 for Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract) during the reporting month. As reviewed by the registered Landscape Architect, no adverse comment on landscape and visual aspects was made during this inspection.

The landscape and visual mitigation measures were implemented during the reporting period. The summary of implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures is provided in **Appendix J**.

## 4 Site Environmental Management

### 4.1 Site Inspection

Construction phase weekly site inspections were carried out on 6, 15, 20 and 27 July 2022 at Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract). While the site environmental management committee meeting with IEC, ET, ER and Contractor was held on 20 July 2022. All observations have been recorded in the site inspection checklist and passed to the Contractor together with the appropriate recommended mitigation measures where necessary.

The key observations from the site inspections and associated recommendations are summarized in **Table 4.1**.

**Table 4.1: Summary of Site Inspections and Recommendations for L2**

Inspection Date	Parameter	Observation / Recommendation	Contactor's Responses / Action(s) Undertaken	Close-out (Date)
27-Jun-22	Water Quality	Idle chemicals were observed on ground, the contractor was reminded to remove the idle chemicals regularly.	The contractor has removed the idle chemicals.	04-Jul-22
27-Jun-22	Waste Management	General refuse was observed, the contractor was reminded to clean up the waste regularly.	The contractor has cleaned up the waste regularly.	04-Jul-22
06-Jul-22	Water Quality	Stagnant water was observed at the drip tray with potential spillage observed, the contractor was reminded to clear the stagnant water and treat the potential spillage as chemical waste.	The contractor has cleared the stagnant water within the drip tray and properly covered the chemical containers. The potential spillage was also properly treated.	07-Jul-22
06-Jul-22	Waste Management	General refuse was observed on ground, the contractor was reminded to clean up the waste regularly and provide proper disposal facility.	The contractor has cleaned up the waste regularly and provided proper disposal facility.	07-Jul-22
15-Jul-22	Waste Management	Waste was observed on ground, the contractor was reminded to remove the waste regularly.	The contractor has removed the waste regularly.	19-Jul-22
20-Jul-22	Air Quality	Drilling was undertaken without proper dust suppression measures. The contractor was reminded to increase water spraying frequency.	The contractor has increased water spraying frequency to avoid dust impact.	20-Jul-22
20-Jul-22	Air Quality	Idle stockpiles were observed without cover. The contractor was reminded to properly cover the stockpiles when not in use.	The contractor has properly covered the stockpiles.	20-Jul-22
20-Jul-22	Water Quality	The wastewater treatment facility was not functioning properly, the contractor was reminded to ensure the wastewater treatment facility was functioning properly.	The contractor has ensured the wastewater treatment facility was functioning properly.	20-Jul-22

Inspection Date	Parameter	Observation / Recommendation	Contactors Responses / Action(s) Undertaken	Close-out (Date)
27-Jul-22	Air Quality	Idle stockpiles were observed without cover. The contractor was reminded to properly cover the stockpiles when not in use.	The contractor has properly covered the stockpiles.	28-Jul-22
27-Jul-22	Water Quality	Chemical container was observed on ground without drip tray. The contractor was reminded to provide a suitable drip tray for the chemical container.	The contractor has provided a suitable drip tray for the chemical container.	29-Jul-22

## 4.2 Advice on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status

The Contractor has been registered as a chemical waste producer for the Project. Construction and demolition (C&D) material sorting will be carried out on site. A sufficient number of receptacles were available for general refuse collection.

As advised by the Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract) Contractor, 144.9 tonnes, 21.8 tonnes and 0.0 tonne of inert C&D materials were disposed of as public fill to Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Public Fill, Tuen Mun Area 38 Public Fill and Chai Wan Public Fill Barging Point respectively in the reporting month, while 343.9 tonnes of general refuse were disposed of at SENT and WENT landfill. 6.3 tonnes of metals, 0.0 tonne of paper/cardboard packaging, 0.0 tonne of plastics and 0.0 tonne of timber were collected by recycling contractors in the reporting month. 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material was reused on site. 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material was reused in other projects and 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material was imported for reuse at site. 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material was disposed to sorting facility and 0.7 tonnes of chemical waste were collected by licensed contractors in the reporting period.

The actual amounts of different types of waste generated by the activities of construction works at Lyric Theatre Complex in the reporting month are shown in **Appendix I**.

## 4.3 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits

The environmental permits, licenses, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project which were valid during the period are summarised in **Table 4.2**.

**Table 4.2: Status of Environmental Submissions, Licenses and Permits for L2**

Permit / License No. / Notification / Reference No.	Valid Period		Status	Remarks
	From	To		
<b>Chemical Waste Producer Registration</b>				
WPN:5213-217-G2347-39	13-Sep-21	-	Valid	
<b>Billing Account Construction Waste Disposal</b>				
7032787	02-Jan-19	-	Account Active	
<b>Construction Noise Permit</b>				
GW-RE0581-22	25-Jun-22	24-Aug-22	Valid	
<b>Wastewater Discharge License</b>				
WT-00030694-2018	11-Apr-18	30-Apr-23	Valid	
<b>Notification under Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation</b>				
448474	27-Aug-19	-	Notified	

#### 4.4 Recommended Mitigation Measures

The EM&A programme followed the recommended mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual. The EM&A requirements as well as the summary of implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures are provided in **Appendix J**. In particular, the following mitigation measures were brought to attention during the site inspections:

##### **Air Quality**

- Water spraying should be adopted for active construction areas
- High standard of housekeeping should be maintained to prevent emission of fugitive dust

##### **Water Quality**

- Oils and fuels should be stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities
- All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly during rainstorms

##### **Waste Management**

- All wastes generated at site should be collected and disposed to an appropriate facility regularly

## 5 Compliance with Environmental Permit

The status of the required submission under the EP during the reporting period is summarized in **Table 5.1**.

**Table 5.1: Status of Submissions under the Environmental Permit**

EP Condition	Submission	Submission Date
Condition 3.4	Monthly EM&A Report for June 2022	13 July 2022

## 6 Report in Non-compliance, Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

### 6.1 Record on Non-compliance of Action and Limit Levels

There was no breach of Action or Limit Levels for Air Quality and Noise monitoring in the reporting month.

### 6.2 Record on Environmental Complaints Received

Four environmental complaints were received in the reporting month.

On 15 July 2022, EPD received a complaint from a public regarding construction dust issue at WKCD construction site and referred the case on the same day. The complainant claimed that a large construction site proximate to the Museum Drive was contributing to air pollution, such that the debris scratched the glass of the vehicles when the residents from The Arch were driving by. The complainant also claimed that the construction site was held responsible by a joint venture of various engineering companies, with one of them being "Chun Wo". Based on the above investigation, it was found that the concerned location was not within the site boundary of Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract). Therefore, the complaint could not be attributable to Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract). Nevertheless, the contractors are reminded to strengthen the implementation of the recommendations for dust mitigation measures to reduce impacts to the public.

On 22 July 2022, EPD received a complaint from a public regarding polluted water discharge issue at WKCD construction site and referred the case on the same day. The complainant claimed that polluted water from the WKCD construction site was observed flowing to the street. A photo was also provided by the complainant. Based on the above investigation, it was found that the concerned location was not within the site boundary of Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract). Therefore, the complaint could not be attributable to Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract).

On 26 July 2022, EPD received a complaint regarding polluted water discharge at the WKCD construction site. The complainant claimed that the construction site next to the West Kowloon Art Park was discharging polluted water to the water body. On the same day, EPD received another complaint regarding polluted water discharge at the WKCD construction site. The complainant claimed that the construction site next to the M+ Museum was discharging polluted water to the water body. A photo was also provided by the complainant showing the situation. After investigation, it was believed that these two complaints could not be attributable to Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract). From the information provided by the contractor, no construction activities involving muddy materials or muddy water discharge were undertaken on 26 July 2022. And from the daily self-checking on the effluent quality, the wastewater was properly treated and was within compliance. Various mitigation measures and trainings were properly implemented including bundings at the seafront and regular site environmental committee meeting with subcontractor. From the on-site observation and prompt investigation during the site inspection on 27 July 2022, the brownish layer with bubbles observed was believed to be due to natural fluctuation or algae bloom in hot weather, which was not related to the construction works of Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract).

Although the water quality-related complaints may not be attributable to Lyric Theatre Complex (L2 Contract), water pollution mitigation measures will continue to be strictly implemented on site to avoid water pollution to the water body of Victoria Harbour.

The cumulative statistics on complaints were provided in **Appendix K**.

### **6.3 Record on Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution**

No notifications of summons or successful prosecutions were received this month. The cumulative statistics on notifications of summons and successful prosecutions were provided in **Appendix K**.

## 7 Future Key Issues

### 7.1 Construction Works for the Coming Month(s)

The major site works for L2 to be commissioned in the coming month include:

- LTC construction
  - Structure (Slab, wall, columns and beam)
    - Falsework and formwork erection
    - Reinforcement work
    - Concrete work
  - ABWF & MEP work
- ASDA and Lyric Theatre Promenade
  - Structure and BS works
  - Hoarding works
- DSC cofferdam (Cofferdam A)
  - Backfill and const. additional blinding layer & retaining wall
- Extended basement
  - ABWF & MEP work
  - RC water tank
  - Late cast RC works (top slab/ backfill sunken etc.)
  - Carpark area plaster and paint
- Underpass and Associated Area
  - RC Structure
  - ABWF & MEP work
- M+ Day 2 Works
  - Construct 200 thk RC ground bearing slab
  - Remove plenum block wall & make good opening for Louvre
- P32 Interim Development
  - Remedial works & scaffold removal
  - Construct dog houses

### 7.2 Key Issues for the Coming Month

Key issues to be considered at Lyric Theatre Complex in the coming month include:

- Generation of dust from construction works;
- Noise impact from operating equipment and machinery on-site;
- Generation of site surface runoffs and wastewater from activities on-site;
- Management of stockpiles and slopes, particularly on rainy days;
- Sorting, recycling, storage and disposal of general refuse and construction waste;
- Management of chemicals and avoidance of oil spillage on-site; and
- Operating conditions of drainage facilities.

### 7.3 Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month

The environmental site inspection and environmental monitoring will be continued in the coming month. The tentative monitoring schedule for the coming month is shown in the **Appendix E**.

## 8 Conclusions and Recommendations

### 8.1 Conclusions

The EM&A programme as recommended in the EM&A Manual has been undertaken. The construction works and EM&A programme for M+ Museum was commenced on 31 October 2015 and completed on 28 February 2021; while the construction works and EM&A programme for Lyric Theatre Complex (L1 and L2 Contracts) was commenced on 1 March 2016, and the EM&A programme for L1 Contract was completed on 30 June 2021.

Monitoring of air quality and noise with respect to the Project is underway. In particular, the 1-hour TSP, 24-hour TSP, noise level (as  $L_{eq}$ , 30 minutes) under monitoring have been checked against established Action and Limit levels. There was no breach of Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP, 24-hour TSP and noise in the reporting month.

Four environmental complaints were recorded in the reporting month. No notifications of summons or successful prosecutions were received during the reporting month.

Weekly construction phase site inspections and bi-weekly landscape and visual impact inspections were conducted during the reporting month as required. It was observed that the Contractors had implemented all possible and feasible mitigation measures to mitigate the potential environmental impacts during construction phase works.

### 8.2 Recommendations

Potential environmental impacts due to the construction activities, including air quality, noise, water quality, waste, landscape and visual, will be monitored or reviewed. The recommended environmental mitigation measures shall be implemented on site and regular inspections as required will be carried out to ensure that the environmental conditions are acceptable.


# Figure 1 Site Layout Plan and Monitoring Stations



# Appendices

- A. Project Organisation
- B. Tentative Construction Programme
- C. Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase
- D. Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Landscape and Visual Impact
- E. Monitoring Schedule
- F. Calibration Certifications
- G. Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results
- H. Meteorological Data Extracted from Hong Kong Observatory
- I. Waste Flow table
- J. Environmental Mitigation Measures – Implementation Status
- K. Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

## A. Project Organisation



**Table A-1: Contact information**

Company Name	Role	Name	Telephone	Email
Atkins China Ltd.	Resident Engineer	Ms. Gloria Lui	5506 6361	gloria.lui@atkinsglobal.com
Meinhardt Infrastructure & Environment Limited	Independent Environmental Checker	Ms. Claudine Lee	2859 5409	claudinelee@meinhardt.com.hk
Gammon Construction Limited (L2)	Environmental Manager	Mr. Ivan Chiu	9416 1664	ivan.chiu@gammonconstruction.com
Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Ltd.	Contractor's Environmental Team Leader	Mr. Thomas Chan	2828 5757	thomas.chan@mottmac.com
West Kowloon Cultural District Authority	Senior Project Manager (Safety, Health and Environment)	Mr. C.K. Wu	5506 9178	ck.wu@wkda.hk

## **B. Tentative Construction Programme**



## **C. Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase**

## Air Quality

The Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour and 24-hour TSP for the monitoring station are presented in following tables:

**Table C-1: Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP**

Monitoring Station	Action Level (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Limit Level (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )
AM1	273.7	500
AM2	274.2	500

**Table C-2: Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP**

Monitoring Station	Action Level (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Limit Level (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )
AM1	143.6	260
AM2	151.1	260

## Noise

The Action and Limit Levels for Noise for the monitoring stations are presented in following table:

**Table C-3: Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise**

Time Period & Monitoring Locations	Action Level	Limit Level
NM1A 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays	When one valid documented complaint is received.	75 dB(A)

## **D. Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Landscape and Visual Impact**

## Air Quality

In case the Action and Limit Levels are not complied during construction stage, the following Event and Action Plan should be followed:

**Table D-1: Event and Action Plan for Air Quality**

Event	Action			
	ET	IEC	WKCD A	Contractor
<b>Action Level</b>				
1. Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures;</li> <li>2. Inform IEC and WKCD A;</li> <li>3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding;</li> <li>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET;</li> <li>2. Check Contractor's working method.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify Contractor</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Rectify any unacceptable practice;</li> <li>2. Amend working methods if appropriate.</li> </ol>
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source;</li> <li>2. Inform IEC and WKCD A;</li> <li>3. Advise the WKCD A on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures;</li> <li>4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings;</li> <li>5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily;</li> <li>6. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required;</li> <li>7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and WKCD A;</li> <li>8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET;</li> <li>2. Check Contractor's working method;</li> <li>3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures;</li> <li>4. Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures;</li> <li>5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing;</li> <li>2. Notify Contractor;</li> <li>3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Submit proposals for remedial to WKCD A within three working days of notification;</li> <li>2. Implement the agreed proposals;</li> <li>3. Amend proposal if appropriate.</li> </ol>
<b>Limit Level</b>				
1. Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures;</li> <li>2. Inform WKCD A, Contractor and EPD;</li> <li>3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding;</li> <li>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily;</li> <li>5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and WKCD A informed of the results.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET;</li> <li>2. Check Contractor's working method;</li> <li>3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures;</li> <li>4. Advise the WKCD A on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures;</li> <li>5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing;</li> <li>2. Notify Contractor;</li> <li>3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance;</li> <li>2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification;</li> <li>3. Implement the agreed proposals;</li> <li>4. Amend proposal if appropriate.</li> </ol>

**Event****Action**

---

2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Notify IEC, WKCDA, Contractor and EPD;</li><li>2. Identify source;</li><li>3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings;</li><li>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily;</li><li>5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented;</li><li>6. Arrange meeting with IEC and WKCDA to discuss the remedial actions to be taken;</li><li>7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and WKCDA informed of the results;</li><li>8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET;</li><li>2. Check Contractor's working method;</li><li>3. Discuss amongst WKCDA, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions;</li><li>4. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the WKCDA accordingly;</li><li>5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing;</li><li>2. Notify Contractor;</li><li>3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree on the remedial measures to be implemented;</li><li>4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented;</li><li>5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance;</li><li>2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification;</li><li>3. Implement the agreed proposals;</li><li>4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control;</li><li>5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the WKCDA until the exceedance is abated.</li></ol>
---	--	--	---	--

---

## Construction Noise

In case the Action and Limit Levels are not complied during construction stage, the following Event and Action Plan should be followed:

**Table D-2: Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise**

Event	Action			
	ET	IEC	WKCD A	Contractor
Action Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify WKCD A, IEC and Contractor;</li> <li>2. Carry out investigation;</li> <li>3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, WKCD A and Contractor;</li> <li>4. Discuss with the IEC and Contractor on remedial measures required;</li> <li>5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Review the investigation results submitted by the ET;</li> <li>2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the WKCD A accordingly;</li> <li>3. Advise the WKCD A on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing;</li> <li>2. Notify Contractor;</li> <li>3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented;</li> <li>4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC and WKCD A;</li> <li>2. Implement noise mitigation proposals.</li> </ol>
Limit Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inform IEC, WKCD A, Contractor and EPD;</li> <li>2. Repeat measurements to confirm findings;</li> <li>3. Increase monitoring frequency;</li> <li>4. Identify source and investigate the cause of exceedance;</li> <li>5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures;</li> <li>6. Discuss with the IEC, Contractor and WKCD A on remedial measures required;</li> <li>7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and WKCD A informed of the results;</li> <li>8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss amongst WKCD A, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions;</li> <li>2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the WKCD A accordingly.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing;</li> <li>2. Notify Contractor;</li> <li>3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented;</li> <li>4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures;</li> <li>5. If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance until the exceedance is abated.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance;</li> <li>2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and WKCD A within 3 working days of notification;</li> <li>3. Implement the agreed proposals;</li> <li>4. Submit further proposal if problem still not under control;</li> <li>5. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the WKCD A until the exceedance is abated.</li> </ol>

## Landscape and Visual Impact

In case of non-compliance of landscape and visual impacts, procedures in accordance with the Event and Action Plan should be followed:

**Table D-3: Event and Action Plan for Landscape and Visual Impact**

Event	Action			
	ET	IEC	WKCDA	Contractor
Design Check	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Design check to make sure the design complies with all the proposed mitigation measures in the EIA report;</li> <li>2. Prepare and submit report.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check report submitted by ET;</li> <li>2. Recommend remedial design if necessary.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Undertake remedial design if necessary.</li> </ol>	-
Non-conformity on one occasion	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source of non-conformity;</li> <li>2. Report to IEC and WKCDA;</li> <li>3. Discuss remedial actions with IEC, WKCDA and Contractor;</li> <li>4. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check and verify source of non-conformity;</li> <li>2. Discuss remedial actions with ET and Contractor;</li> <li>3. Advise WKCDA on effectiveness of proposed remedial actions;</li> <li>4. Check implementation of remedial actions.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify Contractor;</li> <li>2. Ensure remedial actions are properly implemented.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Amend working method as necessary;</li> <li>2. Rectify damage and undertake necessary replacement and remedial actions.</li> </ol>
Repeated conformity	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source of non-conformity;</li> <li>2. Report to IEC and WKCDA;</li> <li>3. Increase monitoring frequency;</li> <li>4. Discuss remedial actions with IEC, WKCDA and Contractor;</li> <li>5. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed;</li> <li>6. If non-conformity rectified, reduce monitoring frequency back to normal.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check and verify source of non-conformity;</li> <li>2. Check Contractor's working method;</li> <li>3. Discuss remedial actions with ET and Contractor;</li> <li>4. Advise WKCDA on effectiveness of proposed remedial actions;</li> <li>5. Supervise implementation of remedial actions.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify Contractor;</li> <li>2. Ensure remedial actions are properly implemented.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Amend working method as necessary;</li> <li>2. Rectify damage and undertake necessary replacement and remedial actions.</li> </ol>

## E. Monitoring Schedule

# July 2022

June '22							August '22							September '22								
S	M	T	W	T	F	S	S	M	T	W	T	F	S	S	M	T	W	T	F	S		
			1	2	3	4			1	2	3	4	5	6						1	2	3
5	6	7	8	9	10	11	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	11	12	13	14	15	16	17		
19	20	21	22	23	24	25	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	18	19	20	21	22	23	24		
26	27	28	29	30			28	29	30	31				25	26	27	28	29	30			

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
					1	2
3	4 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring	5	6	7	8 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3	9
10	11	12	13	14 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring	15 Lyric Landscape & Visual Inspection	16
17	18	19	20 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring	21	22	23
24	25	26 AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring	27 Lyric Landscape & Visual Inspection	28	29	30
31	Notes AM1 - International Commerce Centre (ICC) AM2 - The Harbourside Tower 1 - Ground Floor NM1A - International Commerce Centre (ICC)					

# August 2022

July '22							September '22							October '22							
S	M	T	W	T	F	S	S	M	T	W	T	F	S	S	M	T	W	T	F	S	
					1	2						1	2	3							1
3	4	5	6	7	8	9	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
17	18	19	20	21	22	23	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	
24	25	26	27	28	29	30	25	26	27	28	29	30	23	24	25	26	27	28	29		
31													30	31							

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
	<b>1</b> AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b> AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3	<b>6</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b> AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17</b> AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring	<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>21</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>23</b> AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring	<b>24</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>28</b>	<b>29</b> AM1, AM2 - 24hrTSP, 1hr TSP x3 NM1A - Noise Impact Monitoring	<b>30</b>	<b>31</b>			
		Notes AM1 - International Commerce Centre (ICC) AM2 - The Harbourside Tower 1 - Ground Floor NM1A - International Commerce Centre (ICC)				

## F. Calibration Certifications

High-Volume TSP Sampler  
5-Point Calibration Record

Location : AM1(ICC)  
 Calibrated by : K.T.Ho  
 Date : 14/05/2022

Sampler

Model : TE-5170  
 Serial Number : S/N 0767

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454  
 Service Date : 27 December 2021  
 Slope (m) : 2.07035  
 Intercept (b) : -0.03737  
 Correlation Coefficient(r) : 0.99990

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013  
 Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1008  
 Ta(K) : 296

Resistance Plate	dH [green liquid] (inch water)	Z	X=Qstd (cubic meter/min)	IC (chart)	Y (corrected)
1   18 holes	10.2	3.197	1.562	58	58.05
2   13 holes	7.4	2.723	1.333	50	50.04
3   10 holes	5.6	2.369	1.162	42	42.04
4   7 holes	3.6	1.899	0.935	32	32.03
5   5 holes	2.4	1.551	0.767	22	22.02

Notes:  $Z = \sqrt{dH(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}$ ,  $X = Z/m - b$ ,  $Y(\text{Corrected Flow}) = IC * \{\sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta)\}$

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m): 45.156      Intercept(b): -11.180      Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9961

Checked by:   
 Magnum Fan

Date: 17/05/2022

High-Volume TSP Sampler  
5-Point Calibration Record

Location : AM1(ICC)  
 Calibrated by : K.T.Ho  
 Date : 13/07/2022

Sampler

Model : TE-5170  
 Serial Number : S/N 0767

Calibration Orifice and Standard Calibration Relationship

Serial Number : 2454  
 Service Date : 27 December 2021  
 Slope (m) : 2.07035  
 Intercept (b) : -0.03737  
 Correlation Coefficient(r) : 0.99990

Standard Condition

Pstd (hpa) : 1013  
 Tstd (K) : 298.18

Calibration Condition

Pa (hpa) : 1006  
 Ta(K) : 306

Resistance Plate	dH [green liquid] (inch water)	Z	X=Qstd (cubic meter/min)	IC (chart)	Y (corrected)
1   18 holes	12.2	3.435	1.677	62	60.97
2   13 holes	8.8	2.917	1.427	52	51.14
3   10 holes	6.4	2.488	1.220	40	39.34
4   7 holes	4.0	1.967	0.968	30	29.50
5   5 holes	2.6	1.586	0.784	18	17.70

Notes:  $Z = \sqrt{dH(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)}$ ,  $X = Z/m - b$ ,  $Y(\text{Corrected Flow}) = IC * \{\sqrt{Pa/Pstd}(Tstd/Ta)\}$

Sampler Calibration Relationship

Slope(m): 47.995      Intercept(b): -18.594      Correlation Coefficient(r): 0.9969

Checked by:   
 Magnum Fan

Date: 17/07/2022



# Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information			
Cal. Date: December 27, 2021	Rootsmeter S/N: 438320	Ta: 295	°K
Operator: Jim Tisch		Pa: 740.4	mm Hg
Calibration Model #: TE-5025A	Calibrator S/N: <b>2454</b>		

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.4130	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	0.9970	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.8950	7.9	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8480	8.8	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7060	12.7	8.00

Data Tabulation					
Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis)
0.9799	0.6935	1.4029	0.9957	0.7047	0.8927
0.9756	0.9786	1.9841	0.9914	0.9943	1.2624
0.9736	1.0879	2.2183	0.9893	1.1054	1.4114
0.9724	1.1467	2.3265	0.9881	1.1652	1.4803
0.9673	1.3700	2.8059	0.9828	1.3921	1.7853
<b>QSTD</b>	<b>m=</b>	<b>2.07035</b>	<b>QA</b>	<b>m=</b>	<b>1.29642</b>
	<b>b=</b>	<b>-0.03737</b>		<b>b=</b>	<b>-0.02378</b>
	<b>r=</b>	<b>0.99990</b>		<b>r=</b>	<b>0.99990</b>

Calculations	
Vstd= $\Delta Vol \left( \frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)$	Va= $\Delta Vol \left( \frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pa} \right)$
Qstd= Vstd/ΔTime	Qa= Va/ΔTime
<b>For subsequent flow rate calculations:</b>	
Qstd= $1/m \left( \left( \sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$	Qa= $1/m \left( \left( \sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$

Standard Conditions	
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg
Key	
ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)	
ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)	
Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K)	
Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)	
b: intercept	
m: slope	

RECALIBRATION
US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30



## ALS Laboratory Group

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY & TESTING SERVICES

### SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT	: MR K.W. FAN	WORK ORDER	: <b>HK2153962</b>
CLIENT	: ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.		
ADDRESS	: RM113, 1/F, MY LOFT, 9 HOI WING ROAD, TUEN MUN, N.T. HONG KONG	SUB-BATCH	: 1
		DATE RECEIVED	: 31-DEC-2021
		DATE OF ISSUE	: 13-JAN-2022
PROJECT	: ---	NO. OF SAMPLES	: 1
		CLIENT ORDER	: ---

#### General Comments

- Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.
- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
- Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting.

#### Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd  
Part of the ALS Laboratory Group

11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong  
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax: +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

WORK ORDER : HK2153962  
SUB-BATCH : 1  
CLIENT : ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.  
PROJECT : ----



ALS Lab ID	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.
HK2153962-001	S/N: 831656	Equipments	31-Dec-2021	S/N: 831656

# Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

## Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
Manufacturer: Sibata LD-5R  
Serial No. 831656  
Equipment Ref: Nil  
Job Order HK2153962

## Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)  
Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
Last Calibration Date: 5 November 2021

## Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 7 January 2022

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in ug/m <sup>3</sup> (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/min)
2hr	11:55 ~ 13:55	18.6	1021.6	55.1	5760	48.3
2hr27min	14:23 ~ 16:50	18.6	1021.6	54.8	6913	47.3
2hr09min	16:50 ~ 18:59	18.6	1021.6	56.5	5506	42.7

## Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 1.1932 (µg/m<sup>3</sup>)/CPM


Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9921

Date of Issue 12 January 2022

## Remarks:

1. **Strong Correlation (R>0.8)**
2. Factor 1.1932 (µg/m<sup>3</sup>)/CPM should be applied for TSP monitoring

\*If R<0.5, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment



Operator : Martin Li Signature : [Signature] Date : 12 January 2022

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 12 January 2022

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung  
 Location ID : Calibration Room

Date of Calibration: 5-Nov-21  
 Next Calibration Date: 5-Feb-22

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) 1012.5  
 Temperature (°C) 25.6

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) 759.37  
 Temperature (K) 29

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH  
 Model-> 5025A  
 Calibration Date-> 19-Jan-21

Qstd Slope -> 2.10574  
 Qstd Intercept -> -0.00985  
 Expiry Date-> 18-Jan-22

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.2	6.2	12.4	1.675	52	51.93	Slope = 24.2092 Intercept = 10.8881 Corr. coeff. = 0.9959
13	5	5	10.0	1.504	48	47.93	
10	3.9	3.9	7.8	1.329	42	41.94	
8	2.5	2.5	5.0	1.065	36	35.95	
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.675	28	27.96	

**Calculations :**

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\sqrt{H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)}] - b$$

$$IC = I[\sqrt{P_a/P_{std}}(T_{std}/T_a)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\sqrt{(298/T_{av})(P_{av}/760)}] - b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



# Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information			
Cal. Date: January 19, 2021	Rootsmeter S/N: 438320	Ta: 294	°K
Operator: Jim Tisch		Pa: 755.1	mm Hg
Calibration Model #: TE-5025A	Calibrator S/N: 1941		

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.4830	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0420	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.9290	8.0	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8840	8.8	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7340	12.9	8.00

Data Tabulation					
Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis)
1.0029	0.6762	1.4192	0.9958	0.6715	0.8824
0.9986	0.9583	2.0071	0.9915	0.9516	1.2479
0.9965	1.0726	2.2440	0.9894	1.0650	1.3952
0.9954	1.1260	2.3535	0.9883	1.1180	1.4633
0.9899	1.3487	2.8385	0.9829	1.3391	1.7648
<b>QSTD</b>	m=	<b>2.10574</b>	<b>QA</b>	m=	<b>1.31858</b>
	b=	<b>-0.00985</b>		b=	<b>-0.00612</b>
	r=	<b>0.99992</b>		r=	<b>0.99992</b>

Calculations	
Vstd= $\Delta Vol \left( \frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)$	Va= $\Delta Vol \left( \frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pa} \right)$
Qstd= $Vstd / \Delta Time$	Qa= $Va / \Delta Time$
For subsequent flow rate calculations:	
Qstd= $1/m \left( \left( \sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$	Qa= $1/m \left( \left( \sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$

Standard Conditions	
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg
Key	
ΔH:	calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)
ΔP:	rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)
Ta:	actual absolute temperature (°K)
Pa:	actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)
b:	intercept
m:	slope

RECALIBRATION
US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30



### SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT	: MR K.W. FAN	WORK ORDER	: <b>HK2144583</b>
CLIENT	: ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.		
ADDRESS	: RM113, 1/F, MY LOFT, 9 HOI WING ROAD, TUEN MUN, N.T. HONG KONG	SUB-BATCH	: 1
		DATE RECEIVED	: 2-NOV-2021
		DATE OF ISSUE	: 11-NOV-2021
PROJECT	: ----	NO. OF SAMPLES	: 1
		CLIENT ORDER	: ----

#### General Comments

- Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.
- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
- Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting.

#### Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.  
All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd  
Part of the ALS Laboratory Group

11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong  
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax. +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

WORK ORDER : HK2144583  
SUB-BATCH : 1  
CLIENT : ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.  
PROJECT : ----



ALS Lab ID	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.
HK2144583-001	S/N: 245834	Equipments	02-Nov-2021	245834

## Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

### Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
Serial No. 245834  
Equipment Ref: Nil  
Job Order HK2144583

### Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)  
Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
Last Calibration Date: 5 November 2021


### Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 5 November 2021

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/min)
2hr01min	09:11 ~ 11:12	25.6	1012.5	51.2	4570	37.7
2hr01min	11:15 ~ 13:16	25.6	1012.5	47.8	3735	30.8
2hr02min	13:20 ~ 15:22	25.6	1012.5	50.4	4022	33.0

### Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 1.4416 ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )/CPM  
Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9927  
Date of Issue 8 November 2021



### Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ( $R > 0.8$ )
- Factor 1.4416 ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )/CPM should be applied for TSP monitoring

\*If  $R < 0.5$ , repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Fai So Signature :  Date : 8 November 2021

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature :  Date : 8 November 2021

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung Date of Calibration: 5-Nov-21  
 Location ID : Calibration Room Next Calibration Date: 5-Feb-22

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1012.5	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	759.375
Temperature (°C)	25.6	Temperature (K)	299

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.10574
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.00985
Calibration Date->	19-Jan-21	Expiry Date->	18-Jan-22

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION		
							Slope =	Intercept =	Corr. coeff. =
18	6.2	6.2	12.4	1.675	52	51.93	Slope = 24.2092 Intercept = 10.8881 Corr. coeff. = 0.9959		
13	5	5	10.0	1.504	48	47.93			
10	3.9	3.9	7.8	1.329	42	41.94			
8	2.5	2.5	5.0	1.065	36	35.95			
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.675	28	27.96			

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



# Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information			
Cal. Date: January 19, 2021	Rootsmeter S/N: 438320	Ta: 294	°K
Operator: Jim Tisch		Pa: 755.1	mm Hg
Calibration Model #: TE-5025A	Calibrator S/N: 1941		

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.4830	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0420	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.9290	8.0	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8840	8.8	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7340	12.9	8.00

Data Tabulation						
Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H (Ta/Pa)}$ (y-axis)	
1.0029	0.6762	1.4192	0.9958	0.6715	0.8824	
0.9986	0.9583	2.0071	0.9915	0.9516	1.2479	
0.9965	1.0726	2.2440	0.9894	1.0650	1.3952	
0.9954	1.1260	2.3535	0.9883	1.1180	1.4633	
0.9899	1.3487	2.8385	0.9829	1.3391	1.7648	
<b>QSTD</b>	<b>m=</b>	<b>2.10574</b>	<b>QA</b>	<b>m=</b>	<b>1.31858</b>	
	<b>b=</b>	<b>-0.00985</b>		<b>b=</b>	<b>-0.00612</b>	
	<b>r=</b>	<b>0.99992</b>		<b>r=</b>	<b>0.99992</b>	

Calculations			
<b>Vstd=</b>	$\Delta Vol((Pa-\Delta P)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)$	<b>Va=</b>	$\Delta Vol((Pa-\Delta P)/Pa)$
<b>Qstd=</b>	$Vstd/\Delta Time$	<b>Qa=</b>	$Va/\Delta Time$
<b>For subsequent flow rate calculations:</b>			
<b>Qstd=</b>	$1/m \left( \left( \sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$	<b>Qa=</b>	$1/m \left( \left( \sqrt{\Delta H (Ta/Pa)} \right) - b \right)$

Standard Conditions	
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg
Key	
ΔH:	calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)
ΔP:	rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)
Ta:	actual absolute temperature (°K)
Pa:	actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)
b:	intercept
m:	slope

RECALIBRATION
US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30



### SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT	: MR K.W. FAN	WORK ORDER	: <b>HK2141279</b>
CLIENT	: ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.		
ADDRESS	: RM113, 1/F, MY LOFT, 9 HOI WING ROAD, TUEN MUN, N.T. HONG KONG	SUB-BATCH	: 1
		DATE RECEIVED	: 11-OCT-2021
		DATE OF ISSUE	: 21-OCT-2021
PROJECT	: ---	NO. OF SAMPLES	: 1
		CLIENT ORDER	: ---

#### General Comments

- Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition. The result(s) related only to the item(s) tested.
- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
- Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting.

#### Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

WORK ORDER : HK2141279  
SUB-BATCH : 1  
CLIENT : ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.  
PROJECT : ----



ALS Lab ID	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.
HK2141279-001	S/N: 436553	Equipments	11-Oct-2021	S/N: 436553

## Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

### Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
Serial No. 436553  
Equipment Ref: Nil  
Job Order HK2141279

### Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)  
Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
Last Calibration Date: 2 August 2021


### Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 18 October 2021

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/min)
2hr01min	09:16 ~ 11:17	23.9	1018.3	40.5	2344	19.3
2hr01min	11:20 ~ 13:21	23.9	1018.3	44.4	2391	19.8
2hr	13:25 ~ 15:25	23.9	1018.3	48.0	2447	20.4

### Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 2.2416 ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )/CPM  
Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9956  
Date of Issue 20 October 2021



### Remarks:

1. **Strong Correlation** ( $R > 0.8$ )
2. Factor 2.2416 ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )/CPM should be applied for TSP monitoring

\*If  $R < 0.5$ , repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator: Fai So Signature: [Signature] Date: 20 October 2021

QC Reviewer: Ben Tam Signature: [Signature] Date: 20 October 2021

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung      Date of Calibration: 2-Aug-21  
 Location ID : Calibration Room      Next Calibration Date: 2-Nov-21

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	998.3	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	748.725
Temperature (°C)	30.0	Temperature (K)	303

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.10574
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.00985
Calibration Date->	19-Jan-21	Expiry Date->	18-Jan-22

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION		
							Slope =	Intercept =	Corr. coeff. =
18	6.4	6.4	12.8	1.677	50	49.22	30.5541	-0.5839	0.9906
13	5.3	5.3	10.6	1.527	48	47.25			
10	4.4	4.4	8.8	1.391	44	43.31			
8	2.6	2.6	5.2	1.071	31	30.51			
5	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.841	26	25.59			

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

- Qstd = standard flow rate
- IC = corrected chart responses
- I = actual chart response
- m = calibrator Qstd slope
- b = calibrator Qstd intercept
- Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )
- Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

- m = sampler slope
- b = sampler intercept
- I = chart response
- Tav = daily average temperature
- Pav = daily average pressure



# Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information			
Cal. Date: January 19, 2021	Rootsmeter S/N: 438320	Ta: 294	°K
Operator: Jim Tisch		Pa: 755.1	mm Hg
Calibration Model #: TE-5025A	Calibrator S/N: 1941		


Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.4830	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0420	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.9290	8.0	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8840	8.8	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7340	12.9	8.00

Data Tabulation					
Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H (Ta/Pa)}$ (y-axis)
1.0029	0.6762	1.4192	0.9958	0.6715	0.8824
0.9986	0.9583	2.0071	0.9915	0.9516	1.2479
0.9965	1.0726	2.2440	0.9894	1.0650	1.3952
0.9954	1.1260	2.3535	0.9883	1.1180	1.4633
0.9899	1.3487	2.8385	0.9829	1.3391	1.7648
<b>QSTD</b>	m=	<b>2.10574</b>	<b>QA</b>	m=	<b>1.31858</b>
	b=	<b>-0.00985</b>		b=	<b>-0.00612</b>
	r=	<b>0.99992</b>		r=	<b>0.99992</b>

Calculations	
Vstd= $\Delta Vol \left( \frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)$	Va= $\Delta Vol \left( \frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pa} \right)$
Qstd= $Vstd / \Delta Time$	Qa= $Va / \Delta Time$
For subsequent flow rate calculations:	
Qstd= $1/m \left( \left( \sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$	Qa= $1/m \left( \left( \sqrt{\Delta H (Ta/Pa)} \right) - b \right)$

Standard Conditions	
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg
Key	
ΔH:	calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)
ΔP:	rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)
Ta:	actual absolute temperature (°K)
Pa:	actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)
b:	intercept
m:	slope

RECALIBRATION
US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C216702  
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 ( Job No. / 序引編號 : IC21-2322 )      Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 9 November 2021

Description / 儀器名稱 : Sound Level Meter  
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Rion  
Model No. / 型號 : NL-52  
Serial No. / 編號 : 00710259  
Supplied By / 委託者 : Envirotech Services Co.  
Room 113, 1/F, My Loft, 9 Hoi Wing Road, Tuen Mun,  
New Territories, Hong Kong

### TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 :  $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$       Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :  $(50 \pm 25)\%$   
Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

### TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration


DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 20 November 2021

### TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.  
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification. (after adjustment)  
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By :   
測試 : H T Wong  
Assistant Engineer

Certified By :   
核證 : K/C Lee  
Engineer

Date of Issue : 22 November 2021  
簽發日期

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C216702  
證書編號

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
2. Self-calibration using the internal standard (After Adjustment) was performed before the test 6.1.1.2 to 6.3.2.
3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
4. Test equipment :

<u>Equipment ID</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Certificate No.</u>
CL280	40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator	C210084
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	AV210017

5. Test procedure : MA101N.

6. Results :

### 6.1 Sound Pressure Level

#### 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

##### 6.1.1.1 Before Adjustment

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	* 96.0	± 1.1

\* Out of IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec.

##### 6.1.1.2 After Adjustment

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	± 1.1

##### 6.1.2 Linearity

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)
				104.00		104.1
				114.00		114.1

IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.6 dB per 10 dB step and ± 1.1 dB for overall different.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C216702  
證書編號

### 6.2 Time Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	Ref. ± 0.3
			Slow			94.0	

### 6.3 Frequency Weighting

#### 6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	67.7	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.7	-16.1 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	85.3	-8.6 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	90.7	-3.2 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.2	+1.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	95.0	+1.0 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	92.9	-1.1 (+2.1 ; -3.1)
16 kHz	86.0	-6.6 (+3.5 ; -17.0)					

#### 6.3.2 C-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 130	L <sub>C</sub>	C	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	93.1	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	91.0	-3.0 (+2.1 ; -3.1)
16 kHz	84.1	-8.5 (+3.5 ; -17.0)					

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。



# Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No. : C216702  
證書編號

Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : UC-59 & S/N : 13748

- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 61672 Class 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value :

94 dB	: 63 Hz - 125 Hz	: ± 0.35 dB
	250 Hz - 500 Hz	: ± 0.30 dB
	1 kHz	: ± 0.20 dB
	2 kHz - 4 kHz	: ± 0.35 dB
	8 kHz	: ± 0.45 dB
	12.5 kHz	: ± 0.70 dB
104 dB	: 1 kHz	: ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
114 dB	: 1 kHz	: ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C217234

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC21-2432) Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 25 November 2021

Description / 儀器名稱 : Precision Acoustic Calibrator  
Manufacturer / 製造商 : LARSON DAVIS  
Model No. / 型號 : CAL200  
Serial No. / 編號 : 10227  
Supplied By / 委託者 : Envirotech Services Co.  
Room 113, 1/F, My Loft, 9 Hoi Wing Road, Tuen Mun,  
New Territories, Hong Kong

### TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 :  $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :  $(50 \pm 25)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

### TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 16 December 2021

### TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By

測試


:

  
K P Cheuk  
Project Engineer

Certified By

核證

:

  
K C Lee  
Engineer

Date of Issue

簽發日期

:

16 December 2021

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C217234

證書編號

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.
2. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
3. Test equipment :

<u>Equipment ID</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Certificate No.</u>
CL130	Universal Counter	C213954
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	AV210017
TST150A	Measuring Amplifier	C201309

4. Test procedure : MA100N.

5. Results :

### 5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	93.8	± 0.2
114 dB, 1 kHz	113.8	

### 5.2 Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (kHz)	Measured Value (kHz)	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)
1	1.000	± 1

Remark : The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.


The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

## **G. Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results**

**Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM1 (1-hour TSP)**

Date	Weather Condition	Time	Conc. ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )			Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
			1 <sup>st</sup> Hour	2 <sup>nd</sup> Hour	3 <sup>rd</sup> Hour		
04-Jul-22	Cloudy	8:22 - 11:22	21	19	22	273.7	500
08-Jul-22	Fine	8:22 - 11:22	19	21	27	273.7	500
14-Jul-22	Fine	8:20 - 11:20	32	28	29	273.7	500
20-Jul-22	Fine	8:32 - 11:32	54	30	31	273.7	500
26-Jul-22	Fine	8:23 - 11:23	31	29	26	273.7	500


### Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM1 (1-hour TSP)



**Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM2 (1-hour TSP)**

Date	Weather Condition	Time	Conc. ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )			Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
			1 <sup>st</sup> Hour	2 <sup>nd</sup> Hour	3 <sup>rd</sup> Hour		
04-Jul-22	Cloudy	8:37 - 11:37	25	24	29	274.2	500
08-Jul-22	Fine	8:36 - 11:36	31	28	33	274.2	500
14-Jul-22	Fine	8:34 - 11:34	43	29	35	274.2	500
20-Jul-22	Fine	8:46 - 11:46	53	46	49	274.2	500
26-Jul-22	Fine	8:38 - 11:38	32	39	35	274.2	500


### Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM2 (1-hour TSP)



**Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM1 (24-hour TSP)**

Start		Finish		Filter Weight (g)		Elapsed Time Reading		Sampling Time (hrs)	Flow Rate (m <sup>3</sup> /min)			Conc. (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Weather Condition	Action Level	Limit Level
Date	Time	Date	Time	Initial	Final	Initial	Final		Initial	Final	Average				
04-Jul-22	08:20	05-Jul-22	08:20	2.7627	2.7930	25160.38	25184.38	24	1.22	1.22	1.22	17	Cloudy	143.6	260
08-Jul-22	08:20	09-Jul-22	08:20	2.7574	2.7890	25184.38	25208.38	24	1.22	1.22	1.22	18	Fine	143.6	260
14-Jul-22	08:18	15-Jul-22	08:18	2.7518	2.7762	25208.38	25232.38	24	1.26	1.26	1.26	13	Fine	143.6	260
20-Jul-22	08:30	21-Jul-22	08:30	2.7293	2.7577	25232.38	25256.38	24	1.26	1.26	1.26	16	Fine	143.6	260
26-Jul-22	08:20	27-Jul-22	08:20	2.7162	2.7744	25256.38	25280.38	24	1.26	1.26	1.26	32	Fine	143.6	260


### Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM1 (24-hour TSP)



**Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM2 (24-hour TSP)**

Start		Finish		Sampling Time (hrs)	Conc. ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Weather Condition	Action Level	Limit Level
Date	Time	Date	Time					
04-Jul-22	08:34	05-Jul-22	08:34	24	20	Cloudy	151.1	260
08-Jul-22	08:34	09-Jul-22	08:34	24	23	Fine	151.1	260
14-Jul-22	08:32	15-Jul-22	08:32	24	25	Fine	151.1	260
20-Jul-22	08:44	21-Jul-22	08:44	24	21	Fine	151.1	260
26-Jul-22	08:35	27-Jul-22	08:35	24	36	Fine	151.1	260

### Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM2 (24-hour TSP)



**Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM1A**

Date	Time	Measured L <sub>10</sub> , dB(A)	Measured L <sub>90</sub> , dB(A)	L <sub>eq</sub> (30 min.)*, dB(A)
04-Jul-22	09:22	65.0	61.8	67
04-Jul-22	09:27	66.8	62.3	
04-Jul-22	09:32	66.2	62.4	
04-Jul-22	09:37	65.7	61.6	
04-Jul-22	09:42	65.6	61.7	
04-Jul-22	09:47	66.4	62.5	
14-Jul-22	09:19	65.0	61.2	67
14-Jul-22	09:24	64.2	60.4	
14-Jul-22	09:29	65.3	61.3	
14-Jul-22	09:34	66.8	62.5	
14-Jul-22	09:39	65.6	61.9	
14-Jul-22	09:44	65.2	61.7	
20-Jul-22	09:30	65.8	61.7	67
20-Jul-22	09:35	66.0	62.1	
20-Jul-22	09:40	66.2	62.6	
20-Jul-22	09:45	67.4	63.5	
20-Jul-22	09:50	66.5	62.6	
20-Jul-22	09:55	65.3	61.4	
26-Jul-22	09:22	65.3	61.3	66
26-Jul-22	09:27	64.0	60.4	
26-Jul-22	09:32	66.2	62.5	
26-Jul-22	09:37	64.6	60.9	
26-Jul-22	09:42	64.8	60.0	
26-Jul-22	09:47	65.9	61.2	


**Remarks:**

\* +3dB (A) correction was applied to free-field measurement.



The station set-up of a free-field measurement at Station NM1A.

### Graphical Presentation Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM1A




## **H. Meteorological Data Extracted from Hong Kong Observatory**


## Extract of Meteorological Observations for King's Park Automatic Weather Station

July 2022


Temperature/Humidity:




Pressure:




Wind Direction:



Wind Speed:




### Temperature/Humidity:




### Pressure:




### Wind Direction:




### Wind Speed:




## Temperature/Humidity:




## Pressure:




## Wind Direction:




## Wind Speed:




### Temperature/Humidity:




### Pressure:




### Wind Direction:




### Wind Speed:




## Temperature/Humidity:




## Pressure:



## Wind Direction:




## Wind Speed:




### Temperature/Humidity:




### Pressure:




### Wind Direction:




### Wind Speed:




### Temperature/Humidity:




### Pressure:




### Wind Direction:




### Wind Speed:




### Temperature/Humidity:




### Pressure:




### Wind Direction:




### Wind Speed:




## Temperature/Humidity:




## Pressure:



## Wind Direction:




## Wind Speed:




### Temperature/Humidity:




### Pressure:



### Wind Direction:



### Wind Speed:



## I. Waste Flow table

**Table I-1: Monthly Waste Flow Table for Lyric Theatre Complex**

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly							Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly					
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Disposed to Sorting Facility	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging	Plastics	Wood/ Timber	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. General Refuse
	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)
<b>2016</b>													
Mar	2702.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	2702.1	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.6
Apr	8631.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	8631.5	0.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.2
May	12487.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	12487.8	0.0	0.0	34.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	60.5
Jun	8600.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	8600.8	0.0	0.0	31.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.5	13.5
Jul	12624.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	12624.2	0.0	0.0	19.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	9.9
Aug	14419.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	14419.9	0.0	0.0	43.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1
Sep	13671.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	13671.3	0.0	0.0	59.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	12.4
Oct	13088.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	13088.9	0.0	0.0	36.9	0.2	1.5	0.0	0.0	15.2
Nov	12424.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	12424.7	0.0	0.0	74.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	10.2
Dec	12487.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	12487.6	0.0	0.0	13.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	9.0
Sub-total (2016)	111138.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	111138.8	0.0	0.0	334.5	0.4	1.5	0.0	7.6	191.6
<b>2017</b>													
Jan	9607.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	9607.8	0.0	0.0	29.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.3
Feb	9108.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	9108.2	0.0	0.0	50.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.7	9.8
Mar	11361.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	11361.7	0.0	0.0	16.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	8.5
Apr	2591.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	2591.5	0.0	0.0	35.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.7
May	2579.3	0.0	0.0	99.0	2480.3	0.0	0.0	20.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.5	10.0
Jun	476.0	0.0	0.0	341.0	129.7	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.6
Jul	3419.0	0.0	0.0	804.0	2615.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.8
Aug	3730.9	0.0	0.0	1377.5	2353.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.4
Sep	2108.2	0.0	0.0	1133.5	974.7	0.0	0.0	34.6	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.8
Oct	9159.0	0.0	0.0	7868.0	1291.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	9.3
Nov	5095.4	0.0	0.0	4352.0	725.2	18.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.8
Dec	3856.2	0.0	0.0	3076.0	780.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	8.4
Sub-total (2017)	63093.1	0.0	0.0	19051.0	44018.7	23.4	0.0	187.1	0.7	0.0	0.0	3.8	137.3

**Table I-1: Monthly Waste Flow Table for Lyric Theatre Complex**

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly							Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly					
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Disposed to Sorting Facility	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging	Plastics	Wood/ Timber	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. General Refuse
	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)
<b>2018</b>													
Jan	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Feb	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5
Mar	6120.2	0.0	0.0	5782.0	338.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.5	17.6
Apr	14460.3	0.0	0.0	12484.1	1976.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	7.6
May	59783.7	0.0	0.0	46989.0	12794.7	0.0	0.0	59.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.4
Jun	53117.5	0.0	0.0	37642.8	15474.7	0.0	0.0	51.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.8
Jul	89901.5	0.0	0.0	85317.1	4584.4	0.0	165.1	114.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.3
Aug	35137.3	0.0	0.0	33731.6	1405.7	0.0	214.3	148.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	48.5
Sep	4924.3	0.0	0.0	4641.2	196.1	87.0	174.6	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	179.2
Oct	19099.9	0.0	0.0	11301.0	7642.8	156.1	0.0	106.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	528.5
Nov	104168.0	0.0	0.0	79811.6	24351.0	5.3	0.0	54.5	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	31.5
Dec	62989.9	0.0	0.0	51284.4	11699.9	5.6	0.0	95.1	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	65.9
Sub-total (2018)	449702.6	0.0	0.0	368984.8	80463.7	254.0	553.9	669.7	0.5	2.4	0.0	0.5	943.7
<b>2019</b>													
Jan	74479.1	0.0	0.0	69249.5	5229.7	0.0	318.0	326.7	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.3
Feb	21969.9	0.0	0.0	17723.9	4246.0	0.0	16.5	55.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7
Mar	19311.9	0.0	0.0	8569.9	10742.0	0.0	337.8	61.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.3
Apr	28559.9	0.0	0.0	21280.3	7279.6	0.0	0.0	32.6	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	24.9
May	45418.0	0.0	0.0	11200.6	34217.4	0.0	0.0	27.4	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	33.7
Jun	66633.4	0.0	0.0	23874.5	42748.0	10.9	59.2	11.9	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	35.3
Jul	36619.6	0.0	0.0	1632.7	34960.9	26.0	64.4	120.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.9
Aug	2526.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	2499.0	27.8	31.9	40.2	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	66.3
Sep	4117.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	4088.7	28.9	95.2	19.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	127.4
Oct	6974.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	6948.1	26.1	15.9	11.4	0.2	1.0	0.0	0.6	223.6
Nov	5334.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	5304.1	30.3	0.0	8.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	151.6
Dec	6236.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	6236.8	0.0	0.0	70.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	98.9
Sub-total (2019)	318181.6	0.0	0.0	153531.3	164500.1	150.1	938.9	785.8	0.6	4.6	0.0	0.6	959.0

**Table I-1: Monthly Waste Flow Table for Lyric Theatre Complex**

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly							Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly					
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Disposed to Sorting Facility	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging	Plastics	Wood/ Timber	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. General Refuse
	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)
<b>2020</b>													
Jan	7089.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	7089.9	0.0	0.0	10.6	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	65.7
Feb	16822.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	16822.3	0.0	0.0	232.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.3
Mar	6559.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6559.0	0.0	110.4	63.1	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	138.3
Apr	4997.9	0.0	0.0	1615.7	3382.2	0.0	159.2	1123.9	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	113.2
May	2236.0	0.0	0.0	452.3	1783.6	0.0	0.0	406.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	188.8
Jun	1134.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1134.3	0.0	31.5	262.6	0.2	0.6	0.0	0.0	210.6
Jul	148.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	148.8	0.0	31.5	458.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	220.0
Aug	540.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	540.7	0.0	0.0	340.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	238.3
Sep	1432.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1432.3	0.0	0.0	750.7	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	291.9
Oct	1381.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1381.5	0.0	0.0	717.9	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	400.2
Nov	1444.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1437.4	6.7	475.8	473.6	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	377.8
Dec	793.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	793.8	0.0	0.0	478.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	435.8
Sub-total (2020)	44580.6	0.0	0.0	2068.1	42505.8	6.7	808.3	5318.7	3.7	2.0	0.0	0.0	2746.8
<b>2021</b>													
Jan	881.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	881.4	0.0	0.0	835.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	497.0
Feb	544.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	544.7	0.0	0.0	100.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	504.7
Mar	406.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	406.1	0.0	0.0	455.8	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	881.7
Apr	633.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	633.0	0.0	0.0	429.9	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	613.0
May	1125.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1125.8	0.0	0.0	355.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	355.2
Jun	877.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	877.3	0.0	0.0	98.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	420.3
Jul	8.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.9	0.0	43.9	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	278.2
Aug	1296.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1296.2	0.0	0.0	161.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	459.1
Sep	1040.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	490.9	549.6	0.0	62.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	620.8
Oct	311.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	311.0	0.0	0.0	85.9	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	485.6
Nov	203.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	203.9	0.0	0.0	65.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	609.6
Dec	576.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	576.6	0.0	0.0	13.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	590.6
Sub-total (2021)	7905.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	7346.9	558.5	0.0	2708.2	4.4	0.1	0.0	0.4	6315.9

**Table I-1: Monthly Waste Flow Table for Lyric Theatre Complex**

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly							Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly					
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Disposed to Sorting Facility	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging	Plastics	Wood/ Timber	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. General Refuse
	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)
<b>2022</b>													
Jan	579.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	579.3	0.0	0.0	23.5	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	565.5
Feb	58.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	172.2
Mar	412.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	412.8	0.0	0.0	12.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	339.8
Apr	390.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	390.2	0.0	0.0	24.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	390.9
May	350.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	342.9	7.2	0.0	44.3	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	401.9
Jun	200.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	200.4	0.0	0.0	21.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	447.8
Jul	166.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	166.8	0.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	343.9
Sub-total (2022)	2158.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	2151.3	7.2	0.0	132.4	1.0	0.1	0.0	1.8	2662.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>996760.4</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>543635.2</b>	<b>452125.3</b>	<b>999.9</b>	<b>2301.1</b>	<b>10136.2</b>	<b>11.3</b>	<b>10.6</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>14.7</b>	<b>13956.3</b>

Note:

- 144.93 tonnes, 21.82 tonnes and 0.0 tonne of inert C&D materials were disposed of as public fill to Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Public Fill, Tuen Mun Area 38 Public Fill and Chai Wan Public Fill Barging Point respectively in the reporting month.

## **J. Environmental Mitigation Measures – Implementation Status**



EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Implementation Stage
	<p><i>Transport of Dusty Materials</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Vehicle used for transporting dusty materials/spoils should be covered with tarpaulin or similar material. The cover should extend over the edges of the sides and tailboards.</li> </ul> <p><i>Wheel washing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Vehicle wheel washing facilities should be provided at each construction site exit. Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels.</li> </ul> <p><i>Use of vehicles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The speed of the trucks within the site should be controlled to about 10km/hour in order to reduce adverse dust impacts and secure the safe movement around the site.</li> <li>Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels.</li> <li>Where a vehicle leaving the construction site is carrying a load of dusty materials, the load should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle.</li> </ul> <p><i>Site hoarding</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where a site boundary adjoins a road, street, service lane or other area accessible to the public, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high from ground level should be provided along the entire length of that portion of the site boundary except for a site entrance or exit.</li> </ul>	<p>L2</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p>
2.1 & 10.3.1	<p><b>Best Practicable Means for Cement Works (Concrete Batching Plant)</b></p> <p>The relevant best practices for dust control as stipulated in the Guidance Note on the Best Practicable Means for Cement Works (Concrete Batching Plant) BPM 3/2(93) should be followed and implemented to further reduce the construction dust impacts of the Project. These best practices include:</p> <p>Exhaust from Dust Arrestment Plant</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Wherever possible the final discharge point from particulate matter arrestment plant, where is not necessary to achieve dispersion from residual pollutants, should be at low level to minimise the effect on the local community in the case of abnormal emissions and to facilitate maintenance and inspection</li> </ul> <p>Emission Limits</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All emissions to air, other than steam or water vapour, shall be colourless and free from persistent mist or smoke</li> </ul> <p>Engineering Design/Technical Requirements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As a general guidance, the loading, unloading, handling and storage of fuel, raw materials, products, wastes or by-products should be carried out in a manner so as to prevent the release of visible dust and/or other noxious or offensive emissions</li> </ul>	<p>N/A</p> <p>No concrete batching plant in this project.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>No concrete batching plant in this project.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>No concrete batching plant in this project.</p>

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Implementation Stage
	<b>Non-Road Mobile Machinery (NRMM):</b> All NRMMs operating on-site which are subject to emission control of Air Pollution Control (Non-road Mobile Machinery) (Emission) Regulation are approved/exempted (as the case may be) and affixed with the requisite approval/exemption labels.	L2 ✓
<b>Noise Impact (Construction)</b>		
3.1 & 10.4.1	<b>Good Site Practice</b> Good site practice and noise management can significantly reduce the impact of construction site activities on nearby NSRs. The following package of measures should be followed during each phase of construction: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• only well-maintained plant to be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction works;</li> <li>• machines and plant that may be in intermittent use to be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum</li> <li>• plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, should, where possible, be orientated to direct noise away from the NSRs;</li> <li>• mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible; and</li> <li>• material stockpiles and other structures to be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities.</li> </ul>	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓
3.1 & 10.4.1	<b>Adoption of Quieter PME</b> The recommended quieter PME adopted in the assessment were taken from the EPD's QPME Inventory and " <i>Sound Power Levels of Other Commonly Used PME</i> " are presented in <b>Table 4.26</b> in the EIA report. It should be noted that the silenced PME selected for assessment can be found in Hong Kong.	✓
3.1 & 10.4.1	<b>Use of Movable Noise Barriers</b> Movable noise barriers can be very effective in screening noise from particular items of plant when constructing the Project. Noise barriers located along the active works area close to the noise generating component of a PME could produce at least 10 dB(A) screening for stationary plant and 5 dB(A) for mobile plant provided the direct line of sight between the PME and the NSRs is blocked.	✓
3.1 & 10.4.1	<b>Use of Noise Enclosure/ Acoustic Shed</b> The use of noise enclosure or acoustic shed is to cover stationary PME such as air compressor and concrete pump. With the adoption of the noise enclosure, the PME could be completely screened, and noise reduction of 15 dB(A) can be achieved according to the EIAO Guidance Note No. 9/2010.	✓
3.1 & 10.4.1	<b>Use of Noise Insulating Fabric</b> Noise insulating fabric can also be adopted for certain PME (e.g. drill rig, piling machine etc). The fabric should be lapped such that there are no openings or gaps on the joints. According to the approved Tsim Sha Tsui Station Northern Subway EIA report (AEIAR-127/2008), a noise reduction of 10 dB(A) can be achieved for the PME lapped with the noise insulating fabric.	✓

Implementation Stage

EM&A Ref. Recommendation Measures

L2

3.1 & 10.4.1	<p><b>Scheduling of Construction Works outside School Examination Periods</b></p> <p>During construction phase, the contractor should liaise with the educational institutions (including NSRs LCS and CRGPS) to obtain the examination schedule and avoid the noisy construction activities during school examination periods.</p>	<p>N/A</p> <p>No educational institutions nearby the site.</p>
--------------	---	--

**Water Quality Impact (Construction)**

4.1 & 10.5.1	<p><b>Construction site runoff and drainage</b></p> <p>The site practices outlined in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be followed as far as practicable in order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. The following measures are recommended to protect water quality and sensitive uses of the coastal area, and when properly implemented should be sufficient to adequately control site discharges so as to avoid water quality impacts:</p>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels, earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct storm water to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary on-site drainage system should be undertaken by the WKCDA's Contractor prior to the commencement of construction;</li> </ul>	✓
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM standards under the WPCO. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps should be undertaken by the WKCDA's Contractor prior to the commencement of construction.</li> </ul>	✓
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly during rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be regularly removed, at the onset of and after each rainstorm to ensure that these facilities are functioning properly at all times.</li> </ul>	Obs
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities.</li> </ul>	✓
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing facility should be provided at construction site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed regularly to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains.</li> </ul>	✓
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system.</li> </ul>	✓
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and stormwater runoff being directed into foul sewers.</li> </ul>	✓

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Implementation Stage
		L2
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Precautions should be taken at any time of the year when rainstorms are likely. Actions should be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarized in Appendix A2 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes.</li> </ul>	✓
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bentonite slurries used in piling or slurry walling should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Temporary enclosed storage locations should be provided on-site for any unused bentonite that needs to be transported away after all the related construction activities are completed. The requirements in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries.</li> </ul>	N/A No bentonite slurries are used in this project.
	<p><b>Barging facilities and activities</b></p> <p>Recommendations for good site practices during operation of the proposed barging point include:</p>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All vessels should be sized so that adequate clearance is maintained between vessels and the seabed in all tide conditions, to ensure that undue turbidity is not generated by turbulence from vessel movement or propeller wash;</li> </ul>	N/A No barging facilities in this project.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Loading of barges and hoppers should be controlled to prevent splashing of material into the surrounding water. Barges or hoppers should not be filled to a level that will cause the overflow of materials or polluted water during loading or transportation;</li> </ul>	N/A No barging facilities in this project.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All hopper barges should be fitted with tight fitting seals to their bottom openings to prevent leakage of material; and</li> </ul>	N/A No barging facilities in this project.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Construction activities should not cause foam, oil, grease, scum, litter or other objectionable matter to be present on the water within the site.</li> </ul>	N/A No barging facilities in this project.
4.1 & 10.5.1	<p><b>Sewage effluent from construction workforce</b></p> <p>Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site where necessary to handle sewage from the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance.</p>	✓
4.1 & 10.5.1	<p><b>General construction activities</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Construction solid waste, debris and refuse generated on-site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering any nearby storm water drain. Stockpiles of cement and other construction materials should be kept covered when not being used.</li> <li>Oils and fuels should only be stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. To prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to any nearby storm water drain, all fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. The bund should be drained of rainwater after a rain event.</li> </ul>	✓
		Obs

## EM&amp;A Ref. Recommendation Measures

L2

**Waste Management Implications (Construction)**

6.1 & 10.7.1	<p><b>Good Site Practices</b></p> <p>Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site</li> <li>• Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical handling procedures</li> <li>• Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection of waste</li> <li>• Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust/odour during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers</li> <li>• Provision of wheel washing facilities before the trucks leaving the works area so as to minimise dust introduction to public roads</li> <li>• Well planned delivery programme for offsite disposal such that adverse environmental impact from transporting the inert or non-inert C&amp;D materials is not anticipated</li> </ul>	<p>Obs</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p>
6.1 & 10.7.1	<p><b>Waste Reduction Measures</b></p> <p>Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sort inert C&amp;D material to recover any recyclable portions such as metals</li> <li>• Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal</li> <li>• Encourage collection of recyclable waste such as waste paper and aluminium cans by providing separate labelled bins to enable such waste to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force</li> <li>• Proper site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of inert C&amp;D materials</li> <li>• Plan the use of construction materials carefully to minimise amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of wastes</li> </ul>	<p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p>
6.1 & 10.7.1	<p><b>Inert and Non-inert C&amp;D Materials</b></p> <p>In order to minimise impacts resulting from collection and transportation of inert C&amp;D material for off-site disposal, the excavated materials should be reused on-site as fill material as far as practicable. In addition, inert C&amp;D material generated from excavation works could be reused as fill materials in local projects that require public fill for reclamation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The surplus inert C&amp;D material will be disposed of at the Government's PFRFs for beneficial use by other projects in Hong Kong.</li> <li>• Liaison with the CEDD Public Fill Committee (PFC) on the allocation of space for disposal of the inert C&amp;D materials at PFRF is underway. No construction work is allowed to proceed until all issues on management of inert C&amp;D materials have been resolved and all relevant arrangements have been endorsed by the relevant authorities including PFC and EPD.</li> <li>• The C&amp;D materials generated from general site clearance should be sorted on site to segregate any inert materials for reuse or disposal of at PFRFs whereas the non-inert materials will be disposed of at the designated landfill site.</li> </ul>	<p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p>

Implementation Stage

EM&A Ref. Recommendation Measures

L2

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In order to monitor the disposal of inert and non-inert C&amp;D materials at respectively PFRFs and the designated landfill site, and to control fly-tipping, it is recommended that the Contractor should follow the Technical Circular (Works) No. 6/2010 for Trip Ticket System for Disposal of Construction &amp; Demolition Materials issued by Development Bureau. In addition, it is also recommended that the Contractor should prepare and implement a Waste Management Plan detailing their various waste arising and waste management practices in accordance with the relevant requirements of the Technical Circular (Works) No. 19/2005 Environmental Management on Construction Site.</li> </ul>	✓
6.1 & 10.7.1	<p><b>Chemical Waste</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If chemical wastes are produced at the construction site, the Contractor will be required to register with the EPD as a chemical waste producer and to follow the guidelines stated in the “Code of Practice on the Packaging Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes”. Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical waste, such as explosive, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful, corrosive, etc. The Contractor should use a licensed collector to transport and dispose of the chemical wastes at the approved Chemical Waste Treatment Centre or other licensed recycling facilities, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.</li> <li>Potential environmental impacts arising from the handling activities (including storage, collection, transportation and disposal of chemical waste) are expected to be minimal with the implementation of appropriate mitigation measures as recommended.</li> </ul>	✓
6.1 & 10.7.1	<p><b>General Refuse</b></p> <p>General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separated from inert C&amp;D materials. A reputable waste collector should be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from inert C&amp;D materials. Preferably an enclosed and covered area should be provided to reduce the occurrence of ‘wind blown’ light material.</p>	✓

**Land Contamination (Construction)**

7.1 & 10.8.1	<p>The potential for land contamination issues at the TST Fire Station due to its future relocation will be confirmed by site investigation after land acquisition. Where necessary, mitigation measures for minimising potential exposure to contaminated materials (if any) or remediation measures will be identified. If contaminated land is identified (e.g., during decommissioning of fuel oil storage tanks) after the commencement of works, mitigation measures are proposed in order to minimise the potentially adverse effects on the health and safety of construction workers and impacts arising from the disposal of potentially contaminated materials.</p> <p>The following measures are proposed for excavation and transportation of contaminated material:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To minimize the chance for construction workers to come into contact with any contaminated materials, bulk earth-moving excavation equipment should be employed;</li> </ul>	<p>N/A</p> <p>TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p>
--------------	--	--

Implementation Stage

L2

EM&A Ref. Recommendation Measures

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Contact with contaminated materials can be minimised by wearing appropriate clothing and personal protective equipment such as gloves and masks (especially when interacting directly with contaminated material), provision of washing facilities and prohibition of smoking and eating on site;</li> </ul>	<p>N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stockpiling of contaminated excavated materials on site should be avoided as far as possible;</li> </ul>	<p>N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The use of contaminated soil for landscaping purpose should be avoided unless pre-treatment was carried out;</li> </ul>	<p>N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Vehicles containing any contaminated excavated materials should be suitably covered to reduce dust emissions and/or release of contaminated wastewater;</li> </ul>	<p>N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Truck bodies and tailgates should be sealed to stop any discharge;</li> </ul>	<p>N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Only licensed waste haulers should be used to collect and transport contaminated material to treatment/disposal site and should be equipped with tracking system to avoid fly tipping;</li> </ul>	<p>N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Speed control for trucks carrying contaminated materials should be exercised;</li> </ul>	<p>N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Observe all relevant regulations in relation to waste handling, such as Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354), Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation (Cap. 354) and obtain all necessary permits where required; and</li> </ul>	<p>N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p>

EM&A Ref. Recommendation Measures		Implementation Stage
		L2
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Maintain records of waste generation and disposal quantities and disposal arrangements.</li> </ul>	N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.
<b>Ecological Impact (Construction)</b>		
No mitigation measure is required.		
<b>Landscape and Visual Impact (Construction)</b>		
Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM1)	Trees should be retained in situ on site as far as possible. Should tree removal be unavoidable due to construction impacts, trees will be transplanted or felled with reference to the stated criteria in the Tree Removal Applications to be submitted to relevant government departments for approval in accordance to ETWB TCW No. 29/2004 and 3/2006.	✓
Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM2)	Compensatory tree planting shall be incorporated to the proposed project and maximize the new tree, shrubs and other vegetation planting to compensate tree felled and vegetation removed. Also, implementation of compensatory planting should be of a ratio not less than 1:1 in terms of quality and quantity within the site.	N/A Compensatory tree planting is being reviewed.
Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM3)	Buffer trees for screening purposes to soften the hard architectural and engineering structures and facilities.	N/A Roof garden is designed to be built, but it has not been completed yet.
Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM4)	Softscape treatments such as vertical green wall panel /planting of climbing and/or weeping plants, etc, to maximize the green coverage and soften the hard architectural and engineering structures and facilities.	N/A Climbing or weeping plants are designed to be planted, but proposal is being reviewed for the planting location.
Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM5)	Roof greening by means of intensive and extensive green roof to maximize the green coverage and improve aesthetic appeal and visual quality of the building/structure.	N/A Roof garden is designed to be built, but it has not been completed yet.
Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM6)	Sensitive streetscape design should be incorporated along all new roads and streets.	N/A Greening along the seafront is proposed, but it has not been completed yet.
Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM7)	Structure, ornamental planting shall be provided along amenity strips to enhance the landscape quality.	N/A Gardens are designed to be built, but it has not been completed yet.

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Implementation Stage
		L2
Table 9.1 & 10.8 (CM8)	Landscape design shall be incorporated to architectural and engineering structures in order to provide aesthetically pleasing designs.	N/A Roof garden is designed to be built, but it has not been completed yet.
Table 9.1 (CM9)	Minimize the structure of marine facilities to be built on the seabed and foreshore in order to minimize the affected extent to the waterbody	N/A No marine facilities for this project.
Table 9.2 & 10.9 (MCP1)	Use of decorative screen hoarding/boards	✓
Table 9.2 & 10.9 (MCP2)	Early introduction of landscape treatments	N/A No landscape treatments during this stage.
Table 9.2 & 10.9 (MCP3)	Adoption of light colour for the temporary ventilation shafts for the basement during the transition period.	N/A No ventilation shafts for this project.
Table 9.2 & 10.9 (MCP4)	Control of night time lighting	✓
Table 9.2 & 10.9 (MCP5)	Use of greenery such as grass cover for the temporary open areas will help achieve the visual balance and soften the hard edges of the structures.	N/A No temporary open areas for this project.

- N/A - Not Applicable
- ✓ - Implemented
- Obs - Observed
- Rem - Reminder

## **K. Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions**

Cumulative statistics for complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions for the Project account for period starting from the date of commencement of construction works to the end of the reporting month are summarised in the **Table K-1** below respectively.

**Table K-1: Statistics for complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions for Lyric Theatre Complex**

Reporting Period	Cumulative Statistics		
	Complaints	Notifications of summons	Successful prosecutions
This reporting month	4	0	0
From 1 March 2016 to end of the reporting month (July 2022)	48	0	0

**END OF PART-1**

# **Part-2: EM&A for Foundation and ELS Works in Zones 2A, 2B & 2C**



# Foundation and ELS Works in Zones 2A, 2B & 2C

**APEX TESTING & CERTIFICATION LIMITED**  
Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Plaza, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T.  
Hong Kong  
Tel: (852) 39733585 Fax: (852) 30079385  
Email: [info@apextestcert.com](mailto:info@apextestcert.com)

The information supplied and contained within this report is, to the best of our knowledge, correct at time of printing

# Contents

Executive summary	1
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 Background	3
1.2 Project Organisation	3
1.3 Construction Works Status in the Reporting Period	3
1.4 Summary of EM&A Requirements and Alternative Monitoring Locations	4
1.4.1 EM&A Requirements	4
1.4.2 Alternative Monitoring Locations	5
<b>2 Impact Monitoring Methodology</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Air Quality	7
2.2.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	7
2.2.2 Monitoring Locations	7
2.2.3 Monitoring Equipment	7
2.2.4 Monitoring Methodology	8
2.3 Noise	10
2.3.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	10
2.3.2 Monitoring Location	10
2.3.3 Monitoring Equipment	10
2.3.4 Monitoring Methodology	11
2.4 Landscape and Visual	11
2.4.1 Monitoring Program	11
<b>3 Monitoring Results</b>	<b>12</b>
3.1 Impact Monitoring	12
3.2 Air Quality Monitoring	12
3.2.1 1-hour TSP	12
3.2.2 24-hour TSP	12
3.3 Noise Monitoring	13
3.4 Landscape and Visual Impact	14
<b>4 Site Environmental Management</b>	<b>15</b>
4.1 Site Inspection	15
4.1.1 Zone 2A	15
4.1.2 Zone 2B & 2C	15
4.2 Advice on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status	17
4.2.1 Zone 2A	18
4.2.2 Zone 2B & 2C	18

4.3	Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits	18
4.3.1	Zone 2A	18
4.3.2	Zone 2B & 2C	19
4.4	Recommended Mitigation Measures	19
4.4.1	Zone 2A	19
4.4.2	Zone 2B & 2C	19
<b>5</b>	<b>Compliance with Environmental Permit</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Report in Non-compliance, Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions</b>	<b>22</b>
6.1	Record on Non-compliance of Action and Limit Levels	22
6.2	Record on Environmental Complaints Received	22
6.3	Record on Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution	23
<b>7</b>	<b>Future Key Issues</b>	<b>24</b>
7.1	Construction Works for the Coming Month(s)	24
7.2	Key Issues for the Coming Month	24
7.2.1	Zone 2A	24
7.2.2	Zone 2B & 2C	24
7.3	Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month	25
<b>8</b>	<b>Conclusions and Recommendations</b>	<b>26</b>
8.1	Conclusions	26
8.2	Recommendations	26
<b>Figure 1</b>	<b>Site Layout Plan and Monitoring Stations</b>	<b>27</b>
	<b>Appendices</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>A.</b>	<b>Project Organisation</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>B.</b>	<b>Tentative Construction Programme</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>C.</b>	<b>Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>D.</b>	<b>Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Landscape and Visual Impact</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>E.</b>	<b>Monitoring Schedule</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>F.</b>	<b>Calibration Certifications</b>	<b>34</b>

G.	Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results	35
H.	Meteorological Data Extracted from Hong Kong Observatory	36
I.	Waste Flow table	37
J.	Environmental Mitigation Measures – Implementation Status	38
K.	Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions	39

# Executive summary

Apex Testing & Certification Limited (Apex) was commissioned to undertake the Environmental Team (ET) services (including environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A)) for the construction activities in Zone 2A, consisting of Foundation, Excavation and Lateral Support Works for Integrated Basement and Underground Road (Contract No.: GW/2020/05/073); and Zone 2B & 2C consisting of Piling Works for Integrated Basement and Underground Road (Contract No.: CC/2020/2B/088) at WKCD. The major construction works and EM&A programme for Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C commenced on 03 October 2020 and 30 September 2021 respectively.

The Project Proponent is the West Kowloon Cultural District Authority (WKCDA). The overall works for the WKCD fall under two separate categories of Designated Project (DP) of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO), namely an “engineering feasibility study of urban development projects with a study area covering more than 20 ha or involving a total population of more than 100 000” (Item 1 of Schedule 3) and “an underpass more than 100m in length under the built areas” (Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2). An Environmental Permit No. EP-453/2013/B (EP) was issued with respect to the “Underpass Road and Austin Road Flyover Serving the West Kowloon Cultural District” which specifically includes the abovementioned category of DP under Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2 of the EIAO.

This Monthly EM&A Report presents the monitoring works at Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C from 01 to 31 July 2022.

## **Exceedance of Action and Limit Levels**

There was no breach of Action or Limit levels for Air Quality (1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP) and Construction Noise in this reporting month.

## **Implementation of Mitigation Measures**

Construction phase weekly site inspections were carried out on 07, 14, 21 and 28 July 2022 for Foundation, Excavation and Lateral Support Works in Zone 2A and on 05, 12, 20 and 27 July 2022 for Piling Works in Zone 2B & 2C to confirm the implementation measures undertaken by the Contractors in the reporting month. The outcomes are presented in Section 4 and the status of implementation of mitigation measures in the site is shown in **Appendix J**.

Landscape and visual impact inspections were conducted as part of the abovementioned weekly site inspections during the reporting month. No adverse comment on landscape and visual aspects was made during these inspections.

## **Record of Complaints**

Four environmental complaints were recorded in the reporting month.

## **Record of Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions**

No notifications of summons and successful prosecutions were recorded in the reporting month.

## **Future Key Issues**

The major site works for Zone 2A scheduled to be commissioned in the coming month include:  
Zone 2A-1

- ELS (Stage 1) – Grouting / Pipe Pile Works
  - Stage 2 Grouting

The major site works for Zone 2B & 2C scheduled to be commissioned in the coming month include:

KD03 (Stage 3-1)

- Bored Pile Works
  - RCD Drilling and Excavation

KD04 (Stage 4-1), KD05 (Section 1), KD06 (Section 2), KD07 (Section 3)

- Bored Pile Works
  - RCD Drilling, Airlifting, Cage Installation & Concreting and Excavation

KD08 (Section 4), KD09 (Section 5)

- Bored Pile Works
  - RCD Drilling, Airlifting, Cage Installation & Concreting and Excavation
- Socketed Steel H Piling

Potential environmental impacts due to the construction activities, including air, noise, water quality, waste, landscape and visual, will be monitored or reviewed. The recommended environmental mitigation measures shall be implemented on site and regular inspections as required will be carried out to ensure that the environmental conditions are acceptable.

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Background

Apex Testing & Certification Limited (Apex) was commissioned to undertake the Environmental Team (ET) services (including environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A)) for the construction activities in Zone 2A, consisting of Foundation, Excavation and Lateral Support Works for Integrated Basement and Underground Road (Contract No.: GW/2020/05/073) ; and Zone 2B & 2C consisting of Piling Works for Integrated Basement and Underground Road (Contract No.: CC/2020/2B/088) at WKCD. The purpose of the development in Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C is to reserve for Integrated Basement (IB) and Underground Road (UR). The Zone 2A construction activities involve the foundation, excavation and lateral support (ELS) works, road works, drainage diversion works, and temporary car parking. The Zone 2B & 2C construction activities involve the piling works. The major construction works and EM&A programme for Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C commenced on 03 October 2020 and 30 September 2021 respectively.

The overall works for the WKCD fall under two separate categories of Designated Project (DP) of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO), namely an “engineering feasibility study of urban development projects with a study area covering more than 20 ha or involving a total population of more than 100 000” (Item 1 of Schedule 3) and “an underpass more than 100m in length under the built areas” (Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2). An Environmental Permit No. EP-453/2013/B (EP) was issued with respect to the “Underpass Road and Austin Road Flyover Serving the West Kowloon Cultural District” which specifically includes the abovementioned category of DP under Item A.9, Part I, Schedule 2 of the EIAO. The captioned projects include part of the abovementioned underpass road located within the site boundary falls under this same category.

The Monthly EM&A Report is prepared in accordance with the Condition 3.4 of the Environmental Permit No. EP-453/2013/B. This Monthly EM&A Report presents the monitoring works at Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C from 01 to 31 July 2022. The purpose of this report is to summarise the findings in the EM&A of the project over the reporting period.

## 1.2 Project Organisation

The organisation chart and lines of communication with respect to the on-site environmental management structure together with the contact information of the key personnel are shown in **Appendix A**.

## 1.3 Construction Works Status in the Reporting Period

During the reporting period, construction works at Zone 2A undertaken include:

Zone 2A-1

- ELS (Stage 1) – Grouting / Pipe Pile Works
  - Stage 2 Grouting

Zone 2A-2

- Pumping Test
  - Baseline Monitoring
  - Pumping Test

During the reporting period, construction works at Zone 2B & 2C undertaken include:

KD03 (Stage 3-1), KD06 (Section 2)

- Bored Pile Works
  - RCD Drilling, Airlifting, Cage Installation & Concreting and Excavation

KD04 (Stage 4-1)

- Bored Pile Works
  - RCD Drilling and Excavation

KD05 (Section 1), KD07 (Section 3)

- Predrilling
- Bored Pile Works
  - RCD Drilling, Airlifting, Cage Installation & Concreting and Excavation

KD08 (Section 4)

- Bored Pile Works
  - RCD Drilling, Airlifting, Cage Installation & Concreting and Excavation
- Socketed Steel H Piling

KD09 (Section 5)

- Predrilling
- Bored Pile Works
  - RCD Drilling, Airlifting, Cage Installation & Concreting and Excavation
- Socketed Steel H Piling

The Construction Works Programme of Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C is provided in **Appendix B**. A layout plan of the Project is provided in **Figure 1**. Please refer to **Table 4.3** and **Table 4.4** on the status of the environmental licenses.

## 1.4 Summary of EM&A Requirements and Alternative Monitoring Locations

### 1.4.1 EM&A Requirements

The EM&A programme requires environmental monitoring of air quality, noise, landscape and visual as specified in the approved EM&A Manual.

A summary of impact EM&A requirements is presented in **Table 1.1**.

**Table 1.1: Summary of Impact EM&A Requirements**

Parameters	Descriptions	Locations	Frequencies
Air Quality	24-Hours TSP	AM3-The Victoria Towers Tower 1	At least once every 6 days
	1-Hour TSP	AM3-The Victoria Towers Tower 1	At least 3 times every 6 days
	24-Hours TSP	AM4-Canton Road Government Primary School	At least once every 6 days

	1-Hour TSP	AM4-Canton Road Government Primary School	At least 3 times every 6 days
	24-Hours TSP	AM5-Topside Developments at West Kowloon Terminus Site	At least once every 6 days
	1-Hour TSP	AM5-Topside Developments at West Kowloon Terminus Site	At least 3 times every 6 days
Noise	Leq, 30 minutes	NM2-The Arch, Sun Tower	Weekly
	Leq, 30 minutes	NM3-The Victoria Towers Tower 1	Weekly
	Leq, 30 minutes	NM4-Canton Road Government Primary School	Weekly
	Leq, 30 minutes	NM5-Development next to Austin Station	Weekly
Landscape & Visual	Monitor implementation of proposed mitigation measures during the construction stage	As described in Table 9.1 and 9.2 of the EM&A Manual	Bi-Weekly

### 1.4.2 Alternative Monitoring Locations

The EM&A programme for the Project should require 5 noise monitoring station and 5 air quality monitoring stations located closest to the Project area. With regard to the monitoring activities at M+ Museum and the Lyric Complex, three monitoring stations had been considered, including AM1 (International Commerce Centre), AM2 (The Harbourside Tower 1) for air monitoring, and NM1 (The Harbourside Tower 1) for noise monitoring.

In the context of the construction activities in Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C, all other monitoring locations including AM3 (The Victoria Towers Tower 1), AM4 (Canton Road Government Primary School), and AM5 (Topside Developments at West Kowloon Terminus Site) for air monitoring; and NM2 (The Arch, Sun Tower), NM3 (The Victoria Towers Tower 1), NM4 (Canton Road Government Primary School) and NM5 (Development next to Austin Station) for noise monitoring, have been taken into account. However, access to all these originally designated monitoring stations was declined as described below point-by-point.

The Arch management office and owners' committee have formally declined the proposal of setting up noise monitoring instrument on its premises at the podium level of Sun Tower (NM2) on 24 July 2014. Thus, alternative noise monitoring location was identified at the ground floor in front of The Arch – Sun Tower (NM2A), which is at the same location as stated in the EM&A Manual for consistency. No management approval is required at the ground floor for conducting the noise monitoring. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 29 September 2020.

The Victoria Towers management office formally declined the proposal of setting up air quality and noise monitoring instruments on its premises at the podium area of Tower 1 (AM3/NM3) on 16 June 2020. Alternative air monitoring location was identified at ground floor at the Northeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (AM3A), in the same direction to the area of major construction site activities in Zone 2A. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 29 September 2020. An alternative noise monitoring location was identified at the ground floor in front of the Xiqu Centre (NM3A), which is set closer to the construction site boundary with more direct line sight to the major site activities and higher exposure to the construction noise with no disturbance to the premises' occupants during noise monitoring activities. No

management approval is required at the ground floor for conducting the noise monitoring. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 29 September 2020.

Canton Road Government Primary School formally declined the proposal of setting up air quality and noise monitoring instruments on its premise at the podium level (AM4/NM4) on 16 June 2020. Alternative air monitoring location was identified at ground floor at the Southeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (AM4A), in same direction to the area of major construction site activities in Zone 2A. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 29 September 2020. An alternative noise monitoring location was identified at the ground floor next to Tsim Sha Tsui Fire Station (NM4A), which is set closer to the construction site boundary with more direct line sight to the major site activities and higher exposure to the construction noise with no disturbance to the premises' occupants during noise monitoring activities. No management approval is required at the ground floor for conducting the noise monitoring. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 29 September 2020.

MTR also formally declined the access to the designated AM5 location (topside developments at West Kowloon Terminus Site) on 15 July 2020. Alternative air monitoring location was identified at ground floor at the North of West Kowloon Station's station box (AM5A), in same direction to the area of major construction site activities in Zone 2A. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 29 September 2020.

Grand Austin property management office formally declined our proposal of setting up noise monitoring instrument on its premises at the podium level (NM5) on 10 July 2020. Alternative noise monitoring location was identified at the Pedestrian road (ground floor) outside West Kowloon Station (NM5A), which is set closer to the construction site boundary with more direct line sight to the major site activities and higher exposure to the construction noise with no disturbance to the premises' occupants during noise monitoring activities. No management approval is required at the ground floor for conducting the noise monitoring. This alternative air monitoring location was approved by EPD on 29 September 2020.

The Environmental Quality Performance Limits for air quality and noise are shown in **Appendix C**.

The Event and Action Plan for air quality, construction noise, and landscape and visual are shown in **Appendix D**.

The EM&A programme followed the recommended mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual. The EM&A requirements as well as the summary of implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures are provided in **Appendix J**.

## 2 Impact Monitoring Methodology

### 2.1 Introduction

Air quality and noise monitoring methodology, including the monitoring locations, equipment used, parameters, frequency and duration etc., are described in this Section. The environmental monitoring schedules for the reporting period and the tentative monitoring Schedule for the coming month are provided in **Appendix E**.

The relevant EM&A monitoring requirements and details for landscape and audit impact, are also presented in this Section.

### 2.2 Air Quality

#### 2.2.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

**Table 2.1** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of the TSP monitoring.

**Table 2.1: Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration**

Parameter	Frequency	Duration
24-hour TSP	At least once in every six-days	24 hours
1-hour TSP	At least 3 times every six-days	60 minutes

#### 2.2.2 Monitoring Locations

Monitoring stations and locations are given in **Table 2.2** and shown in **Figure 1**.

**Table 2.2: Air Quality Monitoring Station**

Monitoring Station	Location Description
AM3A	Northeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)
AM4A	Southeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)
AM5A	North of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)

#### 2.2.3 Monitoring Equipment

Continuous 24-hour TSP air quality monitoring was conducted using High Volume Sampler (HVS) (Model: TE-5170) located at the designated monitoring station. The HVS meets all the requirements stated in of the EM&A Manual. Portable direct reading dust meter was used to carry out the 1-hour TSP monitoring. **Table 2.3** summarizes the equipment used in the impact air quality monitoring. Copies of the calibration certificates for the HVS, calibration kit and portable dust meters are attached in **Appendix F**.

**Table 2.3: TSP Monitoring Equipment**

Equipment	Model
<b>24-hour TSP monitoring</b>	
High Volume Sampler	TE-5170 (Serial No.: 4340; 3998; 4344)

Equipment	Model
Calibrator	TE-5025A (Orifice I.D.: 3543)
<b>1-hour TSP monitoring</b>	
Portable direct reading dust meter	Sibata LD-3B (Serial No.: 235811, 336338, 567188)

Calibration of the HVS (five-point calibration) using Calibration Kit was carried out every two months. The HVS calibration orifice will be calibrated annually. Calibration certificate of the TE-5025A Calibration Kit and the HVS are provided in **Appendix F**.

The 1-hour TSP monitoring should be determined periodically (e.g. annually) by the HVS to check the validity and accuracy of the results measured by direct reading method.

## 2.2.4 Monitoring Methodology

### **24-hour TSP Monitoring**

#### **Installation**

The HVS was installed at the site boundary. The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVS.

- A horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the sampler against gusty wind was provided.
- The distance between the HVS and any obstacles, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the HVS.
- A minimum of 2 metres separation from walls, parapets and penthouse was required for rooftop sampler.
- A minimum of 2 metres separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally was required.
- No furnace or incinerator flues or building vent were nearby.
- Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
- The sampler has been more than 20 metres from any drip line.
- Permission was obtained to set up the sampler and to obtain access to the monitoring station.
- A secured supply of electricity is needed to operate the sampler.

#### **Preparation of Filter Papers**

- Glass fibre filters were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected.
- The filters used are specified to have a minimum collection efficiency of 99 percent for 0.3 µm (DOP) particles.
- All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than ±3 °C with relative humidity (RH) < 50% and was not variable by more than ±5 %. A convenient working RH was 40%. All preparation of filters was done by Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) accredited laboratory.

#### **Field Monitoring Procedures**

- The power supply was checked to ensure the HVS works properly.
- The filter holder and the area surrounding the filter were cleaned.

- The filter holder was removed by loosening the four bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully.
- The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
- The swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- The shelter lid was closed and was secured with the aluminium strip.
- The HVS was warmed-up for about 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
- A new flow rate record sheet was set into the flow recorder.
- The flow rate of the HVS was checked and adjusted at around 1.3 m<sup>3</sup>/min. The range specified in the EM&A Manual was between 0.6-1.7 m<sup>3</sup>/min.
- The programmable timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hours, and the starting time, weather condition and the filter number were recorded.
- The initial elapsed time was recorded.
- At the end of sampling, the sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half length so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact.
- It was then placed in a clean plastic envelope and sealed.
- All monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet.
- Filters were sent to a Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) accredited laboratory for analysis.

### **Maintenance and Calibration**

- The HVS and its accessories are maintained in good working condition, such as replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure a continuous power supply.
- HVSs were calibrated upon installation and thereafter at bi-monthly intervals. The calibration kits were calibrated annually.
- Calibration records for HVS and calibration kit are shown in **Appendix F**.

### **1-hour TSP Monitoring**

#### **Field Monitoring**

The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meter are in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:

- Turn the power on.
- Close the air collecting opening cover.
- Push the "TIME SETTING" switch to [BG].
- Push "START/STOP" switch to perform background measurement for 6 seconds.
- Turn the knob at SENSI ADJ position to insert the light scattering plate.
- Leave the equipment for 1 minute upon "SPAN CHECK" is indicated in the display.
- Push "START/STOP" switch to perform automatic sensitivity adjustment. This measurement takes 1 minute.
- Pull out the knob and return it to MEASURE position.
- Setting time period of 1 hour for the 1-hour TSP measurement.
- Push "START/STOP" to start the 1-hour TSP measurement.
- Regular checking of the time period setting to ensure monitoring time of 1 hour.

## Maintenance and Calibration

- The 1-hour dust meter would be checked at 3-month intervals and calibrated at 1-year intervals throughout all stages of the air quality monitoring.
- Calibration records for direct dust meters are shown in **Appendix F**.

## Weather Condition

- Meteorological data extracted from Hong Kong Observatory for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix H**.

## 2.3 Noise

### 2.3.1 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

**Table 2.4** summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of noise monitoring. The noise in A-weighted levels  $L_{eq}$ ,  $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$  are recorded in a 30-minute interval between 0700 and 1900 hours.

**Table 2.4: Noise Monitoring Parameters, Period and Frequency**

Time Period	Parameters	Frequency
Daytime on normal weekdays (0700-1900 hours)	$L_{eq}$ (30 min), $L_{90}$ (30 min) & $L_{10}$ (30 min)	Once every week

Note: \*70 dB(A) for schools and 65 dB(A) during school examination periods.  
If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the Construction Noise Permit (CNP) issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.

### 2.3.2 Monitoring Location

Noise monitoring stations and locations are given in **Table 2.5** and shown in **Figure 1**.

**Table 2.5: Noise Monitoring Station**

Monitoring Station	Location
NM2A	The Arch – Sun Tower (G/F)
NM3A	Xiqu Centre (G/F)
NM4A	Next to Tsim Sha Tsui Fire Station (G/F)
NM5A	Pedestrian road (G/F) outside West Kowloon Station

### 2.3.3 Monitoring Equipment

Integrating Sound Level Meter was used for noise monitoring. It was a Type 1 sound level meter capable of giving a continuous readout of the noise level readings including equivalent continuous sound pressure level ( $L_{Aeq}$ ) and percentile sound pressure level ( $L_x$ ). They comply with International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1). **Table 2.6** summarizes the noise monitoring equipment model being used.

**Table 2.6: Noise Monitoring Equipment**

Equipment Model	Calibrator
Integrating Sound Level Meter	
AWA5661 (Serial No.: 301135)	Quest QC-10 (Serial No.: Q19010183)

### 2.3.4 Monitoring Methodology

#### Field Monitoring

- The microphone of the Sound Level Meter was set at least 1.2 m above the ground.
- Free Field measurement was made at NM5A monitoring location.
- The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
  - frequency weighting: A
  - time weighting: Fast
  - time measurement: 30 minutes intervals (between 0700-1900 on normal weekdays)
- Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using a Calibrator for 94 dB at 1 kHz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1 dB, the measurement would be considered invalid and has to be repeated after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- During the monitoring period, the  $L_{eq}$ ,  $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$  were recorded. In addition, any site observations and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- A correction of +3dB(A) was made to the free field measurements.

#### Maintenance and Calibration

- The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator is cleaned with soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
- The sound level meter and calibrator are sent to the supplier or HOKLAS laboratory to check and calibrate at yearly intervals.
- Calibration records are shown in **Appendix F**.

#### Weather Condition

- Meteorological data extracted from Hong Kong Observatory for the reporting month is provided in **Appendix H**.

## 2.4 Landscape and Visual

### 2.4.1 Monitoring Program

**Table 2.7** details the monitoring program (as proposed in the WKCD EIA report) for landscape and visual impact during the construction phase.

**Table 2.7: Monitoring Program for Landscape and Visual Impact during Construction Phase**

Stage	Monitoring Task	Frequency	Report	Approval
Construction	Monitor implementation of proposed mitigation measures during the construction stage.	Bi-weekly	ET to report on Contractor's compliance	Counter-signed by IEC

During the landscape and visual impact monitoring, any changes in relation to the landscape and visual amenity should be monitored with reference to the baseline conditions of the site. In addition, mitigation measures were proposed in the WKCD EIA report to minimise the landscape and visual impacts during the construction phase. The proposed mitigation measures as shown in Table 9.1 and Table 9.2 of the EM&A Manual should be checked for proper implementation.

## 3 Monitoring Results

### 3.1 Impact Monitoring

Air quality, noise and landscape and visual impact monitoring was undertaken in compliance with the EM&A Manual during the reporting month.

### 3.2 Air Quality Monitoring

#### 3.2.1 1-hour TSP

Results of 1-hour TSP are summarised in **Table 3.1**. Graphical plots of the monitoring results are shown in **Appendix G**.

**Table 3.1: Summary of 1-hour TSP monitoring results**

Monitoring Station	Monitoring Date	Start Time	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )			Range ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
			1st Result	2nd Result	3rd Result			
AM3A	04-Jul-22	08:08	51	49	50	38-56	280.4	500
	05-Jul-22	14:07	49	45	50			
	11-Jul-22	08:09	43	43	42			
	16-Jul-22	14:11	40	41	38			
	22-Jul-22	08:03	47	48	47			
	28-Jul-22	14:06	54	53	56			
AM4A	04-Jul-22	08:16	48	48	51	41-57	278.5	500
	05-Jul-22	14:15	46	52	52			
	11-Jul-22	08:17	42	42	41			
	16-Jul-22	14:19	43	44	43			
	22-Jul-22	08:11	48	45	50			
	28-Jul-22	14:14	55	57	52			
AM5A	04-Jul-22	08:31	50	50	50	37-58	275.4	500
	05-Jul-22	14:32	51	45	51			
	11-Jul-22	08:32	48	47	41			
	16-Jul-22	14:36	41	37	41			
	22-Jul-22	08:26	47	44	48			
	28-Jul-22	14:22	53	58	56			

#### 3.2.2 24-hour TSP

Results of 24-hour TSP are summarised in **Table 3.2**. Graphical plots of the monitoring results are shown in **Appendix G**.

**Table 3.2: Summary of 24-hour TSP monitoring results**

Monitoring Station	Monitoring Date	Start Time	Monitoring Results ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Range ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
AM3A	04-Jul-22	10:00	50.5	37.2-54.0	152.4	260

Monitoring Station	Monitoring Date	Start Time	Monitoring Results ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Range ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
	05-Jul-22	10:00	46.1	41.6-49.1	152.6	260
	11-Jul-22	10:00	43.5			
	16-Jul-22	10:00	37.2			
	22-Jul-22	10:00	45.9			
	28-Jul-22	10:00	54.0			
	04-Jul-22	10:00	48.5			
AM4A	05-Jul-22	10:00	44.8	41.6-49.1	152.6	260
	11-Jul-22	10:00	42.6			
	16-Jul-22	10:00	41.6			
	22-Jul-22	10:00	47.2			
	28-Jul-22	10:00	49.1			
	04-Jul-22	10:00	47.5			
AM5A	05-Jul-22	10:00	47.2	39.4-51.5	141.1	260
	11-Jul-22	10:00	41.3			
	16-Jul-22	10:00	39.4			
	22-Jul-22	10:00	45.9			
	28-Jul-22	10:00	51.5			
	04-Jul-22	10:00	47.5			

No exceedance of 1-hour and 24-hour TSP (Action or Limit Level) was recorded in the reporting period.

### 3.3 Noise Monitoring

The construction noise monitoring results are summarized in **Table 3.3**. Graphical plots of the monitoring data and the station set-up as façade and free-field measurements are shown in **Appendix G**.

**Table 3.3: Summary of noise monitoring results during normal weekdays**

Monitoring Stations	Monitoring Date	Start Time	End Time	$L_{eq}$ (30 mins) dB(A)	Limit Level for $L_{eq}$ (dB(A))
NM2A	04-Jul-22	08:38	09:08	61.7	75
	05-Jul-22	14:37	15:07	61.5	
	11-Jul-22	08:39	09:09	61.9	
	16-Jul-22	14:41	15:11	61.3	
	22-Jul-22	08:33	09:03	62.0	
	28-Jul-22	14:06	14:36	61.9	
NM3A	04-Jul-22	10:08	10:38	64.8	75
	05-Jul-22	16:10	16:40	65.0	
	11-Jul-22	10:09	10:39	64.6	
	16-Jul-22	16:14	16:44	64.9	
	22-Jul-22	10:03	10:33	64.5	
	28-Jul-22	15:48	16:18	64.6	
NM4A	04-Jul-22	10:43	11:13	63.9	70/65 <sup>#</sup>
	05-Jul-22	16:45	17:15	64.4	
	11-Jul-22	10:44	11:14	63.3	
	16-Jul-22	16:49	17:19	64.1	

Monitoring Stations	Monitoring Date	Start Time	End Time	L <sub>eq</sub> (30 mins) dB(A)	Limit Level for L <sub>eq</sub> (dB(A))
NM5A*	22-Jul-22	10:38	11:08	64.0	75
	28-Jul-22	16:23	16:53	64.0	
	04-Jul-22	09:28	09:58	64.1	
	05-Jul-22	15:29	15:59	64.1	
	11-Jul-22	09:29	09:59	64.4	
	16-Jul-22	15:33	16:03	64.4	
	22-Jul-22	09:23	09:53	63.9	
	28-Jul-22	15:07	15:37	64.0	

Remarks:

\* +3dB (A) correction was applied to free-field measurement.

^ 70 dB(A) for schools and 65 dB(A) during school examination periods.

# School examination was conducted on 07 to 08 and 11 to 12 July 2022 in the reporting period.

No exceedance of construction noise (Action or Limit Level) was recorded in the reporting month.

Construction works were extended to 1900-2300 hours on 04 to 09, 11, 14, 22 and 26 July 2022; and to holidays 1000-1800 hours on 17 July 2022, 1000-1900 hours on 10, 24 and 31 July 2022 and 1900-2300 hours on 10 July 2022. In accordance with the EM&A Manual, additional monitoring was carried out during the restricted hours on 04, 05, 10, 11, 17, 22, 24, 26 and 31 July 2022. The L<sub>eq</sub> (5 mins) is in the range of 55.6-65.1 dB(A). Construction Noise Permits for the works carried out during restricted hours were obtained and listed in **Table 4.3** and **Table 4.4**.

### 3.4 Landscape and Visual Impact

Landscape and visual impact inspections were conducted as part of the weekly site inspections on 07 and 21 July 2022 for Zone 2A and 12 and 27 July 2022 for Zone 2B & 2C during the reporting month. As reviewed by the registered Landscape Architect, no adverse comment on landscape and visual aspects was made during these inspections.

The landscape and visual mitigation measures were implemented during the reporting period. The summary of implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures is provided in **Appendix J**.

## 4 Site Environmental Management

### 4.1 Site Inspection

#### 4.1.1 Zone 2A

Construction phase weekly site inspections were carried out on 07, 14, 21 and 28 July 2022 at Zone 2A. The joint site inspection with IEC, ET, ER and Contractor for Zone 2A was held on 21 July 2022. All observations have been recorded in the site inspection checklist and passed to the Contractor together with the appropriate recommended mitigation measures where necessary.

The key observations from the site inspections and associated recommendations are summarized in **Table 4.1**.

**Table 4.1: Summary of Site Inspections and Recommendations for Zone 2A**

Inspection Date	Parameter	Observation / Recommendation	Contractor's Responses / Action(s) Undertaken	Close-out (Date)
07-Jul-22	Water Quality	Temporary drainage system shall update with the site condition to divert water to the treatment facility.	The contractor has provided a temporary drain to divert water to the treatment facility.	09-Jul-22
14-Jul-22	Water Quality	The contractor shall set the water pipe longer to divert the site runoff to the nearby pumping sump pit.	The contractor has set the water pipe longer.	15-Jul-22
21-Jul-22	Air Quality	The contractor was reminded to replace the NRMM label with proper colour on the PME at 2A-1.	The contractor has replaced the NRMM label with proper colour on the PME at 2A-1.	22-Jul-22
28-Jul-22	Water Quality	The contractor was reminded that water in u-channel shall pump out regularly to ensure proper and efficient operation.	The contractor has removed the water in u-channel.	29-Jul-22

#### 4.1.2 Zone 2B & 2C

Construction phase weekly site inspections were carried out on 05, 12, 20 and 27 July 2022 at Zone 2B & 2C. The joint site inspection with IEC, ET, ER and Contractor for Zone 2B & 2C was held on 12 July 2022. All observations have been recorded in the site inspection checklist and passed to the Contractor together with the appropriate recommended mitigation measures where necessary.

The key observations from the site inspections and associated recommendations are summarized in **Table 4.2**.

**Table 4.2: Summary of Site Inspections and Recommendations for Zone 2B & 2C**

Inspection Date	Parameter	Observation / Recommendation	Contractor's Responses / Action(s) Undertaken	Close-out (Date)
05-Jul-22	Noise impact	The contractor was reminded to properly set up the noise barriers to minimize noise impact to the NSRs.	The contractor has properly set up the noise barriers to minimize noise impact.	11-Jul-22
05-Jul-22	Water Quality	The contractor was reminded that idle stockpile of dusty materials shall be fully covered with tarpaulin or removed off site as far as practicable.	The contractor has removed the idle stockpile from the site.	05-Jul-22
05-Jul-22	Water Quality	The contractor was reminded to strengthen the temporary drainage system to direct storm water to treatment facilities for further treatment.	The contractor has strengthened the temporary drainage system.	08-Jul-22
05-Jul-22	Waste Management	The contractor was reminded to clean up the drip tray regularly to avoid overflow and maintain the capacity of the drip tray is sufficient.	The contractor has cleaned up the drip tray.	09-Jul-22
05-Jul-22	Noise impact	The contractor was reminded to close the door of the power pack/generator when they are in use.	The contractor has closed the door of the power pack/generator.	05-Jul-22
12-Jul-22	Noise impact	The contractor was reminded to properly set up the noise barriers to minimize noise impact to the NSRs.	The contractor has properly set up the noise barriers to minimize noise impact.	13-Jul-22
12-Jul-22	Air Quality	The contractor was reminded to improve the dust suppression measures at the access roads to minimize dust emission.	The contractor has set up auto-sprinkler at the access road.	15-Jul-22
12-Jul-22	Noise impact	The contractor was reminded to close the door of the power pack/generator when they are in use.	The contractor has closed the door of the power pack/generator.	12-Jul-22
12-Jul-22	Water Quality	The contractor was reminded that chemicals shall only be stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities or drip trays with adequate capacity.	The contractor has removed chemicals to designated area.	12-Jul-22
12-Jul-22	Waste Management	The contractor was reminded to carry out waste sorting as far as practicable and to remove all general refuse to designated landfill facilities regularly.	The contractor has removed general refuse to designated landfill facilities.	17-Jul-22
20-Jul-22	Water Quality	The contractor was reminded that idle stockpile of dusty materials shall be fully covered with tarpaulin or removed off site as far as practicable.	The contractor has removed and covered the idle stockpile from the site.	23-Jul-22
20-Jul-22	Noise impact	The contractor was reminded that NRMM label shall be properly displayed and provided for all regulated machinery on site.	The contractor has provided the NRMM label on the regulated machinery.	23-Jul-22

Inspection Date	Parameter	Observation / Recommendation	Contractor's Responses / Action(s) Undertaken	Close-out (Date)
20-Jul-22	Noise impact	The contractor was reminded to close the door of the power pack/generator when they are in use.	The contractor has closed the door of the power pack/generator.	22-Jul-22
20-Jul-22	Water Quality	The contractor was reminded that fuel drums shall only be stored in designated area which have pollution prevention facilities or drip tray with adequate capacity.	The contractor has placed with drip tray.	22-Jul-22
20-Jul-22	Waste Management	The contractor was reminded to carry out waste sorting as far as practicable and to remove all general refuse to designated landfill facilities regularly.	The contractor has removed general refuse to designated landfill facilities.	26-Jul-22
20-Jul-22	Water Quality	The contractor was reminded to remove deposited silt and grit in the sump pit regularly to ensure the temporary drainage system can be operated efficiently.	The contractor has cleaned the sump pit.	23-Jul-22
20-Jul-22	Water Quality	The contractor was reminded to strengthen and maintain the sandbags barriers to prevent any potential stormwater runoff.	The contractor has strengthened and maintained the sandbags barriers	23-Jul-22
20-Jul-22	Waste Management	The contractor was reminded to clean up the oil stains on the concrete slab.	The contractor has cleaned the oil stains.	23-Jul-22
27-Jul-22	Water Quality	The contractor was reminded that idle stockpile of dusty materials shall be fully covered with tarpaulin or removed off site as far as practicable.	The contractor has removed the idle stockpile from the site.	29-Jul-22
27-Jul-22	Water Quality	The contractor was reminded that stockpile of cement bags (>20 bags) shall be fully covered with tarpaulin when not in use.	The contractor has covered the cement bags.	30-Jul-22
27-Jul-22	Noise impact	The contractor was reminded to properly set up the noise barriers to minimize noise impact to the NSRs.	The contractor has properly set up the noise barriers to minimize noise impact.	30-Jul-22
27-Jul-22	Water Quality	The contractor was reminded that fuel drums shall only be stored in designated area which have pollution prevention facilities or drip tray with adequate capacity.	The contractor has removed fuel drums to designated area.	30-Jul-22
27-Jul-22	Noise impact	The contractor was reminded to close the door of the power pack/generator when they are in use.	The contractor has closed the door of the power pack/generator.	30-Jul-22

#### 4.2 Advice on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status

The Contractors have been registered as a chemical waste producer for the Project. Construction and demolition (C&D) material sorting will be carried out on site. A sufficient number of receptacles were available for general refuse collection.

#### 4.2.1 Zone 2A

As advised by the Zone 2A Contractor, 14.46 tonnes and 11.53 tonnes of inert C&D material were disposed of as public fill to Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Public Fill and Tuen Mun Area 38 Public Fill respectively, while 3.84 tonnes of general refuse were disposed of at SENT landfill respectively. 0.0 tonne of metals, 0.0 tonne of paper/cardboard packaging, 0.0 tonne of plastics and 0.0 tonne of timber was collected by recycling contractors in the reporting month. 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material was reused on site. 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material were reused in other projects and 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material was imported for reuse at site in the reporting month. 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material was disposed to sorting facility and 0.0 tonne of chemical waste was collected by licensed contractors in the reporting period.

#### 4.2.2 Zone 2B & 2C

As advised by the Zone 2B & 2C Contractor, 8639.06 tonnes and 11719.08 tonnes of inert C&D material were disposed of as public fill to Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Public Fill and Tuen Mun Area 38 Public Fill respectively, while 17.43 tonnes of general refuse were disposed of at SENT landfill. 00.00 tonne of metals, 0.0 tonne of paper/cardboard packaging, 0.0 tonne of plastics and 0.0 tonne of timber was collected by recycling contractors in the reporting month. 3444.43 tonnes of inert C&D material were reused on site. 10214.91 tonnes of inert C&D material were reused in other projects and 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material was imported for reuse at site in the reporting month. 0.0 tonne of inert C&D material was disposed to sorting facility and 0.0 tonne of chemical waste was collected by licensed contractors in the reporting period.

The cumulative waste generation records for Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C are shown in **Appendix I**.

### 4.3 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits

The environmental permits, licenses, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project which were valid during the period are summarised in **Table 4.3**.

#### 4.3.1 Zone 2A

**Table 4.3: Status of Environmental Submissions, Licenses and Permits for Zone 2A**

Permit / License No. / Notification / Reference No.	Valid Period		Status	Remarks
	From	To		
<b>Chemical Waste Producer Registration</b>				
WPN5113-256-B2597-01	10-Sep-20	--	Valid	--
<b>Billing Account Construction Waste Disposal</b>				
7037500	09-Jun-20	--	Account Active	--
<b>Construction Noise Permit</b>				
GW-RE0432-22	11-May-22	10-Nov-22	Valid	Pumping Test
<b>Wastewater Discharge License</b>				
WT00037344-2021	01-Feb-21	28-Feb-26	Valid	--
<b>Notification under Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation</b>				
456376	21-May-20	--	Notified	--

### 4.3.2 Zone 2B & 2C

The environmental permits, licenses, and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Project which were valid during the period are summarised in **Table 4.4**.

**Table 4.4: Status of Environmental Submissions, Licenses and Permits for Zone 2B & 2C**

Permit / License No. / Notification / Reference No.	Valid Period		Status	Remarks
	From	To		
<b>Chemical Waste Producer Registration</b>				
WPN5113-256-V2302-01	17-Aug-21	--	Valid	--
<b>Billing Account Construction Waste Disposal</b>				
7041264	11-Aug-21	--	Account Active	--
<b>Construction Noise Permit</b>				
GW-RE0596-22	22-Jun-22	21-Jul-22	Valid	--
GW-RE0718-22	22-Jul-22	21-Sep-22	Valid	--
<b>Wastewater Discharge License</b>				
WT00039734-2021	25-Nov-21	30-Nov-26	Valid	--
<b>Notification under Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation</b>				
470022	29-Jul-21	--	Notified	--

## 4.4 Recommended Mitigation Measures

The EM&A programme followed the recommended mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual. The EM&A requirements as well as the summary of implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures are provided in **Appendix J**. In particular, the following mitigation measures were brought to attention during the site inspections:

### 4.4.1 Zone 2A

#### Air Quality

- NRMM Label with proper colour should be provided for all regulated machinery on site.

#### Temporary Water Drainage System & Water Quality

- The temporary drainage system should be well managed and updated with the site condition to ensure drainage facilities efficient operation at all time.
- The temporary drainage system shall be maintained regularly to ensure efficient operation.

### 4.4.2 Zone 2B & 2C

#### Air Quality

- NRMM Label should be provided for all regulated machinery on site.

#### Noise Impact

- Noise barriers should be properly set up to minimize noise impact to NSRs.

#### Waste Management

- Chemical wastes should be properly placed with drip trays/removed to storage area to prevent chemical spillage.

- Carry out waste sorting as far as practicable and remove all general waste to designated landfill facilities regularly.

**Temporary Water Drainage System & Water Quality**

- Idle stockpile of dusty materials should be fully covered with tarpaulin when not being used or removed from the site.
- Sandbags barriers/ water pumps shall be provided on site to direct storm water to water treatment facilities during rainstorm.
- Temporary drainage system shall be maintained regularly to ensure efficient operation.

## 5 Compliance with Environmental Permit

The status of the required submission under the EP during the reporting period is summarized in **Table 5.1**.

**Table 5.1: Status of Submissions under the Environmental Permit**

EP Condition	Submission	Submission Date
Condition 3.4	Monthly EM&A Report for June 2022	13 July 2022

## 6 Report in Non-compliance, Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

### 6.1 Record on Non-compliance of Action and Limit Levels

There was no breach of Action or Limit Levels for Air Quality (1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP) and Construction Noise in the reporting month.

### 6.2 Record on Environmental Complaints Received

Four environmental complaints were received in the reporting month.

On 15 July 2022, WKCDA has received a complaint referred by the EPD regarding construction dust pollution generated from WKCD construction site. On 15 July 2022, the complainant claimed that air pollution arose from a large construction site nearby the Museum Drive, so that the sand scratched the glass of the vehicles when the residents from The Arch were driving by. The complainant also mentioned that the construction site consisted of a joint venture of various engineering companies. According to the description provided by the complainant, the concerned air pollution issue on 15 July 2022 was not related to WKCD Zone 2A site. However, some sand on the nearby public road might be possibly related to Zone 2B & 2C site activities. Thereby, the concerned sand on the public road might be attributable to Zone 2B & 2C site activities. In response, prompt actions have been taken by the Contractor to clean the public road and strengthen the dust control measures on site. In the meantime, existing mitigation measures (dust suppression measures, regular cleaning on the public road, wheel washing facility) have been implemented and properly maintained on Zone 2B & 2C site to avoid nuisance to the public. Dust monitoring is also regularly conducted at the site boundary with no exceedance. Nonetheless, the Contractors are recommended to maintain good practice on site, and strengthen the implementation of dust control measures to reduce impacts to the nearby neighbors.

On 22 July 2022, WKCDA has received a complaint referred by the EPD regarding polluted water discharge from WKCD construction site to the public road. The complainant claimed that "地盤污水流出街 圓方溜冰場對出柯士甸道西西九文化區地盤" (Polluted water flowed out to the street from the WKCD construction site which located at the opposite side to the Element ice rink.). Investigation at Zone 2A site revealed that the concerned location was not within the site boundary of Zone 2A site. Thereby, the complaint might not be attributable to the Zone 2A site. Nevertheless, the Contractor is recommended to strengthen water pollution mitigation measures on site to reduce impacts to the public. Investigation at Zone 2B & 2C site revealed that the concerned water might possibly due to the water runoff from the vehicles after wheel washing when leaving the captioned site vehicular gate. The Contractor has been reminded to strengthen public road cleaning to minimise impacts to the public.

On 26 July 2022, the EPD has received a complaint from a public regarding polluted water discharge at WKCD construction site and referred the case on the same day. The complainant claimed that "投訴在藝術公園隔離地盤於下午排放污水" (Polluted water discharged from the construction site next to the Art Park in the afternoon). Investigation at Zone 2A site revealed that the concerned location was not within the site boundary of Zone 2A site. Thereby, the complaint might not be attributable to the Zone 2A site. Nevertheless, the Contractor is recommended to

strictly maintain good site practices to avoid polluted water flowing into the nearby waterbody. Investigation at Zone 2B & 2C site revealed that the complaint might not be attributable to WKCD Zone 2B & 2C site. However, the Contractor has been reminded to strictly implement and maintain good site practices to avoid water pollution to the nearby waterbody.

On 26 July 2022, the EPD has also received a complaint from a public regarding polluted water discharge at WKCD construction site and referred the case on the same day. The complainant claimed that " M+ 藝術館旁邊的地盤非法排放污水" (Illegal discharge of polluted water from the construction site next to the M+ museum). Investigation at Zone 2A site revealed that the concerned location was not within the site boundary of Zone 2A site. Thereby, the complaint might not be attributable to the Zone 2A site. Nevertheless, the Contractor is recommended to strictly maintain good site practices to avoid polluted water flowing into the waterbody of Victoria Harbour. Investigation at Zone 2B & 2C site revealed that the complaint might not be attributable to WKCD Zone 2B & 2C site. However, the Contractor has been reminded to strictly implement and maintain good site practices to avoid water pollution to the nearby waterbody.

The cumulative statistics on complaints were provided in **Appendix K**.

### 6.3 Record on Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecution

No notifications of summons or successful prosecutions were received this month. The cumulative statistics on notifications of summons and successful prosecutions were provided in **Appendix K**.

## 7 Future Key Issues

### 7.1 Construction Works for the Coming Month(s)

The major site works for Zone 2A scheduled to be commissioned in the coming month include:

Zone 2A-1

- ELS (Stage 1) – Grouting / Pipe Pile Works
  - Stage 2 Grouting

The major site works for Zone 2B & 2C scheduled to be commissioned in the coming month include:

KD03 (Stage 3-1)

- Bored Pile Works
  - RCD Drilling and Excavation

KD04 (Stage 4-1), KD05 (Section 1), KD06 (Section 2), KD07 (Section 3)

- Bored Pile Works
  - RCD Drilling, Airlifting, Cage Installation & Concreting and Excavation

KD08 (Section 4), KD09 (Section 5)

- Bored Pile Works
  - RCD Drilling, Airlifting, Cage Installation & Concreting and Excavation
- Socketed Steel H Piling

### 7.2 Key Issues for the Coming Month

#### 7.2.1 Zone 2A

Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:

- Generation of dust from construction works;
- Noise impact from piling works;
- Generation of site surface runoffs and wastewater from activities on-site;
- The temporary drainage system should be well managed and updated with the site condition, particularly on rainy days;
- Management of stockpiles and slopes, particularly on rainy days;
- Management of chemicals and avoidance of oil spillage on-site.
- Sorting, recycling, storage and disposal of general refuse and construction waste; and

#### 7.2.2 Zone 2B & 2C

Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:

- Generation of dust from construction works;
- Noise impact from piling works;
- Generation of site surface runoffs and wastewater from activities on-site;
- Management of stockpiles and slopes, particularly on rainy days;
- Sorting, recycling, storage and disposal of general refuse and construction waste; and

- Management of chemicals and avoidance of oil spillage on-site.

### 7.3 Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month

The environmental site inspection and environmental monitoring will be continued in the coming month. Impact monitoring for air quality and noise for Zone 2A and Zone 2B & 2C in accordance with the approved EM&A Manual has commenced since 03 October 2020 and 30 September 2021 respectively. The tentative monitoring schedule for the coming month is shown in the **Appendix E**.

## 8 Conclusions and Recommendations

### 8.1 Conclusions

The EM&A programme as recommended in the EM&A Manual has been undertaken with the commencement of the construction activities at Zone 2A on 03 October 2020, and the major construction works of Zone 2B & 2C commenced on 30 September 2021.

Monitoring of air quality and noise with respect to the Projects is underway. In particular, the 1-hour TSP, 24-hour TSP, noise level (as  $L_{eq}$ , 30 minutes) under monitoring have been checked against established Action and Limit levels. There was no breach of Action or Limit levels for Air Quality (1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP) and Construction Noise in this reporting month.

Four environmental complaints were recorded in the reporting month. No notifications of summons or successful prosecutions were received during the reporting month.

Weekly construction phase site inspections and bi-weekly landscape and visual impact inspections were conducted during the reporting month as required. It was observed that the Contractors had implemented all possible and feasible mitigation measures to mitigate the potential environmental impacts during construction phase works.

### 8.2 Recommendations

Potential environmental impacts due to the construction activities, including air quality, noise, water quality, waste, landscape and visual, will be monitored or reviewed. The recommended environmental mitigation measures shall be implemented on site and regular inspections as required will be carried out to ensure that the environmental conditions are acceptable.

## **Figure 1    Site Layout Plan and Monitoring Stations**




# Appendices

- A. Project Organisation
- B. Tentative Construction Programme
- C. Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase
- D. Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Landscape and Visual Impact
- E. Monitoring Schedule
- F. Calibration Certifications
- G. Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results
- H. Meteorological Data Extracted from Hong Kong Observatory
- I. Waste Flow table
- J. Environmental Mitigation Measures – Implementation Status
- K. Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

## A. Project Organisation

# Project Organization



**Table A-1: Contract Information**

Company Name	Role	Name	Telephone	Email
West Kowloon Cultural District Authority	WKCDA Representative & Project ETL	Mr. C.K. WU	5506 9178	ck.wu@wkcd.a.hk
Meinhardt Infrastructure & Environment Limited	Independent Environmental Checker	Ms. Claudine LEE	2859 5409	caludinelee@meinhardt.com.hk
AECOM Asia Company Limited	Resident Engineer (Zone 2A)	Mr. Alex GBAGUIDI	3619 6287	alex.gbaguidi@aecom.com
AECOM Asia Company Limited	Resident Engineer (Zone 2B & 2C)	Ms. Carmen CHAN	6892 9271	carmen.chan@aecom.com
Bachy Soletanche – Fujita Corporation Joint Venture	Interface & Environmental Manager	Mr. Philip CHAN	9668 8403	philip.chan@soletanche-bachy.com
Vibro – Tysan – Chun Wo Joint Venture	Environmental Sustainability Manager	Mr. Tony YAM	2137 5586	tony_yam@vibro.com.hk
Apex Testing & Certification Limited	Contractor's Environmental Team Leader	Mr. Calvin LUI	9629 9718	calvinlui@apextestcert.com

## **B. Tentative Construction Programme**

**Zone 2A**

Project Name: Foundation and ELS Works for Integrated Basement and Underground Road in Zone 2A of the West Kowloon Cultural District

3-Month Rolling Programme

Activity Description	Duration (Cal. Day)	Start Date	Finish Date	2022																											
				July					August					September					October												
				1	8	15	22	29	5	12	19	26	2	9	16	23	30	7	14	21	28										
W112	W113	W114	W115	W116	W117	W118	W119	W120	W121	W122	W123	W124	W125	W126	W127	W128	W129														
<b>Zone 2A-1 Foundation, ELS Works and Blinding to Formation (KD01)</b>																															
<b>ELS (Stage 1) - Grouting / Pipe Pile Works</b>																															
King Post (8/64 Nos Completed) & Erection of Steel Column for Working Platform (11/41 Nos completed)(Temporary suspended as per CA)	NA	15-May-21	NA																												
Stage 2 grouting (308/323 Nos Completed)	346	28-Sep-21	8-Sep-22	█					█																						
<b>Pumping Test</b>																															
Installation of Pump Wells (24/24 Nos completed)	15	4-Jun-22	18-Jun-22																												
<b>Zone 2A-2 Foundation, ELS Works and Blinding to Formation (KD02)</b>																															
<b>ELS (Stage 1) - Grouting / Pipe Pile Works</b>																															
King Post (0/86 Nos Completed) & Erection of Steel Column for Working Platform (0/65 Nos Completed)(Temporary suspended as per CA)	NA	NA	NA																												
Pipe Pile Construction (461/461 Nos Completed)	533	17-Nov-20	3-May-22																												
Stage 2 grouting (472/472 Nos Completed)	250	2-Oct-21	8-Jun-22																												
<b>Pumping Test</b>																															
Installation of Pump Wells (90/90 Nos completed)	62	11-Apr-22	11-Jun-22																												
Baseline Monitoring	1	4-Jul-22	4-Jul-22	█																											
Pumping Test	14	5-Jul-22	18-Jul-22	█																											

- █ - Actual
- █ - Remaining Works
- █ - Critical Remaining Works

**Zone 2B & 2C**

Activity ID	Activity Name	Baseline Start	Baseline Finish	Dur	Forecast / Actual Start	Forecast / Actual Finsih	Total Float	July					August					September				October		
								13					14					15				16		
								25	02	09	16	23	30	06	13	20	27	03	10	17	24	01	08	15

**Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B & 2C**

**Contract Dates**

**Key Dates**

**KD for Zone 2B**

Activity ID	Activity Name	Baseline Start	Baseline Finish	Dur	Forecast / Actual Start	Forecast / Actual Finsih	Total Float
KD01	KD01 (Stage 1-1) - 18 May 2022		18-May-22	0		15-Jul-22 A	
KD03	KD03 (Stage 3-1) - 26 Aug 2022		26-Aug-22	0		22-Oct-22*	-57

**KD for Zone 2C**

Activity ID	Activity Name	Baseline Start	Baseline Finish	Dur	Forecast / Actual Start	Forecast / Actual Finsih	Total Float
KD04	KD04 (Stage 4-1) - 26 Aug 2022		25-Aug-22	0		22-Sep-22*	-27

**Optional Works subjected to CA's Instruction**

Activity ID	Activity Name	Baseline Start	Baseline Finish	Dur	Forecast / Actual Start	Forecast / Actual Finsih	Total Float
CO2A	Last CAI date for Optional Works Item No.2A (330 Days to 440 Days after Commencement)	05-Oct-22		0	05-Oct-22		71
CO2A10	Last CAI date for Optional Works Item No.2A (330 Days to 390 Days after Commencement) if item No. 3 is instructed	16-Aug-22		0	16-Aug-22		71

**Access Dates of Site Portion**

**270 days after Commencement**

Activity ID	Activity Name	Baseline Start	Baseline Finish	Dur	Forecast / Actual Start	Forecast / Actual Finsih	Total Float
ACB35	Access to Site Portion B35 (To be agreed with the Zone 2A contractor)	18-Apr-22		0	23-Jul-22		-44

**Mobilization Stage**

**Site Mobilization Works**

**Pre-Construction Works before Piling Commencement**

Activity ID	Activity Name	Baseline Start	Baseline Finish	Dur	Forecast / Actual Start	Forecast / Actual Finsih	Total Float
MOBP.10.1300	Trial Pit for Drillholes & Removal of Existing Substructures / Ground Slab [503 nos]	19-Aug-21	30-Apr-22	353	19-Aug-21 A	06-Aug-22	521


**End of Mobilization**

Activity ID	Activity Name	Baseline Start	Baseline Finish	Dur	Forecast / Actual Start	Forecast / Actual Finsih	Total Float
MOBP.99.1080	End of Mobilization Stage		18-May-22	0		01-Sep-22	495

**Construction Stage**

**Pile Construction**

**Initial Mobilization**



	Planned Bar		Milestone
	Critical Bar		Critical MS
	Baseline		Baseline MS

**West Kowloon Cultural District Authority**  
**Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B 2C**  
**3 Month Rolling Programme as of 22 July 2022**  
 Based on CMWP Rev.0




Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
4-Mar-22	Rev.0	KL	B












	Planned Bar		Milestone
	Critical Bar		Critical MS
	Baseline		Baseline MS

**West Kowloon Cultural District Authority**  
**Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B 2C**  
**3 Month Rolling Programme as of 22 July 2022**  
 Based on CMWP Rev.0



Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
4-Mar-22	Rev.0	KL	B



	Planned Bar		Milestone
	Critical Bar		Critical MS
	Baseline		Baseline MS


**West Kowloon Cultural District Authority**  
**Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B 2C**  
**3 Month Rolling Programme as of 22 July 2022**  
 Based on CMWP Rev.0



Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
4-Mar-22	Rev.0	KL	B








	Planned Bar		Milestone
	Critical Bar		Critical MS
	Baseline		Baseline MS

**West Kowloon Cultural District Authority**  
**Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B 2C**  
**3 Month Rolling Programme as of 22 July 2022**  
 Based on CMWP Rev.0



Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
4-Mar-22	Rev.0	KL	B



ID: 2B2C-20220722\_w  
 Data Date: 23-Jul-22  
 Print Date: 27-Jul-22\_14:57  
 Page 11 of 22

Planned Bar	Milestone
Critical Bar	Critical MS
Baseline	Baseline MS

**West Kowloon Cultural District Authority**  
**Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B 2C**  
**3 Month Rolling Programme as of 22 July 2022**  
 Based on CMWP Rev.0




Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
4-Mar-22	Rev.0	KL	B










ID: 2B2C-20220722\_w  
 Data Date: 23-Jul-22  
 Print Date: 27-Jul-22\_14:57  
 Page 15 of 22

Planned Bar	Milestone
Critical Bar	Critical MS
Baseline	Baseline MS

**West Kowloon Cultural District Authority**  
**Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B 2C**  
**3 Month Rolling Programme as of 22 July 2022**  
 Based on CMWP Rev.0



Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
4-Mar-22	Rev.0	KL	B




	Planned Bar		Milestone
	Critical Bar		Critical MS
	Baseline		Baseline MS

**West Kowloon Cultural District Authority**  
**Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B 2C**  
**3 Month Rolling Programme as of 22 July 2022**  
 Based on CMWP Rev.0



Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
4-Mar-22	Rev.0	KL	B





Planned Bar	Milestone
Critical Bar	Critical MS
Baseline	Baseline MS


**West Kowloon Cultural District Authority**  
**Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B 2C**  
**3 Month Rolling Programme as of 22 July 2022**  
**Based on CMWP Rev.0**



Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
4-Mar-22	Rev.0	KL	B







Planned Bar	Milestone
Critical Bar	Critical MS
Baseline	Baseline MS

**West Kowloon Cultural District Authority**  
**Piling for Integrated Basement and U/G Road in Zone 2B 2C**  
**3 Month Rolling Programme as of 22 July 2022**  
 Based on CMWP Rev.0



Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
4-Mar-22	Rev.0	KL	B



## **C. Action and Limit Levels for Construction Phase**

## **Air Quality**

The Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour and 24-hour TSP for the monitoring stations are presented in following tables:

**Table C-1: Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP**

Monitoring Station	Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
AM3A	280.4	500
AM4A	278.5	500
AM5A	275.4	500

**Table C-2: Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP**

Monitoring Station	Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )
AM3A	152.4	260
AM4A	152.6	260
AM5A	141.1	260

## **Noise**

The Action and Limit Levels for Noise for the monitoring stations are presented in following table:

**Table C-3: Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise**

Time Period & Monitoring Locations	Action Level	Limit Level
NM2A, NM3A, NM4A and NM5A		
0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays	When one valid documented complaint is received from any one of the sensitive receiver	75

Note:

\*Reduce to 70dB(A) for school and 65 dB(A) during school examination period.

## **D. Event and Action Plan for Air Quality, Noise, Landscape and Visual Impact**

## Air Quality

In case the Action and Limit Levels are not complied during construction stage, the following Event and Action Plan should be followed:

**Table D-1: Typical Event and Action Plan for Air Quality**

Event	Action			
	ET	IEC	WKCD A	Contractor
<b>Action Level</b>				
1. Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures;</li> <li>2. Inform IEC and WKCD A;</li> <li>3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding;</li> <li>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET;</li> <li>2. Check Contractor's working method.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify Contractor</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Rectify any unacceptable practice;</li> <li>2. Amend working methods if appropriate.</li> </ol>
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source;</li> <li>2. Inform IEC and WKCD A;</li> <li>3. Advise the WKCD A on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures;</li> <li>4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings;</li> <li>5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily;</li> <li>6. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required;</li> <li>7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and WKCD A;</li> <li>8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET;</li> <li>2. Check Contractor's working method;</li> <li>3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures;</li> <li>4. Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures;</li> <li>5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing;</li> <li>2. Notify Contractor;</li> <li>3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Submit proposals for remedial to WKCD A within three working days of notification;</li> <li>2. Implement the agreed proposals;</li> <li>3. Amend proposal if appropriate.</li> </ol>

Event	Action			
	ET	IEC	WKCD	Contractor
<b>Limit Level</b>				
1. Exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures;</li> <li>2. Inform WKCD, Contractor and EPD;</li> <li>3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding;</li> <li>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily;</li> <li>5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and WKCD informed of the results.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET;</li> <li>2. Check Contractor's working method;</li> <li>3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures;</li> <li>4. Advise the WKCD on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures;</li> <li>5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing;</li> <li>2. Notify Contractor;</li> <li>3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance;</li> <li>2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification;</li> <li>3. Implement the agreed proposals;</li> <li>4. Amend proposal if appropriate.</li> </ol>
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify IEC, WKCD, Contractor and EPD;</li> <li>2. Identify source;</li> <li>3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings;</li> <li>4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily;</li> <li>5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented;</li> <li>6. Arrange meeting with IEC and WKCD to discuss the remedial actions to be taken;</li> <li>7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and WKCD informed of the results;</li> <li>8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET;</li> <li>2. Check Contractor's working method;</li> <li>3. Discuss amongst WKCD, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions;</li> <li>4. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the WKCD accordingly;</li> <li>5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing;</li> <li>2. Notify Contractor;</li> <li>3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented;</li> <li>4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented;</li> <li>5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance;</li> <li>2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within three working days of notification;</li> <li>3. Implement the agreed proposals;</li> <li>4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control;</li> <li>5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the WKCD until the exceedance is abated.</li> </ol>

## Construction Noise

In case the Action and Limit Levels are not complied during construction stage, the following Event and Action Plan should be followed:

**Table D-2: Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise**

Event	Action			
	ET	IEC	WKCDA	Contractor
Action Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify WKCDA, IEC and Contractor;</li> <li>2. Carry out investigation;</li> <li>3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, WKCDA and Contractor;</li> <li>4. Discuss with the IEC and Contractor on remedial measures required;</li> <li>5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Review the investigation results submitted by the ET;</li> <li>2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the WKCDA accordingly;</li> <li>3. Advise the WKCDA on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing;</li> <li>2. Notify Contractor;</li> <li>3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented;</li> <li>4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC and WKCDA;</li> <li>2. Implement noise mitigation proposals.</li> </ol>
Limit Level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inform IEC, WKCDA, Contractor and EPD;</li> <li>2. Repeat measurements to confirm findings;</li> <li>3. Increase monitoring frequency;</li> <li>4. Identify source and investigate the cause of exceedance;</li> <li>5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures;</li> <li>6. Discuss with the IEC, Contractor and WKCDA on remedial measures required;</li> <li>7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and WKCDA informed of the results;</li> <li>8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss amongst WKCDA, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions;</li> <li>2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the WKCDA accordingly.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing;</li> <li>2. Notify Contractor;</li> <li>3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented;</li> <li>4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures;</li> <li>5. If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance until the exceedance is abated.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance;</li> <li>2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and WKCDA within 3 working days of notification;</li> <li>3. Implement the agreed proposals;</li> <li>4. Submit further proposal if problem still not under control;</li> <li>5. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the WKCDA until the exceedance is abated.</li> </ol>

## Landscape and Visual Impact

In case of non-compliance of landscape and visual impacts, procedures in accordance with the Event and Action Plan should be followed:

**Table D-3: Event and Action Plan for Landscape and Visual Impact**

Event	Action			
	Action	Event	Action	Event
Design Check	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Design check to make sure the design complies with all the proposed mitigation measures in the EIA report;</li> <li>2. Prepare and submit report.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check report submitted by ET;</li> <li>2. Recommend remedial design if necessary.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Undertake remedial design if necessary.</li> </ol>	-
Non-conformity on one occasion	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source of non-conformity;</li> <li>2. Report to IEC and WKCD;A;</li> <li>3. Discuss remedial actions with IEC, WKCD;A and Contractor;</li> <li>4. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check and verify source of non-conformity;</li> <li>2. Discuss remedial actions with ET and Contractor;</li> <li>3. Advise WKCD;A on effectiveness of proposed remedial actions;</li> <li>4. Check implementation of remedial actions.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify Contractor;</li> <li>2. Ensure remedial actions are properly implemented.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Amend working method as necessary;</li> <li>2. Rectify damage and undertake necessary replacement and remedial actions.</li> </ol>
Repeated non-conformity	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify source of non-conformity;</li> <li>2. Report to IEC and WKCD;A;</li> <li>3. Increase monitoring frequency;</li> <li>4. Discuss remedial actions with IEC, WKCD;A and Contractor;</li> <li>5. Monitor remedial actions until rectification has been completed;</li> <li>6. If non-conformity rectified, reduce monitoring frequency back to normal.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check and verify source of non-conformity;</li> <li>2. Check Contractor's working method;</li> <li>3. Discuss remedial actions with ET and Contractor;</li> <li>4. Advise WKCD;A on effectiveness of proposed remedial actions;</li> <li>5. Supervise implementation of remedial actions.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify Contractor;</li> <li>2. Ensure remedial actions are properly implemented.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Amend working method as necessary;</li> <li>2. Rectify damage and undertake necessary replacement and remedial actions.</li> </ol>

## **E. Monitoring Schedule**

Notes:

- AM3A - Northeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)
- AM4A - Southeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)
- AM5A - North of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)
- NM2A - The Arch – Sun Tower (G/F)
- NM3A - Xiqu Centre (G/F)
- NM4A - Next to Tsim Sha Tsui Fire Station (G/F)
- NM5A - Pedestrian road (G/F) outside West Kowloon Station

# July 2022 (Hong Kong)

Note: Impact monitoring on 02/07 has rescheduled to 04/07 due to Tropical Cyclone Warning Signal No.8

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
26	27	28	29	30	1 ● Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Establishment Day	2 <del>AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring</del>
3  Note: Impact monitoring on 02/07 has rescheduled to 04/07 due to Tropical Cyclone Warning Signal No.8 →	4 AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring	5 AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring	6	7 Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2A	8	9
10	11 AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring	12 Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2B & 2C	13	14	15	16 AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring
17	18	19	20	21 Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2A	22 AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring	23
24	25	26	27 Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2B & 2C	28 AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring	29	30
31	1	2	3	4	5	6

Notes:

- AM3A - Northeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)
- AM4A - Southeast corner of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)
- AM5A - North of West Kowloon Station's station box (G/F)
- NM2A - The Arch – Sun Tower (G/F)
- NM3A - Xiqu Centre (G/F)
- NM4A - Next to Tsim Sha Tsui Fire Station (G/F)
- NM5A - Pedestrian road (G/F) outside West Kowloon Station

# August 2022 (Hong Kong)

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
31	1	2	3 AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring	4 Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2A	5	6
7	8	9 AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring	10 Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2B & 2C	11	12 Hungry Ghost Festival	13
14	15 AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring	16	17	18 Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2A	19	20 AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring
21	22	23	24 Landscape & Visual Inspection Zone 2B & 2C	25	26 AM3A,AM4A,AM5A - 24-hr TSP, 1-hr TSP X 3 NM2A,NM3A,NM4A,NM5A - Noise Impact Monitoring	27
28	29	30	31	1	2	3

## F. Calibration Certifications

# Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information			
Cal. Date: October 20, 2021	Rootsmeter S/N: 438320	Ta: 295	°K
Operator: Jim Tisch		Pa: 753.9	mm Hg
Calibration Model #: TE-5025A	Calibrator S/N: 3543		

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.4300	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0060	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.8990	7.9	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8550	8.8	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7050	12.8	8.00

Data Tabulation						
Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H (Ta/Pa)}$ (y-axis)	
0.9978	0.6977	1.4156	0.9958	0.6963	0.8847	
0.9935	0.9876	2.0020	0.9915	0.9856	1.2511	
0.9915	1.1029	2.2383	0.9895	1.1007	1.3988	
0.9903	1.1583	2.3476	0.9883	1.1559	1.4670	
0.9850	1.3972	2.8313	0.9830	1.3944	1.7693	
<b>QSTD</b>	m=	<b>2.02434</b>	<b>QA</b>	m=	<b>1.26761</b>	
	b=	<b>0.00347</b>		b=	<b>0.00217</b>	
	r=	<b>1.00000</b>		r=	<b>1.00000</b>	

Calculations	
Vstd= $\Delta Vol \{ (Pa - \Delta P) / Pstd \} (Tstd / Ta)$	Va= $\Delta Vol \{ (Pa - \Delta P) / Pa \}$
Qstd= $Vstd / \Delta Time$	Qa= $Va / \Delta Time$
For subsequent flow rate calculations:	
Qstd= $1/m \left( \left( \sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$	Qa= $1/m \left( \left( \sqrt{\Delta H (Ta/Pa)} \right) - b \right)$

Standard Conditions	
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg
<b>Key</b>	
ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)	
ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)	
Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K)	
Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)	
b: intercept	
m: slope	

RECALIBRATION
US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30



# TE-5170 Calibration Worksheet

## Site Information

Location: AM3A	Zones 2A at West Site ID: Kowloon Cultural	Date: 10-Jun-22
Sampler: TE-5170	Serial No: 4340	Tech: CS Tang

## Site Conditions

Barometric Pressure (in Hg): 29.69	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): 754
Temperature (deg F): 79	Temperature (deg K): 299
Average Press. (in Hg): 29.69	Corrected Average (mm Hg): 754
Average Temp. (deg F): 79	Average Temp. (deg K): 299

## Calibration Orifice

Make: Tisch	Qstd Slope: 2.02434
Model: TE-5025A	Qstd Intercept: 0.00347
Serial#: 3543	Date Certified: 20-Oct-21

## Calibration Information

Plate or Test #	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	Linear Regression
1	12.40	1.728	53.0	52.70	Slope: 30.7807
2	10.50	1.590	48.0	47.73	Intercept: -0.8241
3	7.80	1.370	41.0	40.77	Corr. Coeff: 0.9977
4	4.60	1.052	33.0	32.81	
5	2.60	0.790	23.0	22.87	# of Observations: 5

## Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart response  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)  
 Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)  
 Tstd = 298 deg K  
 Pstd = 760 mm Hg  
 For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:  
 $1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure

Average I (chart): 40
Average Flow Calculation m3/min 1.305956055
Average Flow Calculation in CFM 46.1133083
Sample Time (Hrs): 1.0
Total Flow in m3/min 78.3573633
Total Flow in CFM 2766.798498

NOTE: Ensure calibration orifice has been certified within 12 months of use



# TE-5170 Calibration Worksheet

## Site Information

Location: AM4A	Zones 2A at West Site ID: Kowloon Cultural	Date: 10-Jun-22
Sampler: TE-5170	Serial No: 3998	Tech: CS Tang

## Site Conditions

Barometric Pressure (in Hg): 29.69	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): 754
Temperature (deg F): 79	Temperature (deg K): 299
Average Press. (in Hg): 29.69	Corrected Average (mm Hg): 754
Average Temp. (deg F): 79	Average Temp. (deg K): 299

## Calibration Orifice

Make: Tisch	Qstd Slope: 2.02434
Model: TE-5025A	Qstd Intercept: 0.00347
Serial#: 3543	Date Certified: 20-Oct-21

## Calibration Information

Plate or Test #	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	Linear Regression
1	12.50	1.735	53.0	52.70	Slope: 29.5963
2	10.80	1.612	48.0	47.73	Intercept: 0.8281
3	7.70	1.361	41.0	40.77	Corr. Coeff: 0.9962
4	4.40	1.029	33.0	32.81	
5	2.50	0.775	23.0	22.87	# of Observations: 5

## Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart response  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)  
 Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)  
 Tstd = 298 deg K  
 Pstd = 760 mm Hg  
 For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:  
 $1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure

Average I (chart): 40
Average Flow Calculation m3/min 1.302392012
Average Flow Calculation in CFM 45.98746193
Sample Time (Hrs): 1.0
Total Flow in m3/min 78.14352069
Total Flow in CFM 2759.247716

NOTE: Ensure calibration orifice has been certified within 12 months of use



# TE-5170 Calibration Worksheet

## Site Information

Location: AM5A	Zones 2A at West Site ID: Kowloon Cultural	Date: 10-Jun-22
Sampler: TE-5170	Serial No: 4344	Tech: CS Tang

## Site Conditions

Barometric Pressure (in Hg): 29.69	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): 754
Temperature (deg F): 79	Temperature (deg K): 299
Average Press. (in Hg): 29.69	Corrected Average (mm Hg): 754
Average Temp. (deg F): 79	Average Temp. (deg K): 299

## Calibration Orifice

Make: Tisch	Qstd Slope: 2.02434
Model: TE-5025A	Qstd Intercept: 0.00347
Serial#: 3543	Date Certified: 20-Oct-21

## Calibration Information

Plate or Test #	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	Linear Regression
1	12.30	1.721	53.0	52.70	Slope: 30.2523
2	10.70	1.605	48.0	47.73	Intercept: -0.1430
3	7.90	1.379	41.0	40.77	Corr. Coeff: 0.9972
4	4.60	1.052	33.0	32.81	
5	2.50	0.775	23.0	22.87	# of Observations: 5

## Calculations

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart response  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)  
 Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)  
 Tstd = 298 deg K  
 Pstd = 760 mm Hg  
 For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:  
 $1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure

Average I (chart): 40
Average Flow Calculation m3/min 1.306252765
Average Flow Calculation in CFM 46.12378514
Sample Time (Hrs): 1.0
Total Flow in m3/min 78.37516591
Total Flow in CFM 2767.427108

NOTE: Ensure calibration orifice has been certified within 12 months of use



# CERTIFICATE OF ACCREDITATION

*This is to attest that*

## **AQUALITY TESTCONSULT LIMITED**

11A&B, KAI FONG GARDEN, PING CHE ROAD  
FANLING, HONG KONG

### **Calibration Laboratory CL-207**

has met the requirements of AC204, *IAS Accreditation Criteria for Calibration Laboratories*, and has demonstrated compliance with ISO/IEC Standard 17025:2017, *General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories*. This organization is accredited to provide the services specified in the scope of accreditation.

Effective Date December 17, 2021

Expiration Date December 1, 2022



A handwritten signature in black ink, reading 'Raj Nathan'.

**President**

# SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

International Accreditation Service, Inc.

3060 Saturn Street, Suite 100, Brea, California 92821, U.S.A. | [www.iasonline.org](http://www.iasonline.org)

## AQUALITY TESTCONSULT LIMITED

**Contact Name** Lee Mei Yee

**Contact Phone** + 852-6309-2280

*Accredited to ISO/IEC 17025:2017*

*Effective Date December 17, 2021*

### CALIBRATION AND MEASUREMENT CAPABILITY (CMC)\*

MEASURED QUANTITY or DEVICE TYPE CALIBRATED	RANGE	UNCERTAINTY <sup>1,2</sup> (±)	CALIBRATION PROCEDURE AND/OR STANDARD EQUIPMENT USED
<i>Dimensional</i>			
Caliper -Vernier, Dial & Electronic <sup>3</sup>	0 mm to 300 mm	30 µm	Checker by comparison method (BS 887:1982)
Steel Ruler <sup>3</sup>	1 mm to 1000 mm	280 µm	Reference Steel Rule by comparison method (BS 4372:1968)
Dial Indicator/Gauge (Plunger) <sup>3</sup>	0 mm to 50 mm	8 µm	Reference micrometer head by comparison method (BS 907:2008)
Feeler Gauge <sup>3</sup>	0.01 mm to 1 mm	8 µm	Reference Dial Gauge by comparison method (BS 957: 2008)
Measuring tape <sup>3</sup>	0 m to 5 m	1200 µm	Reference steel ruler by comparison method (BS 4035:1966)
Engineering Square <sup>3</sup>	Length: 0 mm to 160 mm	20 µm	Reference engineering square and Feeler Gauge (BS 939:2007)
Slump cone <sup>3</sup>	Diameter: 0 mm to 200 mm  Thickness: 1.5 mm  Height: 0 mm to 300 mm	560 µm  100 µm  560 µm	Reference Caliper & Reference Steel ruler by direct measurement (Verification in accordance with in-house method for the dimensional requirements as specified CS1:1990 Vol.1 A4; CS1: 2010 Vol. 1, A5)

\* If information in this CMC is presented in non-SI units, the conversion factors stated in NIST Special Publication 811 "Guide for the Use of the International System of Units (SI)" apply.

# SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

International Accreditation Service, Inc.

3060 Saturn Street, Suite 100, Brea, California 92821, U.S.A. | [www.iasonline.org](http://www.iasonline.org)

MEASURED QUANTITY or DEVICE TYPE CALIBRATED	RANGE	UNCERTAINTY <sup>1,2</sup> (±)	CALIBRATION PROCEDURE AND/OR STANDARD EQUIPMENT USED
Tamping rod <sup>3</sup>	Diameter: 0 mm to 16 mm Length: 600 mm	600 µm 950 µm	Reference steel ruler & Reference Caliper by direct measurement (Verification in accordance with in-house method for the dimensional requirements as specified CS1:1990 Vol.1 A5; CS1: 2010 Vol. 1, A6)
Cube mould <sup>3</sup>	(Max dimensions 150 mm per side) Dimension Flatness Perpendicularity Parallelism	50 µm 10 µm 10 µm 50 µm	Reference Caliper, straight edge & feeler gauge by direct measurement. (Verification in accordance with in-house method for the dimensional requirements as specified in BS1881: Part 108:1983; CS1:1990 Vol1, A21; CS1:2010 Vol 1, A25; BS EN 12390-2:2000)
Compacting Bar <sup>3</sup>	Ramming Face: 25 mm Length: 380 mm Weight: 1.8 kg	100 µm 560 µm 1 g	Reference Caliper & Steel ruler by direct measurement. (Verification in accordance with in-house method for the dimensional & mass requirements as specified in BS 1881: Part 105:1984 Cl 3.3; CS1:1990 Vol 2, E3 CS1:2010 Vol 1, A15.3; BS EN 12350 -5:2000 Cl 4.3.)
Covermeter	20 mm to 103 mm	2.9 mm	Reference concrete block (Verification in accordance with in-house method for the dimensional requirements as specified in BS 1881-204:1988 Cl.6.4- Method C)
Flow table <sup>3</sup>	15 kg to 17 kg 1 mm up to 71 mm	12 g 600 µm	Weighing Balance, Reference caliper & Reference steel ruler by direct measurement
Test Sieve <sup>3</sup>	4 mm to 50 mm	50 µm	Reference Caliper by direct measurement
<b>Mechanical</b>			
Force Measuring Machine <sup>3</sup> (Compression Mode)	1 kN to 3000 kN	0.4 %	Reference Load cell by direct measurement BS 1610: Part 1:1985; BS 1610: Part 1:1992; BS EN ISO 12390-4:2000 Annex B; BS EN ISO 7500-1:2004

# SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

International Accreditation Service, Inc.

3060 Saturn Street, Suite 100, Brea, California 92821, U.S.A. | [www.iasonline.org](http://www.iasonline.org)

MEASURED QUANTITY or DEVICE TYPE CALIBRATED	RANGE	UNCERTAINTY <sup>1,2</sup> (±)	CALIBRATION PROCEDURE AND/OR STANDARD EQUIPMENT USED
Laser Dust Meter <sup>3</sup>	Dust particles 0.001 mg/m <sup>3</sup> to 10.00 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.9 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	By comparison method by using reference laser dust meter
Rebound Hammer <sup>3</sup>	80 unit (hardness)	1.6 rebound count	Reference Rebound count by comparison method. BS1881: Part 202:1986; BS EN 12504-2:2001; BS EN 12504-2:2012
Mass (F2 class and coarser)	0 g to 200 g 200 g to 5 kg 5 kg to 10 kg 10 kg to 50 kg	1.3 mg 0.5 g 0.88 g 3 g	Standard Weight E2/ F1 Class & Weighing Balances by comparison method (OIML-R-111)
Weighing Scale & Balance <sup>3</sup>	0 g to 200 g 0 kg to 5 kg 0 kg to 50 kg	0.8 mg 0.13 g 7.7 g	Standard weight of E2/F1 Grade by direct measurement (OIML-R-111)
Volumetric Glassware	1 mL to 100 mL 100 mL to 1000 mL	0.004 mL 0.09 mL	Standard weight E2 Class, Weighing Balances & Distilled water by gravimetric method
<b>Thermal</b>			
Digital/Liquid in Glass Thermometers & RTD/ Thermocouples with or without Indicators	15 °C to 55 °C 55 °C to 95 °C	0.4 °C 0.9 °C	Water Baths, Reference Sensor and Indicator by Comparison Method (OIML R133)
Curing Tank <sup>3</sup>	(Calibration at 20 °C & 27 °C @ 30 min)  20 °C Temperature distribution  27 °C Temperature distribution  Efficiency of circulation	  0.4 °C  0.8 °C  5 s	Reference Temperature datalogger by Mapping Method & Reference Stop Watch (Verification in accordance with in-house method for the Temp & Time requirements as specified in BS1881-111:1983 CS1:1990 Vol 1 App A24 CS1:2010 Vol 1 App A28 BE EN 12390-2:2000
Oven <sup>3</sup>	40.0 °C to 180.0 °C	1.5 °C	Reference Temperature datalogger by Mapping Method (AS 2853:1986)
Furnace <sup>3</sup>	200 °C to 1300 °C	6 °C	Reference Thermocouple with Indicator By single point Calibration (AS 2853:1986)
Water bath <sup>3</sup>	15 °C to 95 °C	0.2 °C	Reference Temperature datalogger by Mapping Method (AS 2853:1986)

# SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

International Accreditation Service, Inc.

3060 Saturn Street, Suite 100, Brea, California 92821, U.S.A. | [www.iasonline.org](http://www.iasonline.org)

MEASURED QUANTITY or DEVICE TYPE CALIBRATED	RANGE	UNCERTAINTY <sup>1,2</sup> ( $\pm$ )	CALIBRATION PROCEDURE AND/OR STANDARD EQUIPMENT USED
<i>Time and Frequency</i>			
Stop Watch / Timer <sup>3</sup>	0 s to 3600 s	0.2 s	Reference stop watch
	0 s to 21600 s (6 hours)	0.6 s	
	0 s to 86400 s (24 hours)	0.61 s	
Grout Flow Cone <sup>3</sup>	7 s to 9 s	0.2 s	Reference stop watch by direct method (ASTM C939-10 Cl.9)

<sup>1</sup>The uncertainty covered by the Calibration and Measurement Capability (CMC) is expressed as the expanded uncertainty having a coverage probability of approximately 95 %. It is the smallest measurement uncertainty that a laboratory can achieve within its scope of accreditation when performing calibrations of a best existing device. The measurement uncertainty reported on a calibration certificate may be greater than that provided in the CMC due to the behavior of the calibration item and other factors that may contribute to the uncertainty of a specific calibration.

<sup>2</sup>When uncertainty is stated in relative terms (such as percent, a multiplier expressed as a decimal fraction or in scientific notation), it is in relation to instrument reading or instrument output, as appropriate, unless otherwise indicated.

<sup>3</sup>Also available as site calibration. Note that actual measurement uncertainties achievable at a customer's site can normally be expected to be larger than the uncertainties listed on this Scope of Accreditation

# FAQ / Information

## Mutual Recognition Arrangements (MRA) / Multilateral Recognition Arrangements (MLA)

### Mutual Recognition Arrangement (MRA) Partners for HOKLAS ^

Every effort is made to promote acceptance of test data from accredited laboratories, both internationally and locally. HKAS has concluded mutual recognition arrangements with accreditation bodies listed below by being one of the signatories of the [International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation Mutual Recognition Arrangement \(ILAC MRA\)](#) and the [Asia Pacific Accreditation Cooperation Mutual Recognition Arrangement \(APAC MRA\)](#) for testing, calibration, medical testing, Proficiency Testing Providers (PTP) and Reference Material Producers (RMP). Click [here](#) to view the up-to-date signatories of ILAC and [here](#) to access the up-to-date signatories of APAC.

Visitors checking the names, logos and accreditation symbols shown on an endorsed certificate or report should note that some of our MRA partners may have their names, logos or accreditation symbols changed recently and test reports or certificates endorsed by displaying their old accreditation symbols may still be valid during the change-over period. For details, please visit their websites or contact them directly.

» [Mutual Recognition Arrangement \(MRA\) Partners for HOKLAS](#)

HKAS MRA partners will recognise HOKLAS endorsed test certificates as having the same technical validity as certificates endorsed by their respective schemes.

### Multilateral Recognition Arrangements (MLA) for HKCAS ^

HKAS has been a signatory of [Asia Pacific Accreditation Cooperation Mutual Recognition Arrangement \(APAC MRA\)](#) for Quality Management System (QMS), Environmental Management System (EMS), Food Safety Management System (FSMS), Energy Management System (EnMS), Occupational Health and Safety Management System (OHSMS) certifications, product certifications, and Greenhouse Gas (GHG) validation and verification.

HKAS has also been a signatory of the [International Accreditation Forum Multilateral Recognition Arrangement \(IAF MLA\)](#) for Quality Management System (QMS), Environmental Management System (EMS), Food Safety Management System (FSMS), Energy Management System (EnMS), Occupational Health and Safety Management System (OHSMS) certifications, product certifications, and Greenhouse Gas (GHG) validation and verification.

Click [here](#) to view the up-to-date signatories of IAF and [here](#) to access the up-to-date signatories of APAC.

» [Mutual / Multilateral Recognition Arrangements \(MRA / MLA\) Partners for HKCAS](#)

### Mutual Recognition Arrangement (MRA) Partners for HKIAS ^

HKAS has concluded mutual recognition arrangements with accreditation bodies listed below by being one of the signatories of the [International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation Mutual Recognition Arrangement \(ILAC MRA\)](#) and [Asia Pacific Accreditation Cooperation Mutual Recognition Arrangement \(APAC MRA\)](#) for inspection. Click [here](#) to view the up-to-date signatories of ILAC and [here](#) to access the up-to-date signatories of APAC.

HKAS MRA partners will recognise HKIAS endorsed inspection reports or certificates having the same technical validity as reports or certificates endorsed by their respective schemes.

» [Mutual Recognition Arrangement \(MRA\) Partners for HKIAS](#)

 back

## Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) - Mutual Recognition Arrangement (MRA) Partners

Economy	Logo	Name of Partner	URL	Test Area
United States of America		International Accreditation Service Inc. (IAS)	<a href="http://www.iasonline.org">www.iasonline.org</a>	Calibration, Non-medical Testing
United States of America		National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP)	<a href="http://www.nist.gov/nvlap">www.nist.gov/nvlap</a>	Calibration, Non-medical Testing
United States of America		Perry Johnson Laboratory Accreditation, Inc. (PJLA)	<a href="http://www.pjlabs.com">www.pjlabs.com</a>	Calibration, Medical Testing, Reference Material Producer, Non-medical Testing
Uruguay		Organismo Uruguayo de Acreditación (OUA)	<a href="http://www.organismouruguayo.deacreditacion.org">www.organismouruguayo.deacreditacion.org</a>	Calibration, Non-medical Testing
Viet Nam		Accreditation Office for Standards Conformity Assessment Capacity (AOSC)	<a href="http://aosc.vn/">aosc.vn/</a>	Calibration, Medical Testing, Non-medical Testing
Viet Nam		Bureau of Accreditation (BoA)	<a href="http://www.boa.gov.vn">www.boa.gov.vn</a>	Calibration, Medical Testing, Non-medical Testing



**CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION**

Report Number : 210918MCA-126F  
Date of Report : 21-Sep-21  
Page Number : 1 of 2  
Customer \* : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.  
Customer Address\* : Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK  
Customers Ref. \* : A005

Item Under Calibration (IUC)\*

Equipment No. : N/A  
Manufacturer : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd  
Model No. : LD-3B  
Serial No. : 235811  
Scale Division : 0.001 mg/m<sup>3</sup>  
Range : 0.001 to 1 mg/m<sup>3</sup>  
Condition of Item : Normal

Date Item Received : 18-Sep-21  
Date Calibrated : 18-Sep-21  
Calibration Location : AQuality Calibration Lab.  
Date of Next Calibration : 17-Sep-22  
Calibrated By : Jessica Liu

Test Environment

Ambient Temperature : 28.3 °C to 33.2 °C  
Relative Humidity : 55 % to 79 %

**Calibration Results**

Reference True Reading (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Average IUC Reading (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Correction (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Error of IUC Reading (%)	Expanded Uncertainty (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Coverage Factor K
0.158	0.167	-0.008	5.1%	0.020	2.0
5.164	5.647	-0.484	8.5%	0.463	2.0
10.100	11.141	-1.041	9.3%	0.904	2.0

Remarks :

- \* Denotes information supplied by customer.
- The results relate only to the items calibrated.
- The results apply to the items as received.
- Correction = Average of (Ref reading - IUC reading)
- The technical requirement of laser dust meter. +/- 20% error for the particles concentration.

Approved by: \_\_\_\_\_

LEE Mei Yee, Julia  
Managing Director



**CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION**

Report Number : 210918MCA-126F  
Date of Report : 21-Sep-21  
Page Number : 2 of 2  
Customer \* : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.  
Customers Ref. \* : A005

**Details of Calibration**

1. The calibration was performed in accordance with AQuality Testconsult Procedure Number ENV-L-003 (in-house method), by comparison with the laboratory's reference equipment which have traceable international standards of measurement.
2. The item under calibration (IUC) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for 0.25 hour before commencement of calibration.
3. A set of readings were made at each calibration concentration. The values quoted in the results are the average of each set of readings.
4. The values given in this calibration certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of calibration. Any uncertainties quoted do not include allowance for the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. The uncertainty quoted relate only to item at time of calibration. AQuality Testconsult Limited is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of this equipment.
5. The identification, calibration certificate numbers for the reference equipment used were as follows :

<u>Equipment Number</u>	<u>Certificate Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
CH-LDM-1	HBW202001563	粉尘测试仪

6. Copies of the Calibration certificates of the reference equipment used in this calibration may be obtained from AQuality Testconsult Limited, if necessary.

- End of Report -



**CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION**

Apex Testing & Certification Ltd. Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK	Test Report No.	210918MCA-126F
	Date of Issue	21-Sep-21
	Date of Testing	18-Sep-21
	Page	1 of 1

**Item for Calibration**

Description : Laser Dust Monitor  
 Manufacturer : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd  
 Model No. : LD-3B  
 Serial No. : 235811


**Standard Equipment**

Description : High Volume Sampler / Calibration Orifice  
 Manufacturer : Tisch Environmental, Inc.  
 Model No. : TE-5170 / TE-5025A  
 Serial No. : 3476 / 3543  
 Last Calibration : 17-SEP-21 / 2-Nov-20

Date	Time	Mean Temp (°C)	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration Standard Equipment (mg/m3)	Concentration Calibrated Equipment (mg/m3)
18-Sep-21	19:00	30.8	1011.1	0.0613	0.0616
18-Sep-21	20:05	30.8	1011.1	0.0587	0.0586
18-Sep-21	21:10	30.8	1011.1	0.0596	0.0600

By Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor) : 1.1031  
 Correlation Coefficient : 0.9804  
 Validity of Calibration : 17-Sep-22



Recorded by : Jessica Liu Signature: Jessica Liu Date: 18-Sep-21  
 Checked by : S Tang Signature: S Tang Date: 18-Sep-21



**CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION**

Report Number : 210918MCA-123F  
Date of Report : 21-Sep-21  
Page Number : 1 of 2  
Customer \* : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.  
Customer Address\* : Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK  
Customers Ref. \* : A005

Item Under Calibration (IUC)\*

Equipment No. : N/A  
Manufacturer : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd  
Model No. : LD-3B  
Serial No. : 336338  
Scale Division : 0.001 mg/m<sup>3</sup>  
Range : 0.001 to 1 mg/m<sup>3</sup>  
Condition of Item : Normal

Date Item Received : 18-Sep-21  
Date Calibrated : 18-Sep-21  
Calibration Location : AQuality Calibration Lab.  
Date of Next Calibration : 17-Sep-22  
Calibrated By : Jessica Liu

Test Environment

Ambient Temperature : 28.3 °C to 33.2 °C  
Relative Humidity : 55 % to 79 %

**Calibration Results**

Reference True Reading (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Average IUC Reading (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Correction (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Error of IUC Reading (%)	Expanded Uncertainty (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Coverage Factor K
0.158	0.168	-0.010	5.7%	0.026	2.0
5.164	5.562	-0.398	7.1%	0.462	2.0
10.100	10.936	-0.837	7.6%	0.905	2.0

Remarks :

- \* Denotes information supplied by customer.
- The results relate only to the items calibrated.
- The results apply to the items as received.
- Correction = Average of (Ref reading - IUC reading)
- The technical requirement of laser dust meter. +/- 20% error for the particles concentration.

Approved by: \_\_\_\_\_

LEE Mei Yee, Julia  
Managing Director



**CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION**

Report Number : 210918MCA-123F  
Date of Report : 21-Sep-21  
Page Number : 2 of 2  
Customer \* : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.  
Customers Ref. \* : A005

**Details of Calibration**

1. The calibration was performed in accordance with AQuality Testconsult Procedure Number ENV-L-003 (in-house method), by comparison with the laboratory's reference equipment which have traceable international standards of measurement.
2. The item under calibration (IUC) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for 0.25 hour before commencement of calibration.
3. A set of readings were made at each calibration concentration. The values quoted in the results are the average of each set of readings.
4. The values given in this calibration certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of calibration. Any uncertainties quoted do not include allowance for the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. The uncertainty quoted relate only to item at time of calibration. AQuality Testconsult Limited is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of this equipment.
5. The identification, calibration certificate numbers for the reference equipment used were as follows :

<u>Equipment Number</u>	<u>Certificate Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
CH-LDM-1	HBW202001563	粉尘测试仪

6. Copies of the Calibration certificates of the reference equipment used in this calibration may be obtained from AQuality Testconsult Limited, if necessary.

- End of Report -



東恒測試顧問有限公司

AQUALITY TESTCONSULT LIMITED

香港新界粉嶺坪輦路啟芳園11A&11B號

No. 11A&11B, KAI FONG GARDEN, PING CHE ROAD, FANLING, N.T., HONG KONG

TEL : 852-3582-9589

FAX : 852-2674-1177

EMAIL : cal.aqtl@gmail.com

WEBSITE: www.aqtlgroup.com

**CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION**

Apex Testing & Certification Ltd. Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK	Test Report No.	210918MCA-123F
	Date of Issue	21-Sep-21
	Date of Testing	18-Sep-21
	Page	1 of 1

**Item for Calibration**

Description : Laser Dust Monitor  
 Manufacturer : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd  
 Model No. : LD-3B  
 Serial No. : 336338

**Standard Equipment**

Description : High Volume Sampler / Calibration Orifice  
 Manufacturer : Tisch Environmental, Inc.  
 Model No. : TE-5170 / TE-5025A  
 Serial No. : 3476 / 3543  
 Last Calibration : 17-SEP-21 / 2-Nov-20


Date	Time	Mean Temp (°C)	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration Standard Equipment (mg/m3)	Concentration Calibrated Equipment (mg/m3)
18-Sep-21	19:00	30.8	1011.1	0.0613	0.0617
18-Sep-21	20:05	30.8	1011.1	0.0587	0.0578
18-Sep-21	21:10	30.8	1011.1	0.0596	0.0586

By Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor) : 1.5207

Correlation Coefficient : 0.9786

Validity of Calibration : 17-Sep-22



Recorded by : Jessica Liu Signature: Jessica Liu Date: 18-Sep-21

Checked by : S Tang Signature: S Tang Date: 18-Sep-21



**CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION**

Report Number : 210918MCA-125F  
 Date of Report : 21-Sep-21  
 Page Number : 1 of 2  
 Customer \* : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.  
 Customer Address\* : Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK  
 Customers Ref. \* : A005

Item Under Calibration (IUC)\*

Equipment No. : N/A  
 Manufacturer : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd  
 Model No. : LD-3B  
 Serial No. : 567188  
 Scale Division : 0.001 mg/m<sup>3</sup>  
 Range : 0.001 to 1 mg/m<sup>3</sup>  
 Condition of Item : Normal

Date Item Received : 18-Sep-21  
 Date Calibrated : 18-Sep-21  
 Calibration Location : AQuality Calibration Lab.  
 Date of Next Calibration : 17-Sep-22  
 Calibrated By : Jessica Liu

Test Environment

Ambient Temperature : 28.3 °C to 33.2 °C  
 Relative Humidity : 55 % to 79 %

**Calibration Results**

Reference True Reading (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Average IUC Reading (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Correction (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Error of IUC Reading (%)	Expanded Uncertainty (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Coverage Factor K
0.158	0.167	-0.008	4.9%	0.023	2.0
5.164	5.693	-0.530	9.3%	0.463	2.0
10.100	11.045	-0.945	8.6%	0.905	2.0

Remarks :

- \* Denotes information supplied by customer.
- The results relate only to the items calibrated.
- The results apply to the items as received.
- Correction = Average of (Ref reading - IUC reading)
- The technical requirement of laser dust meter. +/- 20% error for the particles concentration.

Approved by: \_\_\_\_\_

LEE Mei Yee, Julia  
 Managing Director



### CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Report Number : 210918MCA-125F  
Date of Report : 21-Sep-21  
Page Number : 2 of 2  
Customer \* : Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.  
Customers Ref. \* : A005

#### Details of Calibration

1. The calibration was performed in accordance with AQuality Testconsult Procedure Number ENV-L-003 (in-house method), by comparison with the laboratory's reference equipment which have traceable international standards of measurement.
2. The item under calibration (IUC) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for 0.25 hour before commencement of calibration.
3. A set of readings were made at each calibration concentration. The values quoted in the results are the average of each set of readings.
4. The values given in this calibration certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of calibration. Any uncertainties quoted do not include allowance for the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. The uncertainty quoted relate only to item at time of calibration. AQuality Testconsult Limited is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of this equipment.
5. The identification, calibration certificate numbers for the reference equipment used were as follows :

<u>Equipment Number</u>	<u>Certificate Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
CH-LDM-1	HBW202001563	粉尘测试仪

6. Copies of the Calibration certificates of the reference equipment used in this calibration may be obtained from AQuality Testconsult Limited, if necessary.

- End of Report -



東恒測試顧問有限公司

AQUALITY TESTCONSULT LIMITED

香港新界粉嶺坪輦路啟芳園11A&11B號

No. 11A&11B, KAI FONG GARDEN, PING CHE ROAD, FANLING, N.T., HONG KONG

TEL : 852-3582-9589

FAX : 852-2674-1177

EMAIL : cal.aqtl@gmail.com

WEBSITE: www.aqtlgroup.com

**CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION**

Apex Testing & Certification Ltd. Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T., HK	Test Report No.	210918MCA-125F
	Date of Issue	21-Sep-21
	Date of Testing	18-Sep-21
	Page	1 of 1

**Item for Calibration**

Description : Laser Dust Monitor  
 Manufacturer : Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd  
 Model No. : LD-3B  
 Serial No. : 567188

**Standard Equipment**

Description : High Volume Sampler / Calibration Orifice  
 Manufacturer : Tisch Environmental, Inc.  
 Model No. : TE-5170 / TE-5025A  
 Serial No. : 3476 / 3543  
 Last Calibration : 17-SEP-21 / 2-Nov-20


Date	Time	Mean Temp (°C)	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration Standard Equipment (mg/m3)	Concentration Calibrated Equipment (mg/m3)
18-Sep-21	19:00	30.8	1011.1	0.0613	0.0619
18-Sep-21	20:05	30.8	1011.1	0.0587	0.0577
18-Sep-21	21:10	30.8	1011.1	0.0596	0.0595

By Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor) : 1.5915

Correlation Coefficient : 0.9905

Validity of Calibration : 17-Sep-22



Recorded by : Jessica Liu

Signature: Jessica Liu

Date: 18-Sep-21

Checked by : S Tang

Signature: S Tang

Date: 18-Sep-21



## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.: 21CA0928 03-05 Page 1 of 2

### Item tested

Description:	Sound Level Meter (Class 1)	,	Microphone
Manufacturer:	Hangzhou Aihua Instruments Co., Ltd	,	-
Type/Model No.:	AWA5661	,	AWA14425
Serial/Equipment No.:	301135	,	15338
Adaptors used:	-	,	-

### Item submitted by

Customer Name:	Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.
Address of Customer:	Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T.
Request No.:	-
Date of receipt:	28-Sep-2021

Date of test: 04-Oct-2021

### Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:	Traceable to:
Multi function sound calibrator	B&K 4226	2288444	23-Aug-2022	CIGISMEC
Signal generator	DS 360	61227	31-Dec-2021	CEPREI

### Ambient conditions

Temperature:	22 ± 1 °C
Relative humidity:	55 ± 10 %
Air pressure:	1005 ± 5 hPa

### Test specifications

- 1, The Sound Level Meter has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-152.
- 2, The electrical tests were performed using an electrical signal substituted for the microphone which was removed and replaced by an equivalent capacitance within a tolerance of ±20%.
- 3, The acoustic calibration was performed using an B&K 4226 sound calibrator and corrections was applied for the difference between the free-field and pressure responsess of the Sound Level Meter.


### Test results

This is to certify that the Sound Level Meter conforms to BS 7580: Part 1: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Actual Measurement data are documented on worksheets.

Approved Signatory:



Feng Junqi

Date: 06-Oct-2021

Company Chop:



**Comments:** The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument. The results apply to the item as received.



## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.: 21CA0928 03-05 Page 2 of 2

### 1, Electrical Tests

The electrical tests were performed using an equivalent capacitance substituted for the microphone. The results are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties. The "Pass" means the result of the test is inside the tolerances stated in the test specifications. The "-" means the result of test is outside these tolerances.

Test:	Subtest:	Status:	Expanded Uncertainty (dB)	Coverage Factor
Self-generated noise	A	Pass	0.3	2.1
	C	Pass	0.8	
	Lin	Pass	1.6	
Linearity range for Leq	At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz	Pass	0.3	2.2
	Reference SPL on all other ranges	Pass	0.3	
	2 dB below upper limit of each range	Pass	0.3	
	2 dB above lower limit of each range	Pass	0.3	
Linearity range for SPL	At reference range, Step 5 dB at 4 kHz	Pass	0.3	
	Frequency weightings			
Time weightings	A	Pass	0.3	
	C	Pass	0.3	
	Lin	Pass	0.3	
Peak response	Single Burst Fast	Pass	0.3	
	Single Burst Slow	Pass	0.3	
R.M.S. accuracy	Single 100µs rectangular pulse	Pass	0.3	
Time weighting I	Crest factor of 3	Pass	0.3	
	Single burst 5 ms at 2000 Hz	Pass	0.3	
Time averaging	Repeated at frequency of 100 Hz	Pass	0.3	
	1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 <sup>3</sup> at 4kHz	Pass	0.3	
	1 ms burst duty factor 1/10 <sup>4</sup> at 4kHz	Pass	0.3	
Pulse range	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4	
Sound exposure level	Single burst 10 ms at 4 kHz	Pass	0.4	
Overload indication	SPL	Pass	0.3	
	Leq	Pass	0.4	

### 2, Acoustic tests

The complete sound level meter was calibrated on the reference range using a B&K 4226 acoustic calibrator with 1000Hz and SPL 94 dB. The sensitivity of the sound level meter was adjusted. The test result at 125 Hz and 8000 Hz are given in below with test status and the estimated uncertainties.

Test:	Subtest	Status	Expanded Uncertainty (dB)	Coverage Factor
Acoustic response	Weighting A at 125 Hz	Pass	0.3	
	Weighting A at 8000 Hz	Pass	0.5	

### 3, Response to associated sound calibrator

N/A

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

Calibrated by:

Fung Chi Yip

Date: 04-Oct-2021

- End -

Checked by:

Chan Yuk Yiu

Date: 06-Oct-2021

The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.



Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 1 of 5

Sound level meter type: AWA5661 Serial No. 301135 Date 04-Oct-2021  
 Microphone type: AWA14425 Serial No. 15338

Report: 21CA0928 03-05

### SELF GENERATED NOISE TEST

The noise test is performed in the most sensitive range of the SLM with the microphone replaced by an equivalent impedance.

Noise level in A weighting 11.7 dB  
 Noise level in C weighting 12.5 dB  
 Noise level in Lin 16.7 dB

### LINEARITY TEST

The linearity is tested relative to the reference sound pressure level using a continuous sinusoidal signal of frequency 4 kHz. The measurement is made on the reference range for indications at 5 dB intervals starting from the 94 dB reference sound pressure level. And until within 5 dB of the upper and lower limits of the reference range, the measurements shall be made at 1 dB intervals. (SLM set to LEQ/SPL)

Reference/Expected level	Actual level		Tolerance	Deviation	
	non-integrated	integrated		non-integrated	integrated
dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB	dB
94.0	94.0	94.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
99.0	99.0	99.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
104.0	104.0	104.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
109.0	109.0	109.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
114.0	114.0	114.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
115.0	115.0	115.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
116.0	116.0	116.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
117.0	117.0	117.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
118.0	118.0	118.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
119.0	119.0	119.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
120.0	120.0	120.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
89.0	89.1	89.1	0.7	0.1	0.1
84.0	84.1	84.1	0.7	0.1	0.1
79.0	79.1	79.1	0.7	0.1	0.1
74.0	74.1	74.1	0.7	0.1	0.1
69.0	69.1	69.1	0.7	0.1	0.1
64.0	64.1	64.1	0.7	0.1	0.1
59.0	59.1	59.1	0.7	0.1	0.1
54.0	54.1	54.1	0.7	0.1	0.1
49.0	49.1	49.1	0.7	0.1	0.1
44.0	44.0	44.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
39.0	39.0	39.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
34.0	34.0	34.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
29.0	29.1	29.1	0.7	0.1	0.1
28.0	28.1	28.1	0.7	0.1	0.1



Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 2 of 5

Sound level meter type: AWA5661 Serial No. 301135 Date 04-Oct-2021  
Microphone type: AWA14425 Serial No. 15338 Report: 21CA0928 03-05

27.0	27.1	27.1	0.7	0.1	0.1
26.0	26.2	26.2	0.7	0.2	0.2
25.0	25.3	25.3	0.7	0.3	0.3

Measurements for an indication of the reference SPL on all other ranges which include it

Other ranges	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance	Deviation
dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
25-120	94.0	94.0	0.7	0.0
45-140	94.0	93.9	0.7	-0.1

Measurements on all level ranges for indications 2 dB below the upper limit and 2 dB above the lower limit

Ranges	Reference/Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance	Deviation
dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
25-120	27.0	27.1	0.7	0.1
	118.0	118.0	0.7	0.0
45-140	47.0	47.0	0.7	0.0
	138.0	137.7	0.7	-0.3

## FREQUENCY WEIGHTING TEST

The frequency response of the weighting networks are tested at octave intervals over the frequency ranges 31.5 Hz to 12500 Hz. The signal level at 1000 Hz is set to give an indication of the reference SPL.

Frequency weighting A:

Frequency	Ref. level	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance(dB)		Deviation
				+	-	
Hz	dB	dB	dB			dB
1000.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
31.6	94.0	54.6	54.3	1.5	1.5	-0.3
63.1	94.0	67.8	67.7	1.5	1.5	-0.1
125.9	94.0	77.9	77.8	1.0	1.0	-0.1
251.2	94.0	85.4	85.3	1.0	1.0	-0.1
501.2	94.0	90.8	90.7	1.0	1.0	-0.1
1995.0	94.0	95.2	95.2	1.0	1.0	0.0
3981.0	94.0	95.0	95.2	1.0	1.0	0.2
7943.0	94.0	92.9	93.5	1.5	3.0	0.6
12590.0	94.0	89.7	89.4	3.0	6.0	-0.3

Frequency weighting C:

Frequency	Ref. level	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance(dB)		Deviation
				+	-	
Hz	dB	dB	dB			dB
1000.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
31.6	94.0	91.0	90.8	1.5	1.5	-0.2
63.1	94.0	93.2	93.1	1.5	1.5	-0.1
125.9	94.0	93.8	93.8	1.0	1.0	0.0



Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Page 3 of 5

Sound level meter type: AWA5661 Serial No. 301135 Date 04-Oct-2021  
Microphone type: AWA14425 Serial No. 15338  
Report: 21CA0928 03-05

251.2	94.0	94.0	93.9	1.0	1.0	-0.1
501.2	94.0	94.0	94.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
1995.0	94.0	93.8	93.1	1.0	1.0	-0.7
3981.0	94.0	93.2	93.4	1.0	1.0	0.2
7943.0	94.0	91.0	91.6	1.5	3.0	0.6
12590.0	94.0	87.8	87.5	3.0	6.0	-0.3

Frequency weighting Lin:

Frequency Hz	Ref. level dB	Expected level dB	Actual level dB	Tolerance(dB)		Deviation dB
				+	-	
1000.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
31.6	94.0	94.0	93.9	1.5	1.5	-0.1
63.1	94.0	94.0	94.0	1.5	1.5	0.0
125.9	94.0	94.0	94.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
251.2	94.0	94.0	94.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
501.2	94.0	94.0	94.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
1995.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
3981.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
7943.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	1.5	3.0	0.0
12590.0	94.0	94.0	93.9	3.0	6.0	-0.1

TIME WEIGHTING FAST TEST

Time weighting F is tested on the reference range with a single sinusoidal burst of duration 200 ms at a frequency 2000 Hz and an amplitude which produces an indication 4 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range when the signal is continuous. (Weight A, Maximum hold)

Ref. level dB	Expected level dB	Actual level dB	Tolerance(dB)		Deviation dB
			+	-	
116.0	115.0	115.0	1.0	1.0	0.0

TIME WEIGHTING SLOW TEST

Time weighting S is tested on the reference range with a single sinusoidal burst of duration 500 ms at a frequency 2000 Hz and an amplitude which produces an indication 4 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range when the signal is continuous. (Weight A, Maximum hold)

Ref. level dB	Expected level dB	Actual level dB	Tolerance(dB)		Deviation dB
			+	-	
116.0	111.9	111.9	1.0	1.0	0.0

PEAK RESPONSE TEST

The onset time of the peak detector is tested on the reference range by comparing the response to a 100 us rectangular test pulse with the response to a 10 ms reference pulse of the same amplitude. The amplitude of the 10 ms reference pulse is such as to produce an indication 1 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range.

Positive polarities: (Weighting Z, set the generator signal to single, Lzpeak)

Ref. level	Response to 10 ms	Response to 100 us	Tolerance	Deviation



Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Sound level meter type: AWA5661 Serial No. 301135 Date 04-Oct-2021  
 Microphone type: AWA14425 Serial No. 15338  
 Report: 21CA0928 03-05

dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
119.0	119.0	119.3	2.0	0.3

Negative polarities:

Ref. level	Response to 10 ms	Response to 100 us	Tolerance	Deviation
dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
119.0	119.0	119.3	2.0	0.3

RMS ACCURACY TEST

The RMS detector accuracy is tested on the reference range for a crest factor of 3.

Test frequency: 2000 Hz  
 Amplitude: 2 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range.  
 Burst repetition frequency: 40 Hz  
 Tone burst signal: 11 cycles of a sine wave of frequency 2000 Hz. (Set to INT)

	Ref. Level	Expected level	Tone burst signal	Tolerance	Deviation
Time weighting	dB	dB	indication(dB)	+/- dB	dB
Slow	116.0+6.6	116.0	115.8	0.5	-0.2

TIME WEIGHTING IMPULSE TEST

Time weighting I is tested on the reference range (Set the SLM to LAImax)

Test frequency: 2000 Hz  
 Amplitude: The upper limit of the primary indicator range.

Single sinusoidal burst of duration 5 ms:

Ref. Level	Single burst indication		Tolerance	Deviation
dB	Expected (dB)	Actual (dB)	+/- dB	dB
120.0	111.2	111.1	2.0	-0.1

Repeated at 100 Hz

Ref. Level	Repeated burst indication		Tolerance	Deviation
dB	Expected (dB)	Actual (dB)	+/- dB	dB
120.0	117.3	117.1	1.0	-0.2

TIME AVERAGING TEST

This test compares the SLM reading for continuous sine signals with readings obtained from a sine tone burst sequence having the same RMS level. The test level is 30 dB below the upper limit of the linearity range and repeated for Type 1 SLM with 40 dB below the upper limit of the linearity.

Frequency of tone burst: 4000 Hz

Duration of tone burst: 1 ms

Repetition Time	Level of tone burst	Expected Leq	Actual Leq	Tolerance	Deviation	Remarks
msec	dB	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB	
1000	90.0	90.0	89.8	1.0	-0.2	60s integ.
10000	80.0	80.0	79.8	1.0	-0.2	6min. integ.

PULSE RANGE AND SOUND EXPOSURE LEVEL TEST

The test tone burst signal is superimposed on a baseline signal corresponding to the lower limit of reference range



Test Data for Sound Level Meter

Sound level meter type: AWA5661 Serial No. 301135 Date 04-Oct-2021  
 Microphone type: AWA14425 Serial No. 15338  
 Report: 21CA0928 03-05

Test frequency: 4000 Hz

Integration time: 10 sec

The integrating sound level meter set to Leq:

Duration	Rms level of	Expected	Actual	Tolerance	Deviation
msec	tone burst (dB)	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
10	90.0	60.0	59.8	1.7	-0.2

The integrating sound level meter set to SEL:

Duration	Rms level of	Expected	Actual	Tolerance	Deviation
msec	tone burst (dB)	dB	dB	+/- dB	dB
10.0	90.0	70.0	70.0	1.7	0.0

OVERLOAD INDICATION TEST

For SLM capable of operating in a non-integrating mode.

Test frequency: 2000 Hz  
 Amplitude: 2 dB below the upper limit of the primary indicator range.  
 Burst repetition frequency: 40 Hz  
 Tone burst signal: 11 cycles of a sine wave of frequency 2000 Hz.

Level	Level reduced by	Further reduced	Difference	Tolerance	Deviation
at overload (dB)	1 dB	3 dB	dB	dB	dB
115.6	114.6	111.6	3.0	1.0	0.0

For integrating SLM, with the instrument indicating Leq.

For integrating SLM, with the instrument indicating Leq and set to the reference range. The test signal as following:

The test tone burst signal is superimposed on a baseline signal corresponding to the lower limit of reference range

Test frequency: 4000 Hz

Integration time: 10 sec

Single burst duration: 1 msec

Rms level	Level reduced by	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance	Deviation
at overload (dB)	1 dB	dB	dB	dB	dB
121.9	120.9	80.9	80.7	2.2	-0.2

ACOUSTIC TEST

The acoustic test of the complete SLM is tested at the frequency 125 Hz and 8000 Hz using a B&K type 4226 Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator. The test is performed in A weighting.

Frequency	Expected level	Actual level	Tolerance (dB)		Deviation
Hz	dB	Measured (dB)	+	-	dB
1000	94.0	94.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
125	77.9	78.2	1.0	1.0	0.3
8000	92.9	93.6	1.5	3.0	0.7

-----END-----



## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate No.: 21CA0928 03-07

Page: 1 of 2

### Item tested

Description: Acoustical Calibrator (Class 1)  
Manufacturer: Quest  
Type/Model No.: QC-10  
Serial/Equipment No.: QI9010183  
Adaptors used: -

### Item submitted by

Customer: Apex Testing & Certification Ltd.  
Address of Customer: Unit D6A, 10/F, TML Tower, 3 Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan, N.T.  
Request No.: -  
Date of receipt: 28-Sep-2021

Date of test: 05-Oct-2021

### Reference equipment used in the calibration

Description:	Model:	Serial No.	Expiry Date:	Traceable to:
Lab standard microphone	B&K 4180	2341427	04-May-2022	SCL
Preamplifier	B&K 2673	2239857	31-May-2022	CEPREI
Measuring amplifier	B&K 2610	2346941	01-Jun-2022	CEPREI
Signal generator	DS 360	33873	27-May-2022	CEPREI
Digital multi-meter	34401A	US36087050	27-May-2022	CEPREI
Audio analyzer	8903B	GB41300350	28-May-2022	CEPREI
Universal counter	53132A	MY40003662	02-Jun-2022	CEPREI

### Ambient conditions

Temperature:  $22 \pm 1$  °C  
Relative humidity:  $55 \pm 10$  %  
Air pressure:  $1005 \pm 5$  hPa

### Test specifications

- 1, The Sound Calibrator has been calibrated in accordance with the requirements as specified in IEC 60942 1997 Annex B and the lab calibration procedure SMTP004-CA-156.
- 2, The calibrator was tested with its axis vertical facing downwards at the specific frequency using insert voltage technique.
- 3, The results are rounded to the nearest 0.01 dB and 0.1 Hz and have not been corrected for variations from a reference pressure of 1013.25 hectoPascals as the maker's information indicates that the instrument is insensitive to pressure changes.

### Test results

This is to certify that the sound calibrator conforms to the requirements of annex B of IEC 60942: 1997 for the conditions under which the test was performed. This does not imply that the sound calibrator meets IEC 60942 under any other conditions.

Details of the performed measurements are presented on page 2 of this certificate.

Approved Signatory:

Feng Junqi

Date: 05-Oct-2021

Company Chop:



Comments: The results reported in this certificate refer to the condition of the instrument on the date of calibration and carry no implication regarding the long-term stability of the instrument. The results apply to the item as received.



## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

(Continuation Page)

Certificate No.: 21CA0928 03-07

Page: 2 of 2

### 1, Measured Sound Pressure Level

The output Sound Pressure Level in the calibrator head was measured at the setting and frequency shown using a calibrated laboratory standard microphone and insert voltage technique. The results are given in below with the estimated uncertainties.

(Output level in dB re 20  $\mu$ Pa)

Frequency Shown Hz	Output Sound Pressure Level Setting dB	Measured Output Sound Pressure Level dB	Estimated Expanded Uncertainty dB
1000	114.00	114.00	0.10

### 2, Sound Pressure Level Stability - Short Term Fluctuations

The Short Term Fluctuations was determined by measuring the maximum and minimum of the fast weighted DC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier over a 20 second time interval as required in the standard. The Short Term Fluctuation was found to be:

At 1000 Hz STF = 0.012 dB

Estimated expanded uncertainty 0.005 dB

### 3, Actual Output Frequency

The determination of actual output frequency was made using a B&K 4180 microphone together with a B&K 2673 preamplifier connected to a B&K 2610 measuring amplifier. The AC output of the B&K 2610 was taken to an universal counter which was used to determine the frequency averaged over 20 second of operation as required by the standard. The actual output frequency at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz Actual Frequency = 1003.1 Hz

Estimated expanded uncertainty 0.1 Hz Coverage factor k = 2.2

### 4, Total Noise and Distortion

For the Total Noise and Distortion measurement, the unfiltered AC output of the B&K 2610 measuring amplifier was connected to an Agilent Type 8903 B distortion analyser. The TND result at 1 KHz was:

At 1000 Hz TND = 0.2 %

Estimated expanded uncertainty 0.7 %

The expanded uncertainties have been calculated in accordance with the ISO Publication "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement", and gives an interval estimated to have a level of confidence of 95%. A coverage factor of 2 is assumed unless explicitly stated.

Calibrated by:

Date:

Fung Chi Yip  
05-Oct-2021

- End -

Checked by:

Date:

Chan Yuk Yiu  
05-Oct-2021


The standard(s) and equipment used in the calibration are traceable to national or international recognised standards and are calibrated on a schedule to maintain the required accuracy level.

## **G. Graphical Plots of the Monitoring Results**

**Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM3A (1-hour TSP)**

Date	Weather Condition	Time		Conc. ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )			Action Level	Limit Level
		Start	Finish	1st Hour	2nd Hour	3rd Hour		
04-Jul-22	Cloudy	8:08	11:08	51	49	50	280.4	500
05-Jul-22	Cloudy	14:07	17:07	49	45	50	280.4	500
11-Jul-22	Cloudy	8:09	11:09	43	43	42	280.4	500
16-Jul-22	Fine	14:11	17:11	40	41	38	280.4	500
22-Jul-22	Fine	8:03	11:03	47	48	47	280.4	500
28-Jul-22	Fine	14:06	17:06	54	53	56	280.4	500


# Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM3A (1-hour TSP)



**Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM4A (1-hour TSP)**

Date	Weather Condition	Time		Conc. ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )			Action Level	Limit Level
		Start	Finish	1st Hour	2nd Hour	3rd Hour		
04-Jul-22	Cloudy	8:16	11:16	48	48	51	278.5	500
05-Jul-22	Cloudy	14:15	17:15	46	52	52	278.5	500
11-Jul-22	Cloudy	8:17	11:17	42	42	41	278.5	500
16-Jul-22	Fine	14:19	17:19	43	44	43	278.5	500
22-Jul-22	Fine	8:11	11:11	48	45	50	278.5	500
28-Jul-22	Fine	14:14	17:14	55	57	52	278.5	500


# Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM4A (1-hour TSP)



**Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM5A (1-hour TSP)**

Date	Weather Condition	Time		Conc. ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )			Action Level	Limit Level
		Start	Finish	1st Hour	2nd Hour	3rd Hour		
04-Jul-22	Cloudy	8:31	11:31	50	50	50	275.4	500
05-Jul-22	Cloudy	14:32	17:32	51	45	51	275.4	500
11-Jul-22	Cloudy	8:32	11:32	48	47	41	275.4	500
16-Jul-22	Fine	14:36	17:36	41	37	41	275.4	500
22-Jul-22	Fine	8:26	11:26	47	44	48	275.4	500
28-Jul-22	Fine	14:22	17:22	53	58	56	275.4	500


# Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM5A (1-hour TSP)



**Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM3A (24-hour TSP)**

Start		Finish		Filter Weight (g)		Elapsed Time Reading		Sampling Time (hrs)	Flow Rate (m <sup>3</sup> /min)			Conc. (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Weather Condition	Action Level	Limit Level
Date	Time	Date	Time	Initial	Final	Initial	Final		Initial	Final	Average				
04-Jul-22	10:00AM	05-Jul-22	10:00AM	2.8086	2.8900	3794.8	3818.8	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	50.5	Cloudy	152.4	260
05-Jul-22	10:00AM	06-Jul-22	10:00AM	2.8014	2.8756	3818.8	3842.8	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	46.1	Cloudy	152.4	260
11-Jul-22	10:00AM	12-Jul-22	10:00AM	2.8035	2.8735	3842.8	3866.8	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	43.5	Sunny	152.4	260
16-Jul-22	10:00AM	17-Jul-22	10:00AM	2.8050	2.8649	3866.8	3890.8	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	37.2	Rainy	152.4	260
22-Jul-22	10:00AM	23-Jul-22	10:00AM	2.8084	2.8822	3890.8	3914.8	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	45.9	Sunny	152.4	260
28-Jul-22	10:00AM	29-Jul-22	10:00AM	2.8063	2.8932	3914.8	3938.8	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	54.0	Sunny	152.4	260


# Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM3A (24-hour TSP)



**Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM4A (24-hour TSP)**

Start		Finish		Filter Weight (g)		Elapsed Time Reading		Sampling Time (hrs)	Flow Rate (m <sup>3</sup> /min)			Conc. (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Weather Condition	Action Level	Limit Level
Date	Time	Date	Time	Initial	Final	Initial	Final		Initial	Final	Average				
04-Jul-22	10:00AM	05-Jul-22	10:00AM	2.8052	2.8832	4214.4	4238.4	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	48.5	Cloudy	152.6	260
05-Jul-22	10:00AM	06-Jul-22	10:00AM	2.8086	2.8807	4238.4	4262.4	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	44.8	Cloudy	152.6	260
11-Jul-22	10:00AM	12-Jul-22	10:00AM	2.8018	2.8703	4262.4	4286.4	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	42.6	Sunny	152.6	260
16-Jul-22	10:00AM	17-Jul-22	10:00AM	2.8068	2.8737	4286.4	4310.4	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	41.6	Rainy	152.6	260
22-Jul-22	10:00AM	23-Jul-22	10:00AM	2.8024	2.8783	4310.4	4334.4	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	47.2	Sunny	152.6	260
28-Jul-22	10:00AM	29-Jul-22	10:00AM	2.8060	2.8851	4334.4	4358.4	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	49.1	Sunny	152.6	260


# Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM4A (24-hour TSP)



**Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM5A (24-hour TSP)**

Start		Finish		Filter Weight (g)		Elapsed Time Reading		Sampling Time (hrs)	Flow Rate (m <sup>3</sup> /min)			Conc. (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Weather Condition	Action Level	Limit Level
Date	Time	Date	Time	Initial	Final	Initial	Final		Initial	Final	Average				
04-Jul-22	10:00AM	05-Jul-22	10:00AM	2.8075	2.8840	4354.6	4378.6	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	47.5	Cloudy	141.1	260
05-Jul-22	10:00AM	06-Jul-22	10:00AM	2.8066	2.8825	4378.6	4402.6	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	47.2	Cloudy	141.1	260
11-Jul-22	10:00AM	12-Jul-22	10:00AM	2.8070	2.8735	4402.6	4426.6	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	41.3	Sunny	141.1	260
16-Jul-22	10:00AM	17-Jul-22	10:00AM	2.8061	2.8695	4426.6	4450.6	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	39.4	Rainy	141.1	260
22-Jul-22	10:00AM	23-Jul-22	10:00AM	2.8012	2.8750	4450.6	4474.6	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	45.9	Sunny	141.1	260
28-Jul-22	10:00AM	29-Jul-22	10:00AM	2.8024	2.8853	4474.6	4498.6	24	1.12	1.12	1.12	51.5	Sunny	141.1	260

# Graphical Presentation of Air Quality Monitoring Result at Station AM5A (24-hour TSP)




**Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM2A**

Date	Time	Measured L10 dB(A)	Measured L90 dB(A)	Leq (30 min.) dB(A)
04-Jul-22	8:38	63.7	58.8	61.7
04-Jul-22	8:43	64.7	59.4	
04-Jul-22	8:48	64.5	59.2	
04-Jul-22	8:53	63.8	59.7	
04-Jul-22	8:58	64.1	59.7	
04-Jul-22	9:03	63.8	58.7	
05-Jul-22	14:37	64.4	59.3	61.5
05-Jul-22	14:42	63.8	60.1	
05-Jul-22	14:47	64.4	58.8	
05-Jul-22	14:52	63.8	60.1	
05-Jul-22	14:57	63.0	60.0	
05-Jul-22	15:02	63.1	58.6	61.9
11-Jul-22	8:39	62.9	60.0	
11-Jul-22	8:44	64.1	60.5	
11-Jul-22	8:49	64.0	60.3	
11-Jul-22	8:54	63.0	59.9	
11-Jul-22	8:59	63.5	59.0	
11-Jul-22	9:04	63.5	59.8	61.3
16-Jul-22	14:41	64.5	60.2	
16-Jul-22	14:46	64.6	59.3	
16-Jul-22	14:51	63.2	60.3	
16-Jul-22	14:56	64.4	58.9	
16-Jul-22	15:01	63.6	59.0	
16-Jul-22	15:06	64.5	60.0	62.0
22-Jul-22	8:33	64.2	59.4	
22-Jul-22	8:38	63.6	58.8	
22-Jul-22	8:43	63.6	59.0	
22-Jul-22	8:48	64.4	58.9	
22-Jul-22	8:53	63.7	60.5	
22-Jul-22	8:58	62.9	59.6	61.9
28-Jul-22	14:06	62.8	59.5	
28-Jul-22	14:11	63.2	59.0	
28-Jul-22	14:16	62.8	60.4	
28-Jul-22	14:21	64.2	59.5	
28-Jul-22	14:26	63.3	59.9	
28-Jul-22	14:31	64.6	60.5	



The station set-up of a façade measurement at station NM2A.

# Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM2A




**Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM3A**

Date	Time	Measured L10 dB(A)	Measured L90 dB(A)	Leq (30 min.) dB(A)
04-Jul-22	10:08	67.3	61.3	64.8
04-Jul-22	10:13	66.7	62.2	
04-Jul-22	10:18	66.3	61.2	
04-Jul-22	10:23	67.6	62.4	
04-Jul-22	10:28	67.4	62.3	
04-Jul-22	10:33	67.4	61.8	
05-Jul-22	16:10	66.1	60.5	65.0
05-Jul-22	16:15	67.7	61.0	
05-Jul-22	16:20	67.3	60.8	
05-Jul-22	16:25	66.0	61.7	
05-Jul-22	16:30	67.3	62.1	
05-Jul-22	16:35	67.8	61.1	
11-Jul-22	10:09	66.8	61.7	64.6
11-Jul-22	10:14	66.7	61.0	
11-Jul-22	10:19	67.4	60.7	
11-Jul-22	10:24	67.0	62.3	
11-Jul-22	10:29	67.7	61.6	
11-Jul-22	10:34	66.7	61.2	
16-Jul-22	16:14	67.6	60.6	64.9
16-Jul-22	16:19	66.0	61.6	
16-Jul-22	16:24	67.2	61.7	
16-Jul-22	16:29	67.4	61.5	
16-Jul-22	16:34	66.3	60.7	
16-Jul-22	16:39	67.7	61.9	
22-Jul-22	10:03	67.8	62.0	64.5
22-Jul-22	10:08	66.1	61.1	
22-Jul-22	10:13	67.3	61.1	
22-Jul-22	10:18	66.1	61.5	
22-Jul-22	10:23	67.3	60.6	
22-Jul-22	10:28	67.9	62.3	
28-Jul-22	15:48	67.8	60.6	64.6
28-Jul-22	15:53	66.4	60.7	
28-Jul-22	15:58	67.6	61.9	
28-Jul-22	16:03	67.5	61.0	
28-Jul-22	16:08	66.1	61.0	
28-Jul-22	16:13	66.9	61.5	



The station set-up of a façade measurement at station NM3A.

# Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM3A




**Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM4A**

Date	Time	Measured L10 dB(A)	Measured L90 dB(A)	Leq (30 min.) dB(A)
04-Jul-22	10:43	66.7	62.9	63.9
04-Jul-22	10:48	66.4	61.3	
04-Jul-22	10:53	66.0	62.5	
04-Jul-22	10:58	66.2	63.0	
04-Jul-22	11:03	65.2	62.2	
04-Jul-22	11:08	66.2	61.4	
05-Jul-22	16:45	65.2	62.3	64.4
05-Jul-22	16:50	66.5	62.6	
05-Jul-22	16:55	66.5	61.4	
05-Jul-22	17:00	66.4	62.0	
05-Jul-22	17:05	65.2	61.9	
05-Jul-22	17:10	65.6	62.5	
11-Jul-22	10:44	65.2	60.8	63.3
11-Jul-22	10:49	65.3	61.1	
11-Jul-22	10:54	64.8	60.9	
11-Jul-22	10:59	65.2	61.0	
11-Jul-22	11:04	65.3	60.5	
11-Jul-22	11:09	65.6	60.1	
16-Jul-22	16:49	65.7	61.9	64.1
16-Jul-22	16:54	65.0	62.6	
16-Jul-22	16:59	65.0	62.3	
16-Jul-22	17:04	65.2	61.5	
16-Jul-22	17:09	66.4	63.1	
16-Jul-22	17:14	65.7	62.7	
22-Jul-22	10:38	66.6	61.6	64.0
22-Jul-22	10:43	65.9	62.9	
22-Jul-22	10:48	66.7	61.6	
22-Jul-22	10:53	65.8	62.2	
22-Jul-22	10:58	65.4	63.2	
22-Jul-22	11:03	66.5	62.5	
28-Jul-22	16:23	65.5	63.0	64.0
28-Jul-22	16:28	65.3	62.5	
28-Jul-22	16:33	65.3	62.9	
28-Jul-22	16:38	66.6	62.1	
28-Jul-22	16:43	65.2	62.6	
28-Jul-22	16:48	66.4	63.0	



The station set-up of a façade measurement at station NM4A.

# Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM4A



**Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM5A**

Date	Time	Measured L10 dB(A)	Measured L90 dB(A)	Leq (30 min.) dB(A)	Leq (30 min.) +3 dB(A)
04-Jul-22	9:28	63.2	58.1	61.1	64.1
04-Jul-22	9:33	62.8	59.2		
04-Jul-22	9:38	63.7	59.5		
04-Jul-22	9:43	62.7	58.2		
04-Jul-22	9:48	63.8	59.4		
04-Jul-22	9:53	63.4	58.1		
05-Jul-22	15:29	64.2	58.3	61.1	64.1
05-Jul-22	15:34	64.0	58.7		
05-Jul-22	15:39	62.8	59.2		
05-Jul-22	15:44	63.2	58.0		
05-Jul-22	15:49	62.9	59.5		
05-Jul-22	15:54	63.5	59.4		
11-Jul-22	9:29	64.2	58.3	61.4	64.4
11-Jul-22	9:34	64.2	57.9		
11-Jul-22	9:39	64.1	58.9		
11-Jul-22	9:44	63.2	59.7		
11-Jul-22	9:49	64.2	59.6		
11-Jul-22	9:54	63.8	58.3		
16-Jul-22	15:33	62.3	57.8	61.4	64.4
16-Jul-22	15:38	62.9	57.9		
16-Jul-22	15:43	62.4	59.0		
16-Jul-22	15:48	63.4	58.2		
16-Jul-22	15:53	62.9	58.0		
16-Jul-22	15:58	62.6	57.8		
22-Jul-22	9:23	62.6	58.8	60.9	63.9
22-Jul-22	9:28	64.1	58.8		
22-Jul-22	9:33	62.7	59.1		
22-Jul-22	9:38	63.8	58.2		
22-Jul-22	9:43	64.1	58.3		
22-Jul-22	9:48	63.4	59.1		
28-Jul-22	15:07	62.6	59.7	61.0	64.0
28-Jul-22	15:12	64.2	58.8		
28-Jul-22	15:17	63.0	59.2		
28-Jul-22	15:22	63.9	59.5		
28-Jul-22	15:27	62.9	57.9		
28-Jul-22	15:32	63.3	59.1		


**Remarks:**

+3dB(A) correction was applied to free-field measurement.



The station set-up of a free-field measurement at station NM5A.


# Graphical Presentation of Noise Monitoring Result at Station NM5A



## **H. Meteorological Data Extracted from Hong Kong Observatory**


# Extract of Meteorological Observations for King's Park Automatic Weather Station, July 2022

## Temperature/Humidity:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

## Pressure:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

## Wind Direction:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

## Wind Speed:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Temperature/Humidity:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Pressure:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Direction:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Speed:



© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Temperature/Humidity:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Pressure:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Direction:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Speed:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Temperature/Humidity:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Pressure:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Direction:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Speed:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Temperature/Humidity:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Pressure:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Direction:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Speed:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Temperature/Humidity:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Pressure:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Direction:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Speed:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Temperature/Humidity:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

KPC  
Pressure:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

KPC  
Wind Direction:



© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory


KPC  
Wind Speed:



KPC


© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Temperature/Humidity:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Pressure:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Direction:



© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory


Wind Speed:



KPC


© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Temperature/Humidity:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Pressure:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Direction:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Speed:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Temperature/Humidity:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Pressure:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Direction:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Speed:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Temperature/Humidity:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Pressure:




© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Direction:



© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

Wind Speed:



© 香港天文台 Hong Kong Observatory

## I. Waste Flow table

**Zone 2A**



**Table I-1: Monthly Waste Flow Table for Zone 2A**

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly							Actual Quantities of C&D Materials Generated Monthly					
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Disposed to Srotng Facility	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging	Plastics	Wood/ Timber	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. General Refuse
	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)
Aug													
Sep													
Oct													
Nov													
Dec													
Sub-total (2022)	7557.39	0.00	120.00	1077.83	6359.56	0.00	0.00	19.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.80	66.99
<b>Total</b>	<b>96113.59</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1392.72</b>	<b>11808.81</b>	<b>82912.06</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1246.44</b>	<b>240.28</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>3.40</b>	<b>456.51</b>

Note:

- 14.46 tonnes and 11.53 tonnes of inert C&D material were disposed of as public fill to Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Public Fill, and Tuen Mun Area 38 respectively in the reporting month.

**Zone 2B & 2C**

**Table I-1: Monthly Waste Flow Table for Zone 2B & 2C**

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly							Actual Quantities of C&D Materials Generated Monthly					
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rocks and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Disposed to Srotting Facility	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging	Plastics	Wood/ Timber	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. General Refuse
	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)	(in tonnes)
<b>2021</b>													
Sep	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Oct	22.58	22.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.19
Nov	9265.04	10.45	125.93	0.00	9128.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.12
Dec	13462.30	62.94	1041.17	0.00	12358.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.62
Sub-total (2021)	22749.92	95.97	1167.10	0.00	21486.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	43.93
<b>2022</b>													
Jan	17427.64	0.00	2091.32	100.04	15236.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.60
Feb	18230.98	0.00	991.53	1719.99	15519.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.90
Mar	24777.12	0.00	2176.32	11721.21	10879.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.40	16.15
Apr	32749.58	0.00	2409.00	22393.87	7946.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.79
May	31115.05	0.00	3141.32	15121.57	12852.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.31
Jun	30747.96	0.00	3120.62	14645.87	12981.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.84
Jul	34017.48	0.00	3444.43	10214.91	20358.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.43
Aug													
Sub-total (2022)	189065.80	0.00	17374.53	75917.46	95773.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.40	94.02
<b>Total</b>	<b>211815.71</b>	<b>95.97</b>	<b>18541.62</b>	<b>75917.46</b>	<b>117260.66</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1.40</b>	<b>137.95</b>

Note:

- 8639.06 tonnes and 11719.08 tonnes of inert C&D material were disposed of as public fill to Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Public Fill and Tuen Mun Area 38 respectively in the reporting month.

- For inert C&D materials reused in other projects, the projects refer to (1) Ting On Street, (2) Sai Sha (Site B) and (3) Poly U.

## **J. Environmental Mitigation Measures – Implementation Status**

**Table J-1: Environmental Mitigation Measures Implementation Status (July 2022)**

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Implementation Stage	
		Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
<b>Air Quality Impact (Construction)</b>			
2.1	<p><b>General Dust Control Measures</b></p> <p>Frequent water spraying for active construction areas (12 times a day or once every one hour), including Heavy construction activities such as construction of buildings or roads, drilling, ground excavation, cut and fill operations (i.e., earth moving)</p>	✓	Obs
2.1	<p><b>Best Practice For Dust Control</b></p> <p>The relevant best practices for dust control as stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (construction Dust) Regulation should be adopted to further reduce the construction dust impacts from the Project. These best practices include:</p> <p><i>Good Site Management</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good site management is important to help reducing potential air quality impact down to an acceptable level. As a general guide, the Contractor should maintain high standard of housekeeping to prevent emission of fugitive dust. Loading, unloading, handling and storage of raw materials, wastes or by-products should be carried out in a manner so as to minimise the release of visible dust emission. Any piles of materials accumulated on or around the work areas should be cleaned up regularly. Cleaning, repair and maintenance of all plant facilities within the work areas should be carried out in a manner minimising generation of fugitive dust emissions. The material should be handled properly to prevent fugitive dust emission before cleaning.</li> </ul> <p><i>Disturbed Parts of the Roads</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Each and every main temporary access should be paved with concrete, bituminous hardcore materials or metal plates and kept clear of dusty materials; or</li> <li>• Unpaved parts of the road should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to keep the entire road surface wet.</li> </ul> <p><i>Exposed Earth</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or seeding with latex, vinyl, bitumen within six months after the last construction</li> </ul>	<p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>No exposed earth in this project.</p>	<p>Obs</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>No exposed earth in this project.</p>

EM&A Ref. Recommendation Measures	Implementation Stage	
	Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
activity on the site or part of the site where the exposed earth lies.		
<i>Loading, Unloading or Transfer of Dusty Materials</i>	✓	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All dusty materials should be sprayed with water immediately prior to any loading or transfer operation so as to keep the dusty material wet.</li> </ul>		
<i>Debris Handling</i>	✓	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Any debris should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or stored in a debris collection area sheltered on the top and the three sides.</li> <li>Before debris is dumped into a chute, water should be sprayed so that it remains wet when it is dumped.</li> </ul>	N/A No debris chute on-site	N/A No debris chute on-site
<i>Transport of Dusty Materials</i>	✓	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Vehicle used for transporting dusty materials/spoils should be covered with tarpaulin or similar material. The cover should extend over the edges of the sides and tailboards.</li> </ul>		
<i>Wheel washing</i>	✓	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Vehicle wheel washing facilities should be provided at each construction site exit. Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels.</li> </ul>		
<i>Use of vehicles</i>	✓	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The speed of the trucks within the site should be controlled to about 10km/hour in order to reduce adverse dust impacts and secure the safe movement around the site.</li> <li>Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels.</li> <li>Where a vehicle leaving the construction site is carrying a load of dusty materials, the load should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle.</li> </ul>	✓	✓
<i>Site hoarding</i>	✓	✓
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where a site boundary adjoins a road, street, service lane or other area accessible to the public, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high from ground level should be provided along the entire length of that portion of the site boundary except for a site entrance or exit.</li> </ul>		

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Implementation Stage	
		Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
2.1	<p><b>Best Practicable Means for Cement Works (Concrete Batching Plant)</b></p> <p>The relevant best practices for dust control as stipulated in the Guidance Note on the Best Practicable Means for Cement Works (Concrete Batching Plant) BPM 3/2(93) should be followed and implemented to further reduce the construction dust impacts of the Project. These best practices include:</p> <p><i>Exhaust from Dust Arrestment Plant</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Wherever possible the final discharge point from particulate matter arrestment plant, where is not necessary to achieve dispersion from residual pollutants, should be at low level to minimise the effect on the local community in the case of abnormal emissions and to facilitate maintenance and inspection</li> </ul> <p><i>Emission Limits</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All emissions to air, other than steam or water vapour, shall be colourless and free from persistent mist or smoke</li> </ul> <p><i>Engineering Design/Technical Requirements</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As a general guidance, the loading, unloading, handling and storage of fuel, raw materials, products, wastes or by-products should be carried out in a manner so as to prevent the release of visible dust and/or other noxious or offensive emissions</li> </ul>	<p>N/A</p> <p>No concrete batching plant in this project.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>No concrete batching plant in this project.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>No concrete batching plant in this project.</p>	<p>N/A</p> <p>No concrete batching plant in in this project.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>No concrete batching plant in in this project.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>No concrete batching plant in this project.</p>
	<p><b>Non-Road Mobile Machinery (NRMM):</b></p> <p>All NRMMs operating on-site which are subject to emission control of Air Pollution Control (Non-road Mobile Machinery) (Emission) Regulation are approved/exempted (as the case may be) and affixed with the requisite approval/exemption labels.</p>	<p>Obs</p>	<p>Obs</p>
<b>Noise Impact (Construction)</b>			

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Implementation Stage	
		Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
3.1	<p><b>Good Site Practice</b></p> <p>Good site practice and noise management can significantly reduce the impact of construction site activities on nearby NSRs. The following package of measures should be followed during each phase of construction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• only well-maintained plant to be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction works;</li> <li>• machines and plant that may be in intermittent use to be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum</li> <li>• plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, should, where possible, be orientated to direct noise away from the NSRs;</li> <li>• mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible; and</li> <li>• material stockpiles and other structures to be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities.</li> </ul>	✓	✓
3.1	<p><b>Adoption of Quieter PME</b></p> <p>The recommended quieter PME adopted in the assessment were taken from the EPD's QPME Inventory and "<i>Sound Power Levels of Other Commonly Used PME</i>" are presented in <b>Table 4.26</b> in the EIA report. It should be noted that the silenced PME selected for assessment can be found in Hong Kong.</p>	✓	✓
3.1	<p><b>Use of Movable Noise Barriers</b></p> <p>Movable noise barriers can be very effective in screening noise from particular items of plant when constructing the Project. Noise barriers located along the active works area close to the noise generating component of a PME could produce at least 10 dB(A) screening for stationary</p>	✓	Obs

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Implementation Stage	
		Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
	plant and 5 dB(A) for mobile plant provided the direct line of sight between the PME and the NSRs is blocked.		
3.1	<p><b>Use of Noise Enclosure/ Acoustic Shed</b></p> <p>The use of noise enclosure or acoustic shed is to cover stationary PME such as air compressor and concrete pump. With the adoption of the noise enclosure, the PME could be completely screened, and noise reduction of 15 dB(A) can be achieved according to the EIAO Guidance Note No. 9/2010.</p>	✓	Obs
3.1	<p><b>Use of Noise Insulating Fabric</b></p> <p>Noise insulating fabric can also be adopted for certain PME (e.g. drill rig, pilling machine etc). The fabric should be lapped such that there are no openings or gaps on the joints. According to the approved Tsim Sha Tsui Station Northern Subway EIA report (AEIAR-127/2008), a noise reduction of 10 dB(A) can be achieved for the PME lapped with the noise insulating fabric.</p>	✓	✓
3.1	<p><b>Scheduling of Construction Works outside School Examination Periods</b></p> <p>During construction phase, the contractor should liaise with the educational institutions (including NSRs LCS and CRGPS) to obtain the examination schedule and avoid the noisy construction activities during school examination periods.</p>	✓	✓
<b>Water Quality Impact (Construction)</b>			
4.1	<p><b>Construction site runoff and drainage</b></p> <p>The site practices outlined in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be followed as far as practicable in order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. The following measures are recommended to protect water quality and sensitive uses of the coastal area, and when properly implemented should be sufficient to adequately control site discharges so as to avoid water</p>		

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Implementation Stage	
		Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
	quality impacts:		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels, earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct storm water to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary on-site drainage system should be undertaken by the WKCDA's Contractor prior to the commencement of construction;</li> </ul>	✓	✓
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM standards under the WPCO. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps should be undertaken by the WKCDA's Contractor prior to the commencement of construction.</li> </ul>	✓	✓
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly during rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be regularly removed, at the onset of and after each rainstorm to ensure that these facilities are functioning properly at all times.</li> </ul>	Obs, Rem	Obs
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities.</li> </ul>	✓	✓
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no</li> </ul>	✓	✓

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Implementation Stage	
		Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
	<p>earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing facility should be provided at construction site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed regularly to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains.</p>		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes onsite should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system.</li> </ul>	✓	Obs
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and stormwater runoff being directed into foul sewers.</li> </ul>	✓	✓
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Precautions should be taken at any time of the year when rainstorms are likely. Actions should be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarized in Appendix A2 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes.</li> </ul>	Obs	✓
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bentonite slurries used in piling or slurry walling should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Temporary enclosed storage locations should be provided on-site for any unused bentonite that needs to be transported away after all the related construction activities are completed. The requirements in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries.</li> </ul>	N/A No bentonite slurries are used in this project.	N/A No bentonite slurries are used in this project.

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Implementation Stage	
		Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
4.1	<p><b>Barging facilities and activities</b></p> <p>Recommendations for good site practices during operation of the proposed barging point include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All vessels should be sized so that adequate clearance is maintained between vessels and the seabed in all tide conditions, to ensure that undue turbidity is not generated by turbulence from vessel movement or propeller wash;</li> <li>Loading of barges and hoppers should be controlled to prevent splashing of material into the surrounding water. Barges or hoppers should not be filled to a level that will cause the overflow of materials or polluted water during loading or transportation;</li> <li>All hopper barges should be fitted with tight fitting seals to their bottom openings to prevent leakage of material; and</li> <li>Construction activities should not cause foam, oil, grease, scum, litter or other objectionable matter to be present on the water within the site.</li> </ul>	<p>N/A</p> <p>No barging facilities in this project at this stage.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>No barging facilities in this project at this stage.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>No barging facilities in this project at this stage.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>No barging facilities in this project at this stage.</p>	<p>N/A</p> <p>No barging facilities in this project at this stage.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>No barging facilities in this project at this stage.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>No barging facilities in this project at this stage.</p>
4.1	<p><b>Sewage effluent from construction workforce</b></p> <p>Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site where necessary to handle sewage from the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance.</p>	✓	✓
4.1	<p><b>General construction activities</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Construction solid waste, debris and refuse generated on-site should be collected,</li> </ul>	✓	Obs

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Implementation Stage	
		Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
	<p>handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering any nearby storm water drain.</p> <p>Stockpiles of cement and other construction materials should be kept covered when not being used.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Oils and fuels should only be stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. To prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to any nearby storm water drain, all fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. The bund should be drained of rainwater after a rain event.</li> </ul>	✓	Obs
<b>Waste Management Implications (Construction)</b>			
6.1	<p><b>Good Site Practices</b></p> <p>Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site</li> <li>Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical handling procedures</li> <li>Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection of waste</li> <li>Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust/odour during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers</li> <li>Provision of wheel washing facilities before the trucks leaving the works area so as to minimise dust introduction to public roads</li> <li>Well planned delivery programme for offsite disposal such that adverse environmental impact from transporting the inert or non-inert C&amp;D materials is not anticipated</li> </ul>	<p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p>	<p>Obs</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p>

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Implementation Stage	
		Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
6.1	<p><b>Waste Reduction Measures</b></p> <p>Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sort inert C&amp;D material to recover any recyclable portions such as metals</li> <li>Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal</li> <li>Encourage collection of recyclable waste such as waste paper and aluminium cans by providing separate labelled bins to enable such waste to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force</li> <li>Proper site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of inert C&amp;D materials</li> <li>Plan the use of construction materials carefully to minimise amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of wastes</li> </ul>	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ Obs ✓
6.1	<p><b>Inert and Non-inert C&amp;D Materials</b></p> <p>In order to minimise impacts resulting from collection and transportation of inert C&amp;D material for off-site disposal, the excavated materials should be reused on-site as fill material as far as practicable. In addition, inert C&amp;D material generated from excavation works could be reused as fill materials in local projects that require public fill for reclamation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The surplus inert C&amp;D material will be disposed of at the Government's PFRFs for beneficial use by other projects in Hong Kong.</li> <li>Liaison with the CEDD Public Fill Committee (PFC) on the allocation of space for disposal of the inert C&amp;D materials at PFRF is underway. No construction work is allowed to proceed until all issues on management of inert C&amp;D materials have been resolved and all relevant arrangements have been endorsed by the relevant authorities including PFC and</li> </ul>	✓ ✓	✓ ✓

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Implementation Stage	
		Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
	<p>EPD.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The C&amp;D materials generated from general site clearance should be sorted on site to segregate any inert materials for reuse or disposal of at PFRFs whereas the non-inert materials will be disposed of at the designated landfill site.</li> <li>In order to monitor the disposal of inert and non-inert C&amp;D materials at respectively PFRFs and the designated landfill site, and to control fly-tipping, it is recommended that the Contractor should follow the Technical Circular (Works) No. 6/2010 for Trip Ticket System for Disposal of Construction &amp; Demolition Materials issued by Development Bureau. In addition, it is also recommended that the Contractor should prepare and implement a Waste Management Plan detailing their various waste arising and waste management practices in accordance with the relevant requirements of the Technical Circular (Works) No. 19/2005 Environmental Management on Construction Site.</li> </ul>	<p>✓</p> <p>✓</p>	<p>✓</p> <p>✓</p>
6.1	<p><b>Chemical Waste</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If chemical wastes are produced at the construction site, the Contractor will be required to register with the EPD as a chemical waste producer and to follow the guidelines stated in the “Code of Practice on the Packaging Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes”. Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical waste, such as explosive, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful, corrosive, etc. The Contractor should use a licensed collector to transport and dispose of the chemical wastes at the approved Chemical Waste Treatment Centre or other licensed recycling facilities, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General)</li> </ul>	<p>✓</p>	<p>✓</p>

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Implementation Stage	
		Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
	<p>Regulation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Potential environmental impacts arising from the handling activities (including storage, collection, transportation and disposal of chemical waste) are expected to be minimal with the implementation of appropriate mitigation measures as recommended.</li> </ul>	✓	✓
6.1	<p><b>General Refuse</b></p> <p>General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separated from inert C&amp;D materials. A reputable waste collector should be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from inert C&amp;D materials. Preferably an enclosed and covered area should be provided to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' light material.</p>	✓	Obs
<b>Land Contamination (Construction)</b>			
7.1	<p>The potential for land contamination issues at the TST Fire Station due to its future relocation will be confirmed by site investigation after land acquisition. Where necessary, mitigation measures for minimising potential exposure to contaminated materials (if any) or remediation measures will be identified. If contaminated land is identified (e.g., during decommissioning of fuel oil storage tanks) after the commencement of works, mitigation measures are proposed in order to minimise the potentially adverse effects on the health and safety of construction workers and impacts arising from the disposal of potentially contaminated materials. The following measures are proposed for excavation and transportation of contaminated material:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To minimize the chance for construction workers to come into contact with any contaminated materials, bulk earth-moving excavation equipment should be employed;</li> </ul>	<p>N/A</p> <p>TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p>	<p>N/A</p> <p>TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p>

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Implementation Stage	
		Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Contact with contaminated materials can be minimised by wearing appropriate clothing and personal protective equipment such as gloves and masks (especially when interacting directly with contaminated material), provision of washing facilities and prohibition of smoking and eating on site;</li> </ul>	N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.	N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stockpiling of contaminated excavated materials on site should be avoided as far as possible;</li> </ul>	N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.	N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The use of contaminated soil for landscaping purpose should be avoided unless pre-treatment was carried out;</li> </ul>	N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.	N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Vehicles containing any contaminated excavated materials should be suitably covered to reduce dust emissions and/or release of contaminated wastewater;</li> </ul>	N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.	N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Truck bodies and tailgates should be sealed to stop any discharge;</li> </ul>	N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.	N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Only licensed waste haulers should be used to collect and transport contaminated material to treatment/disposal site and should be equipped with tracking system to avoid fly tipping;</li> </ul>	N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation	N/A TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Implementation Stage	
		Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Speed control for trucks carrying contaminated materials should be exercised;</li> </ul>	<p>measure is required.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p>	<p>measure is required.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Observe all relevant regulations in relation to waste handling, such as Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354), Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation (Cap. 354) and obtain all necessary permits where required; and</li> </ul>	<p>N/A</p> <p>TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p>	<p>N/A</p> <p>TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Maintain records of waste generation and disposal quantities and disposal arrangements.</li> </ul>	<p>N/A</p> <p>TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p>	<p>N/A</p> <p>TST Fire Station is out of this project boundary, no mitigation measure is required.</p>
<b>Ecological Impact (Construction)</b>			
No mitigation measure is required.			
<b>Landscape and Visual Impact (Construction)</b>			
Table 9.1 (CM1)	Trees should be retained in situ on site as far as possible. Should tree removal be unavoidable due to construction impacts, trees will be transplanted or felled with reference to the stated criteria in the Tree Removal Applications to be submitted to relevant government departments for approval in accordance to ETWB TCW No. 29/2004 and 3/2006.	✓	✓
Table 9.1 (CM2)	Compensatory tree planting shall be incorporated to the proposed project and maximize the new tree, shrubs and other vegetation planting to compensate tree felled and vegetation	N/A Compensatory tree planting is	N/A Compensatory tree planting is

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Implementation Stage	
		Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
	removed. Also, implementation of compensatory planting should be of a ratio not less than 1:1 in terms of quality and quantity within the site.	being reviewed.	being reviewed.
Table 9.1 (CM3)	Buffer trees for screening purposes to soften the hard architectural and engineering structures and facilities.	N/A Roof garden is designed to be built, but it has not been completed yet.	N/A Roof garden is designed to be built, but it has not been completed yet.
Table 9.1 (CM4)	Softscape treatments such as vertical green wall panel /planting of climbing and/or weeping plants, etc, to maximize the green coverage and soften the hard architectural and engineering structures and facilities.	N/A Climbing or weeping plants are designed to be planted, but proposal is being reviewed for the planting location.	N/A Climbing or weeping plants are designed to be planted, but proposal is being reviewed for the planting location.
Table 9.1 (CM5)	Roof greening by means of intensive and extensive green roof to maximize the green coverage and improve aesthetic appeal and visual quality of the building/structure.	N/A Roof garden is designed to be built, but it has not been completed yet.	N/A Roof garden is designed to be built, but it has not been completed yet.
Table 9.1 (CM6)	Sensitive streetscape design should be incorporated along all new roads and streets.	N/A Greening along the seafront is proposed, and under review.	N/A Greening along the seafront is proposed, and under review.
Table 9.1 (CM7)	Structure, ornamental planting shall be provided along amenity strips to enhance the landscape quality.	N/A Gardens are designed to be built, and under review.	N/A Gardens are designed to be built, and under review.
Table 9.1	Landscape design shall be incorporated to architectural and engineering structures in order to	N/A	N/A

EM&A Ref.	Recommendation Measures	Implementation Stage	
		Zone 2A	Zone 2B & 2C
(CM8)	provide aesthetically pleasing designs.	Roof garden is designed to be built, and under review.	Roof garden is designed to be built, and under review.
Table 9.1 (CM9)	Minimize the structure of marine facilities to be built on the seabed and foreshore in order to minimize the affected extent to the waterbody	N/A No marine facilities for this project.	N/A No marine facilities for this project.
Table 9.2 (MCP1)	Use of decorative screen hoarding/boards	✓	✓
Table 9.2 (MCP2)	Early introduction of landscape treatments	N/A No landscape treatments during this stage.	N/A No landscape treatments during this stage.
Table 9.2 (MCP3)	Adoption of light colour for the temporary ventilation shafts for the basement during the transition period.	N/A No ventilation shafts for this project.	N/A No ventilation shafts for this project.
Table 9.2 (MCP4)	Control of night time lighting	✓	✓
Table 9.2 (MCP5)	Use of greenery such as grass cover for the temporary open areas will help achieve the visual balance and soften the hard edges of the structures.	N/A No temporary open areas for this project.	N/A No temporary open areas for this project.

N/A - Not Applicable

✓ - Implemented

Obs - Observed

Rem - Reminder

## **K. Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions**

Cumulative statistics for complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions for the Project account for period starting from the date of commencement of construction works (i.e. 3 October 2020 for Zone 2A Foundation, Excavation and Lateral Support Works; 30 September 2021 for Zone 2B & 2C Piling Works) to the end of the reporting month and are summarised in the Table K-1 and Table K-2 below respectively.

**Table K-1: Statistics for complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions for Zone 2A Foundation, Excavation and Lateral Support Works**

Reporting Period	Cumulative Statistics		
	Complaints	Notifications of summons	Successful prosecutions
This reporting month (July 2022)	4	0	0
From 03 October 2020 to end of the reporting month	35	0	0

**Table K-2: Statistics for complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions for Zone 2B & 2C Piling Works**

Reporting Period	Cumulative Statistics		
	Complaints	Notifications of summons	Successful prosecutions
This reporting month (July 2022)	4	0	0
From 30 September 2021 to end of the reporting month	20	0	0

**END OF THE REPORT**